

Antiretroviral-naive and -treated HIV-1 patients can harbour more resistant viruses in CSF than in plasma

Cathia Soulié, Diane Descamps, Vé Ronique Schneider, Mary-Anne Trabaud, Laurence Morand-Joubert, Constance Delaugerre, Brigitte Montes, Francis Barin, Virginie Ferré, Anne Maillard, et al.

► To cite this version:

Cathia Soulié, Diane Descamps, Vé Ronique Schneider, Mary-Anne Trabaud, Laurence Morand-Joubert, et al.. Antiretroviral-naive and -treated HIV-1 patients can harbour more resistant viruses in CSF than in plasma. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 2015, 70 (2), pp.566-572. 10.1093/jac/dku419. hal-02291062

HAL Id: hal-02291062 https://u-bourgogne.hal.science/hal-02291062v1

Submitted on 18 Sep 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Antiretroviral-naive and -treated HIV-1 patients can harbour more resistant viruses in CSF than in plasma

Cathia Soulie¹⁻³*, Diane Descamps^{4,5}, Maxime Grudé^{1,2}, Véronique Schneider⁶, Mary-Anne Trabaud⁷, Laurence Morand-Joubert^{1,2,8}, Constance Delaugerre^{9,10}, Brigitte Montes¹¹, Francis Barin¹², Virginie Ferre¹³, Stéphanie Raymond¹⁴, Hélène Jeulin^{15,16}, Chakib Alloui¹⁷, Sabine Yerly¹⁸, Coralie Pallier¹⁹, Sandrine Reigadas^{20,21}, Anne Signori-Schmuck²², Aurélie Guigon²³, Samira Fafi-Kremer²⁴, Stéphanie Haïm-Boukobza^{25,26}, Audrey Mirand²⁷, Anne Maillard²⁸, Sophie Vallet²⁹, Catherine Roussel³⁰, Lambert Assoumou^{1,2}, Vincent Calvez¹⁻³, Philippe Flandre^{1,2} and Anne-Geneviève Marcelin¹⁻³ on behalf of the ANRS Resistance AC11 Group†

¹Sorbonne Universités, UPMC Université de Paris, 06-UMR_S 1136 Pierre Louis Institute of Epidemiology and Public Health, F-75005 Paris, France; ²INSERM-UMR_S 1136 Pierre Louis Institute of Epidemiology and Public Health, F-75013 Paris, France; ³AP-HP, Groupe hospitalier Pitié Salpêtrière, Laboratoire de Virologie, F-75013 Paris, France; ⁴IAME, UMR 1137—Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, INSERM, F-75018 Paris, France; ⁵AP-HP, Hôpital Bichat, Laboratoire de Virologie, F-75018 Paris, France; ⁶Laboratoire de Virologie, AP-HP, Hôpital Tenon, Paris, France; ⁷Hôpital de la Croix-Rousse, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France; ⁸Laboratoire de Virologie, AP-HP, CHU Saint Antoine, Paris, France; ⁹INSERM U941—Université Paris Diderot, Sorbonne Paris Cité, INSERM F-75010 Paris, France; ¹⁰AP-HP, Hôpital Saint-Louis, Laboratoire de Virologie, F-75010 Paris, France; ¹¹Laboratoire de Virologie, CHU Montpellier, France; ¹²CHU Tours, France; ¹³CHU Nantes, France; ¹⁴CHU de Toulouse, Laboratoire de Virologie, Toulouse, France; ¹⁵Laboratoire de Virologie, CHU de Nancy Brabois, Vandoeuvre les Nancy, France; ¹⁶EA 7300, Université de Lorraine, Faculté de Médecine, Vandoeuvre les Nancy, France; ¹⁷CHU Avicennes, France; ¹⁸Laboratory of Virology, Geneva University Hospitals, Switzerland; ¹⁹CHU Bicêtre, Paris, France; ²⁰CHU de Bordeaux, Laboratoire de Virologie, F-33000 Bordeaux, France; ²¹Université de Bordeaux, CNRS UMR 5234, F-33000 Bordeaux, France; ²²CHU Grenoble, France; ²³CHU Orléans, France; ²⁴Laboratoire de Virologie, CHU Strasbourg, France; ²⁵CHU Paul Brousse, Laboratoire de Virologie, Villejuif, France; ²⁶CHU Brest, France; ²⁹CHU Brest, France; ²⁹CHU Brest, France; ³⁰CHU Amiens, France; ²⁰CHU Amiens, France; ²⁹CHU Brest, France; ³⁰CHU Amiens, France; ²⁰CHU Amie

*Corresponding author. Laboratoire de Virologie-CERVI, Hôpital Pitié Salpêtrière, 45-83 Bd de l'hôpital, 75013, Paris, France. Tel: +33-1-42-17-58-42; Fax: +33-1-42-17-74-11; E-mail: cathia.soulie@psl.aphp.fr †Members are listed in the Acknowledgements section.

Received 6 May 2014; returned 20 July 2014; revised 16 September 2014; accepted 26 September 2014

Objectives: The neurological disorders in HIV-1-infected patients remain prevalent. The HIV-1 resistance in plasma and CSF was compared in patients with neurological disorders in a multicentre study.

Methods: Blood and CSF samples were collected at time of neurological disorders for 244 patients. The viral loads were >50 copies/mL in both compartments and bulk genotypic tests were realized.

Results: On 244 patients, 89 and 155 were antiretroviral (ARV) naive and ARV treated, respectively. In ARV-naive patients, detection of mutations in CSF and not in plasma were reported for the reverse transcriptase (RT) gene in 2/89 patients (2.2%) and for the protease gene in 1/89 patients (1.1%). In ARV-treated patients, 19/152 (12.5%) patients had HIV-1 mutations only in the CSF for the RT gene and 30/151 (19.8%) for the protease gene. Two mutations appeared statistically more prevalent in the CSF than in plasma: M41L (P=0.0455) and T215Y (P=0.0455).

Conclusions: In most cases, resistance mutations were present and similar in both studied compartments. However, in 3.4% of ARV-naive and 8.8% of ARV-treated patients, the virus was more resistant in CSF than in plasma. These results support the need for genotypic resistance testing when lumbar puncture is performed.

Keywords: HIV, ARV, resistance, CSF

[©] The Author 2014. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com

Introduction

Infection of the CNS with HIV can lead to the development of HIV-1-associated dementia, HIV-1 encephalitis or neurological disorders. Although treatment with fully active antiretrovirals (ARVs) has reduced the frequency of HIV-1 infection of the CNS, neurological disorder symptoms are still observed in HIV-1 ARV-naive and ARV-treated patients.^{1–6}

It is known that HAART has an effect on HIV-1 RNA levels in CSF.⁷ Due to the blood-brain barrier, ARV may not be at optimal therapeutic concentrations in the CNS compartment. Low therapeutic concentrations of the ARV could contribute to viral resistance, and consequently, lead to virological failure.⁸ Such differences in ARV concentrations in several compartments could result in discordant HIV-1 RNA levels between plasma and CSF and/or ARV drug resistance mutations.⁹⁻¹² Differences in ARV drug resistance mutations det the plasma and CNS compartments have been reported as case reports or in small cohorts of patients.⁹⁻¹²

Currently, little information on the resistance patterns in the CNS is actually available in clinical practice in a large cohort of patients having a viral load (VL) >50 copies/mL in plasma and in CSF. In this study, the resistance of HIV-1 in plasma and CSF was studied and compared in a multicentre study supported by the 'Agence Nationale de Recherche sur le Sida et les Hépatites Virales' (ANRS).

Methods

Patients

We studied all CSF-plasma pairs with detectable VL (>50 copies/mL) between 2000 and 2013 in 22 centres in France and one centre in Switzerland. The indications for lumbar puncture are reserved for people affected by severe cognitive impairment without aetiological orientation. Socio-demographic and clinical data as well as treatment regimen were

Table 1. Characteristics of the studied population (n=244)

collected for all studied patients. Participating laboratories belong to ANRS and participate in the ANRS quality control assessment of HIV-1 drug resistance sequencing.¹³ The study was approved by the scientific committee of Action Coordonnée 11-AC11 ANRS.

Genotyping resistance testing

The reverse transcriptase (RT) and protease resistance mutations were determined in each laboratory using the ANRS consensus technique (http://www.hivfrenchresistance.org), a Bayer TrueGene Kit, an Abbott ViroSeq Kit or an in-house method. In ARV-naive patients, protease and RT mutations were identified from the consensus statement of the list for genotypic surveillance of transmitted HIV-1 drug resistance.¹⁴ In ARV-treated patients, RT and protease mutations were identified from the last International AIDS Society-USA resistance testing panel (http:// www.iasusa.org) and were interpreted with the last ANRS genotypic algorithm (version 23; http://www.hivfrenchresistance.org). According to the ANRS algorithm, the global genotypic susceptibility score (GSS) was calculated on ARV currently available (n=18) as follows: 1 for a sensitive drug and 0 for a resistant or possible resistant drug. The GSS was also calculated according to Standford and Rega algorithms.

Statistical methods

Quantitative variables were summarized by means of median and IQR and qualitative variables by percent. Comparisons between independent groups were performed using the Kruskal–Wallis non-parametric test. The McNemar test was applied to compare the prevalence of mutations in both plasma and CSF in the same group of patients. No correction for multiple testing was made and the analysis was done with SAS (version 9.4).

Results

In total, 244 patients who experienced VL ${>}50\,\text{copies/mL}$ in plasma and CSF at the time of neurological disorders were

Characteristic	ARV-naive patients ($n=89$)	ARV-treated patients ($n=155$)
- Age (years), median (IQR)	42 (37–50)	44 (38-51)
Male, %	68.8	61.0
B subtype in CSF, %	55.8	51.0
B subtype in plasma, %	54.8	51.1
CSF HIV-1 RNA (log ₁₀ copies/mL), median (IQR)	4.64 (3.78-5.23)	4.09 (3.45-4.71)
Plasma HIV-1 RNA (log ₁₀ copies/mL), median (IQR)	5.10 (4.54-5.72)	3.70 (2.73-4.69)
Nadir CD4 (cell count/mm ³), median (IQR)	119 (27-332)	59 (18–156)
CD4 (cell count/mm ³), median (IQR)	131 (38–343)	230 (102–413)
Current treatment, %		
NRTIs+PIs	NA	62.6
NRTIs+PIs+INIs+other	NA	11.0
NRTIs+NNRTIs	NA	5.2
PIs	NA	5.2
other	NA	16.0

NA, not applicable; INIs, integrase inhibitors.

The other combinations were present at <5%: NRTIs; NRTIs + PIs + entry inhibitors; PIs + entry inhibitors; NRTIs + PIs + INIs; NRTIs + NNRTIs + PIs + INIs; NRTIs + PIs; NRTIs + PIs; NRTIs + PIs; NRTIs + NNRTIs + PIs; NRTIs + NNRTIs + PIs; NRTIs + INIs; NRTIS + INI

	ARV-naive patients, RT	ARV-naive patients, protease	ARV-treated patients, RT	ARV-treated patients, protease
CSF				
detection of mutations mutations	2.2% (2/89) patients K101E, Y181C, M184I, T215Y	12.4% (11/89) patients L10I/V, V11I, L33F/V, M36I, I62V, L63P, V77I, V82A, L89M	12.5% (19/152) patients M41L , K65R, D67N, T69D, K70R, L74V, K101E, K103N, V108I, F116Y, Q151M, Y181C, M184V, M184I, G190A, L210W, T215Y , T215F, H221Y, M230I	19.8% (30/151) patients L10I, L10F, V11I, K20R, K20I, K20T, M36I, M36L, M46I, F53L, I62V, L63P, I64L, I64V, A71V, A71I, A71L, V77I, V82 T, V82A, L89V, L89M, L90M, I93L, I93M
Plasma				
detection of mutations mutations	2.2% (2/89) patients Y181I, M184I	9.0% (8/89) patients L10I, V11I, G16E, M36I, I62V, L63P, I64V, V77I, I93L	10.5% (16/152) patients D67N, T69N , K70R, K70E, L74V, V90I, V106I, V106M, V108I, E138Q, Y181C, Y188L, M184I, M184V, L210W, K219E, M230L	13.9% (21/151) patients L10I, L10F, G16E, K20I, L24I, V32I, L33I, L33F, M36I, M36L, M46I, I47V, G48V, I50L, I54V, I54L, I54M, Q58E, D60E, I62V, L63P, I64V, I64M, H69K, A71V, A71T, L76V, V77I, V82A, V82I, V82T, I85V, L89M, L90M, I93L

Table 2. Differential pattern of resistance in ARV-naive and ARV-treated patients

In bold, mutations appeared statistically more prevalent: in CSF, M41L (P=0.0455) and T215Y (P=0.0455); and in plasma, T69N (P=0.0455).

recruited; 89 and 155 were ARV naive and ARV treated, respectively. The main characteristics of the study population are shown in Table 1. In the regimen of ARV-treated patients, the most frequent NRTIs prescribed were emtricitabine/lamivudine (47.5%), abacavir (25.8%) and tenofovir (25.0%), and the most frequent PIs were lopinavir (20.5%), darunavir (14.7%) and atazanavir (13.1%). Etravirine was the NNRTI most frequently prescribed (13.1%). Overall, non-B subtypes were present in 47.0% of cases with a majority of CRF02_AG (20.6%).

In ARV-naive patients, 17.9% (16/89) of patients had at least one HIV-1 resistance mutation in plasma and 17.9% (16/89) in CSF. Mutations were associated with resistance in 11.2% (10/89) and 12.4% (11/89) of patients in plasma and CSF, respectively. The concordance between CSF and plasma sequences was evidenced in 85/89 (95.5%) and 88/89 (98.9%) patients for the studied positions in RT and protease gene, respectively. The detection of mutations in CSF and not in plasma viruses was reported in 2/89 (2.2%) patients for the RT gene and in 1/89 (1.1%) patients for the protease gene (Table 2). Some mutations were also present in plasma and not in CSF in 2/89 (2.2%) patients for the RT gene (Table 2). Furthermore, 96.6% (86/89) of ARV-naive patients had viruses with a similar global GSS in the two compartments, 3.4% (3/89) with a global GSS in CSF < GSS in plasma, and none with a global GSS in CSF > GSSin plasma (Figure 1).

In ARV-treated patients, the RT and protease amplification was successful for 152 and 151 cases, respectively, resulting in complete paired sequences for 148 patients. Mutations induced HIV-1 resistance at least for one drug for 111/148 (75.0%) patients and 110/148 (74.3%) in CSF and plasma, respectively. Nineteen of 152 (12.5%) patients had HIV-1 mutations only present in the CSF for the RT gene and 30/151 (19.8%) patients for the protease gene (Table 3). Two mutations were statistically more prevalent in the CSF in comparison with the plasma for the RT gene: M41L (P=0.0455) and T215Y (P=0.0455). There

was no statistically significant difference for the presence of protease gene mutations. Some patients also presented HIV-1 mutations in plasma and not in CSF: 16/152 (10.5%) and 21/151 (13.9%) for RT and protease gene, respectively (Table 2). One mutation for the RT gene was statistically significantly more prevalent in plasma than in CSF: T69N (P=0.0455). Furthermore, 79.7% (118/148) of ARV-treated patients had viruses with a similar global GSS of current treatment in the two compartments whatever algorithm was used, 11.5% (17/148) with a global GSS in CSF < GSS in plasma and 8.8% (13/148) with a global GSS in CSF > GSS in plasma (Figure 1). No correlation of CNS-penetration effectiveness (CPE) score and any studied parameters was evidenced.

Discussion

In this multicentre study, the ARV resistance in 244 HIV-1 patients with neurological disorders and HIV-1 RNA load >50 copies/mL in plasma and CSF was studied in both compartments. In most cases, HIV-1 resistance was similar in plasma and CSF. However, we identified some cases where HIV-1 was more resistant in CSF than in plasma, reducing the number of future therapeutic options, in the studied population.

In ARV-naive patients, the prevalence of transmitted drug resistance was 11.2% in plasma. Similar results (9.0%) were described in the national sentinel surveillance of transmitted drug resistance in ARV-naive chronically HIV-1-infected patients in France over a decade (2001-11).¹⁵ The prevalence of HIV-1 drug resistance in ARV-treated patients in this study was superior to that previously shown in 2009 in a French nationwide study (59%), possibly because the present study population was more advanced with higher VL (3.70 versus 2.57 log₁₀ copies/mL) and lower CD4 (230 versus 390 cells/mm³).¹⁶

Overall, a good concordance of resistance pattern was observed in CSF and plasma, but some resistance mutations can

(a)

	GSS in CSF									
GSS in plasma	9	10	11	12	14	15	16	17	18	Patients (n)
9	1									1
11			1							1
12				1						1
14		1			2					3
15						3				3
16							2			2
17								1		1
18								2	75	77
Patients (n)	1	1	1	1	2	3	2	3	75	89

(h)
`	-,

	GSS in CSF																
GSS in plasma	2	3	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	Patients (n)
2	1																1
3		1															1
4			1														1
5			2														2
6				2					1*								3
7					2												2
8						2											2
9							1										1
10							1	1			1						3
11									4								4
12								1		4	1	1			1		8
13							1				7						8
14			1	1							1	14				1	18
15													9	1		1	11
16										1		1		13	1	1	17
17												1	2		23	2	28
18													1		5	32	38
Patients (n)	1	1	4	3	2	2	3	2	5	5	10	17	12	14	30	37	148

Figure 1. Comparison of global GSS of HIV-1 viruses in plasma and CSF compartments in (a) ARV-naive patients and (b) ARV-treated patients. The results are expressed in the table as the number of patients having a global GSS in plasma and in CSF. *This patient had a global GSS at 11 in CSF and 6 in plasma.

be detected only in CSF or in plasma. In addition, some attention should also be paid to patients with similar global GSS in both compartments, because the resistance mutation set could be different, resulting in different ARV resistance. Our results were similar to a systematic review pooling 35 reports with heterogeneous design and methods.¹⁷ Several factors could explain the discordant presence of HIV-1 mutations and/or ARV resistance between the plasma and the CSF, such as insufficient drug exposure,⁹ previous discontinuation of treatment,¹⁸ independent replication and evolution of resistance in the CNS,¹⁹ CNS infection leading to local immune activation^{20,21} or previous virological failure in plasma allowing archiving of ARV-resistant HIV-1 strains in the CNS compartment.¹⁰ The CNS drug penetration appeared to be an important factor in the pathogenesis of CSF viral escape.²² The CPE score was developed by Letendre²³ to calculate a CPE score adjusted for each regimen. In case of neurological disorders, the ART should be based not only on drug penetration but also on HIV-1 resistance in CSF. It should be mentioned that these cases of discordance between blood and CSF remain relatively uncommon. Furthermore, analysis of plasma and CNS samples also by Ultra Deep P Sequencing can provide a deeper characterization of drug resistance mutations and HIV-1 quasi-species in both compartments. This new-generation sequencing could highlight either some additional discordances or concordances with different percentages in both compartments.

It is noteworthy that the two mutations statistically significantly more prevalent in CSF than in plasma were M41L and T215Y. This may be related to thymidine analogues that have a good penetration into the CNS compartment, allowing appearance of the virus mutation, probably due to drug pressure.⁸ More attention has to be paid to pharmacological CNS drug penetration not only to treat neurological disorders but also to prevent ARV resistance, despite the difficulties of evaluati individual CNS drug concentration.

In conclusion, these results from a large cohort from clinical routine care evidenced discordance in HIV-1 mutations and/or resistance between the plasma and the CSF in HIV-1 patients with neurocognitive symptoms. Furthermore, in 3.4% of ARV-naive and 8.8% of ARV-treated patients, the virus was more resistant in CSF than in plasma. These results support the need to perform genotypic resistance testing when VL is >50 copies/mL in CSF.

				CSF		Plasma				
Patient	Treatment	CPE	Subtype	VL (log ₁₀ copies/mL)	RT	protease	VL (log ₁₀ copies/mL)	RT	protease	
1	f3TC, ddI, NVP	8.5	CRF01_AE	4.31112	V108I		4.15921			
2	f3TC, TDF, ETR	5.5	В	4.30103		K20R, L63P	5.63347	E138Q		
3	f3TC, TDF, ETR	5.5	D	4.54845	M41L, L210W, T215Y	V82T		M230L	L33I, I50L, I64V	
4	f3TC, ABC, TDF, NVP	10.5	В	3.61805	Y181C, M184V, T215Y, H221Y		5.71850			
5	f3TC, ABC, DRV	8.5	С	4.93952		K20R	3.55630	Y188L	V82I	
5	f3TC, ABC, fAPV	8.5		3.64345		L10F, L10I	2.32428		M36I	
7	f3TC, TDF, fAPV	6.5	В	5.45618	M41L, L74V, Y181C, M184V, L210W, T215Y, K103N		2.90741			
8	f3TC, TDF, ATV	5.5	В	4.64640	K103N		4.22272	T69N		
9	AZT, SQV, LPV	8	CRF02 AG	4.96480		L90M	3.75664			
10	AZT, f3TC, SQV	7.5	_	3.89209			3.98677		Q58E, I62V, V82I, I85V, L90M	
11	f3TC, TDF, ATV	5.5	В	3.31492		A71V, L90M	5.13374		Q58E, A71T	
12	f3TC, TDF, LPV		CRF02 AG	3.44592	M184V	,	2.21484	V90I		
13	AZT, ABC, LPV	10	CRF06 CPX	5.14993		M46I	2.07555			
14	f3TC, d4T, ddI, ABC, SQV, NFV, LPV	14.5	_	3.21958			4.53842	D67N, K70R, Y181C, L210W, K219E	M46I, L63P, A71V, V77	
15	f3TC, ABC, LPV	85	CRF02 AG	3.41497			4.59356	M184I, M184V	K20I, M36I, H69K, L89	
16	f3TC, TDF, LPV	6.5	_	3.20683		M36I	6.78056	111011, 111011	I93L	
17	ddI, TDF, ATV	5	B	3.68422		A71L, A71V	2.27416		A71T	
18	f3TC, ABC, ATV	7.5		3.29667		M46I, I93M	3.58894			
19	f3TC, ddI, ATV	6.5		4.02612		V77I	3.20466		A71T	
20	ddC, TDF, fAPV	5	C	3.27875		L89M	3.64345		M46I, I47V, I54M	
21	f3TC, TDF, ATV	5.5		3.00346	Q151M		2.81158	V90I		
22	f3TC, TDF, LPV	6.5		5.23553	M184I		5.53921			
23	f3TC, TDF, DRV	6.5		2.14613	T69D	F53L, V82A	5.41497	T69N	L10F, V82T	
24	AZT, f3TC, ATV	8.5		4.76641		M36I, V77I	3.75694		· , ·	
25	f3TC, ABC, IDV	9.5		4.77815		M46I	2.45637			
26	ABC, TDF, DRV	7	ind	4.63347			3.43136	L74V, V106I, V106M		
27	f3TC, ddI, SQV	5.5	CRF02 AG	4.36736	D67N		4.51720	M184I		
28	AZT, f3TC, LPV	9.5	CRF02 AG	3.64738		I64L	5.41159		L63P	
29	f3TC, ddI, NFV	5.5	_			I62V	2.54283			
30	f3TC, ABC, IDV	9.5		3.61278	M41L, L74V		3.88480			
31	f3TC, ETR, DRV, RAL	10.5	В	4.56820	H221Y		4.60206			
32	AZT, f3TC, IDV, T20			3.96848		M36L	2.62325	T69N	M36I	
33	AZT, f3TC, NVP, LPV			2.40140	M230I	M36I	2.37107			
34	ETR, DRV, RAL	8	В			M36I, I64V, I93L	3.69992		L10I, L24I, L33F, M36L M46I, I50L, Q58E, I6 I64M, V82A, L89M	
35	f3TC, ABC, DRV	11.5	В	3.87679		K20I, A71L, A71V	2.80140	D67N, T69N, K70R, Y181C, K219E	,,,,,	
36	AZT, f3TC, ABC, TDF	10.5	В	4.57287			2.36361		V82A	

2 7 V32I: I54L. A71V. L76V		2 V108I	0 K70E D60E, L89M	6	0 L74V, M184V G16E, M36I, M46I, I54V,	H69K, V82A, L89M		1 G16E	G16E, G48V	The mutations described in this table are only those discordant between the HIV-1 sequence in plasma and in CSF. NRTIs: f3TC, emtricitabine/lamivudine; ddI, didanosine; TDF, tenofovir; ABC, abacavir; AZT, zidovudine; d4T, stavudine. NNTIs: NVP, nevirapine; ETR, etravirine. PIs: DRV, darunavir; fAPV,
4.68772 2.31387		2.48572	4.46240	2.70329	3.70070			3.80161		SF. dine. NNTIs
L10I. A71L.	I93L, L89M			K20T	L63P, A71V, V77I,	L90M, I93L		V11I	M46I	nce in plasma and in CS zidovudine; d4T, stavuo
F116Y		D67N, T69D, K70R, M184V, T215F			M41L, D67N, K101E,	Y181C, M184I,	L210W, T215Y, G190A	D67N		The mutations described in this table are only those discordant between the HIV-1 sequence in plasma and in CSF. NRTIs: f3TC, emtricitabine/lamivudine; ddI, didanosine; TDF, tenofovir; ABC, abacavir; AZT, zidovudine; d4T, stavudin
3.76343 4.08998		3.41830	4.68124	3.60606	4.29226			3.33846	3.93862	se discordan sine; TDF, tei
9 A 8	1	7.5 A	7.5 CRF02_AG	3 B	7.5 B			10.5 CRF02_AG	6 CRF06	this table are only tho mivudine; ddI, didano
ETR, DRV, RAL, T20 d4T. ETR. DRV.	DTG, T20	f3TC, TDF, DRV, T20 7.5	f3TC, TDF, LPV, T20 7.5 CRF02_AG	SQV, ATV	f3TC, ddI, ABC			AZT, f3TC, LPV, T20 10.5 CRF02_AG	TDF, fAPV, TPV, T20 6 CRF06	utations described in a f3TC, emtricitabine/la
37 38		39	40	41	42			43	44	The mi NRTIs:

Acknowledgements

This work was presented at the Twenty-first Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, Boston, MA, USA, 2014 (Poster 603). We thank G. Le Mallier and P. Grange for their technical assistance.

ANRS Resistance AC11 Group

Aix en Provence (Dr E Lagier); Amiens (Dr C. Roussel); Angers (Dr H. Le Guillou); Avicenne (Dr C. Alloui): Besancon (Dr D. Bettinger): Bicêtre (Dr C. Pallier): Bordeaux (Professor H. Fleury, Dr S. Reigadas, Dr P. Bellecave, Dr P. Recordon-Pinson); Brest (Professor C. Payan, Dr S. Vallet); Caen (Professor A. Vabret); Clermont-Ferrand (Dr C. Henquell, Dr A. Mirand); Créteil (Dr M. Bouvier-Alias); Dijon (Dr A. de Rougemont); Fort de France (Dr G. Dos Santos); Grenoble (Professor P. Morand, Dr A. Signori-Schmuck); Lille (Dr L. Bocket); Limoges (Professor S. Rogez); Lyon (Dr P. Andre, Dr J.C. Tardy, Dr M.A. Trabaud); Marseille (Dr C. Tamalet); Metz-Thionville (Dr C. Delamare); Montpellier (Dr B. Montes); Nancy (Professor E. Schvoerer); Nantes (Professor V. Ferre, Dr E. André-Garnier); Nice (Dr J. Cottalorda); Orléans (Dr J. Guinard, Dr A. Guiguon): Paris-Bichat Claude Bernard (Dr D. Descamps, Professor F. Brun-Vézinet, Dr C. Charpentier, Dr B. Visseaux, Dr G. Peytavin); Paris-Cochin (Dr A. Krivine); Paris-HEGP (Dr A. Si-Mohamed); Paris-Necker (Dr V. Avettand-Fenoel); Paris-Pitié-Salpêtrière (Dr A.G. Marcelin, Professor V. Calvez, Dr S. Lambert-Niclot, Dr C. Soulié, Dr M. Wirden); Paris Saint-Antoine (Dr L. Morand-Joubert): Paris-Saint Louis (Dr C. Delaugerre, Dr M.L. Chaix); Paris-Tenon (Dr C. Amiel, Dr V. Schneider); Poitiers (Dr G. Giraudeau); Reims (Dr V. Brodard); Rennes (Dr A. Maillard); Rouen (Professor J.C. Plantier); Saint Denis (Dr C. Chaplain); Saint Etienne (Dr T. Bourlet); Strasbourg (Professor S. Fafi-Kremer, Professor F. Stoll-Keller, Dr M.P. Schmitt, Dr H. Barth); Suisse (Dr S. Yerly); Toulon (Dr C. Poggi); Toulouse (Professor J. Izopet, Dr S. Raymond); Tours (Professor F. Barin, Dr A. Chaillon); Versailles (Dr S. Marque-Juillet); Villejuif (Professor A.M. Roque-Afonso, Dr S. Haïm-Boukobza); INSERM UMR-S1136 (Dr P. Flandre, M. Grudé, Dr L. Assoumou, Dr D. Costagliola).

ANRS Clinical Centres

Aix en Provence (Dr T. Allegre); Amiens (Professor J.L. Schmit); Angers (Dr J. M. Chennebault); Avicenne (Professor O. Bouchaud); Besançon (Professor N. Magy-Bertrand); Bicêtre (Professor J.F. Delfraissy); Bordeaux (Professor M. Dupon, Professor P. Morlat, Professor D. Neau); Brest (Professor S. Ansart, Dr S. Jaffuel); Caen (Professor R. Verdon); Clermont-Ferrand (Dr C. Jacomet); Créteil (Professor Y. Lévy, Dr S. Dominguez); Dijon (Professor P. Chavanet; Professor L. Piroth); Fort de France (Dr A. Cabié); Grenoble (Dr P. Leclercq); Lille-Tourcoing (Dr F. Ajana, Dr A. Cheret); Limoges (Professor P. Weinbreck); Lyon (Dr L. Cotte); Marseille (Dr I. Poizot-Martin, Dr I. Ravaud); Metz-Thionville (Dr B. Christian, Dr F. Truchetet, Dr M. Grandidier); Montpellier (Professor J. Reynes); Nancy (Professor T. May, Dr F. Goehringer); Nantes (Professor F. Raffi); Nice (Professor P. Dellamonica); Orléans (Dr T. Prazuck, Dr L. Hocqueloux); Paris-Bichat Claude Bernard (Professor P. Yéni, Dr R. Landman, Professor Yazdanpanah); Paris-Cochin (Dr O. Launay); Paris-HEGP (Professor L. Weiss); Paris-Necker (Dr J.P. Viard); Paris-Pitié-Salpêtrière (Professor C. Katlama, Dr A. Simon); Paris-Saint Antoine (Professor P.M. Girard, Professor J.L. Meynard); Paris-Saint Louis (Professor J.M. Molina); Paris Tenon (Professor G. Pialoux); Pointe-à-Pitre (Professor B. Hoen, Dr M.T. Goeger-Sow, Dr I. Lamaury, Dr G. Beaucaire); Reims (Professor R. Jaussaud, Dr C. Rouger); Rennes (Professor C. Michelet); Rouen (Dr F. Borsa-Lebas, Professor F. Caron); Saint Denis (Dr M.A. Khuong); Saint Etienne (Professor F. Lucht); Strasbourg (Dr D. Rey); Suisse (Dr A Calmy); Toulon; Toulouse (Professor B. Marchou); Tours (Dr G. Gras), Versailles (Dr A. Greder-Belan); Villejuif (Professor D. Vittecoq, Dr E. Teicher).

Funding

The work was supported by Agence Nationale de Recherches sur le Sida et les hépatites virales (ANRS). The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013), under the project 'Collaborative HIV and Anti-HIV Drug Resistance Network (CHAIN)' (grant agreement 223131) and Association de Recherche en Virologie et en Dermatologie.

Transparency declarations

None to declare.

References

1 Price RW, Spudich S. Antiretroviral therapy and central nervous system HIV type 1 infection. *J Infect Dis* 2008; **197** Suppl 3: S294–306.

2 d'Arminio Monforte A, Cinque P, Mocroft A *et al.* Changing incidence of central nervous system diseases in the EuroSIDA cohort. *Ann Neurol* 2004; **55**: 320–8.

3 Gisslen M, Fuchs D, Svennerholm B *et al*. Cerebrospinal fluid viral load, intrathecal immunoactivation, and cerebrospinal fluid monocytic cell count in HIV-1 infection. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 1999; **21**: 271–6.

4 Spudich SS, Nilsson AC, Lollo ND *et al*. Cerebrospinal fluid HIV infection and pleocytosis: relation to systemic infection and antiretroviral treatment. *BMC Infect Dis* 2005; **5**: 98.

5 Ellis RJ, Hsia K, Spector SA *et al.* Cerebrospinal fluid human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA levels are elevated in neurocognitively impaired individuals with acquired immunodeficiency syndrome. HIV Neurobehavioral Research Center Group. *Ann Neurol* 1997; **42**: 679–88.

6 Canestri A, Lescure FX, Jaureguiberry S *et al*. Discordance between cerebral spinal fluid and plasma HIV replication in patients with neurological symptoms who are receiving suppressive antiretroviral therapy. *Clin Infect Dis* 2010; **50**: 773–8.

7 Spudich S, Lollo N, Liegler T*et al.* Treatment benefit on cerebrospinal fluid HIV-1 levels in the setting of systemic virological suppression and failure. *J Infect Dis* 2006; **194**: 1686–96.

8 Varatharajan L, Thomas SA. The transport of anti-HIV drugs across blood-CNS interfaces: summary of current knowledge and recommendations for further research. *Antiviral Res* 2009; **82**: A99–109.

9 Rawson T, Muir D, Mackie NE *et al*. Factors associated with cerebrospinal fluid HIV RNA in HIV infected subjects undergoing lumbar puncture examination in a clinical setting. *J Infect* 2012; **65**: 239–45.

10 Cunningham PH, Smith DG, Satchell C *et al.* Evidence for independent development of resistance to HIV-1 reverse transcriptase inhibitors in the cerebrospinal fluid. *AIDS* 2000; **14**: 1949–54.

11 Watanabe K, Honda M, Watanabe T *et al*. Emergence of raltegravirresistant HIV-1 in the central nervous system. *Int J STD AIDS* 2010; **21**: 840–1.

12 Mora-Peris B, Mackie NE, Suan D *et al*. Raltegravir resistance in the cerebrospinal fluid. *Infection* 2013; **41**: 731–4.

13 Descamps D, Delaugerre C, Masquelier B *et al*. Repeated HIV-1 resistance genotyping external quality assessments improve virology laboratory performance. *J Med Virol* 2006; **78**: 153–60.

14 Bennett DE, Camacho RJ, Otelea D *et al*. Drug resistance mutations for surveillance of transmitted HIV-1 drug-resistance: 2009 update. *PLoS One* 2009; **4**: e4724.

15 Descamps D, Assoumou L, Chaix ML *et al*. National sentinel surveillance of transmitted drug resistance in antiretroviral-naive chronically HIV-infected patients in France over a decade: 2001–2011. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2013; **68**: 2626–31.

16 Assoumou L, Descamps D, Yerly S *et al*. Prevalence of HIV-1 drug resistance in treated patients with viral load >50 copies/mL in 2009: a French nationwide study. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2013; **68**: 1400–5.

17 Stam AJ, Nijhuis M, van den Bergh WM *et al.* Differential genotypic evolution of HIV-1 quasispecies in cerebrospinal fluid and plasma: a systematic review. *AIDS Rev* 2013; **15**: 152–61.

18 Eden A, Fuchs D, Hagberg L *et al*. HIV-1 viral escape in cerebrospinal fluid of subjects on suppressive antiretroviral treatment. *J Infect Dis* 2010; **202**: 1819–25.

19 Soulie C, Fourati S, Lambert-Niclot S *et al*. HIV genetic diversity between plasma and cerebrospinal fluid in patients with HIV encephalitis. *AIDS* 2010; **24**: 2412–4.

20 Antinori A, Giancola ML, Grisetti S *et al.* Factors influencing virological response to antiretroviral drugs in cerebrospinal fluid of advanced HIV-1-infected patients. *AIDS* 2002; **16**: 1867–76.

21 Christo PP, Greco DB, Aleixo AW *et al.* Factors influencing cerebrospinal fluid and plasma HIV-1 RNA detection rate in patients with and without opportunistic neurological disease during the HAART era. *BMC Infect Dis* 2007; **7**: 147.

22 Smurzynski M, Wu K, Letendre S *et al*. Effects of central nervous system antiretroviral penetration on cognitive functioning in the ALLRT cohort. *AIDS* 2011; **25**: 357–65.

23 Letendre S. Central nervous system complications in HIV disease: HIVassociated neurocognitive disorder. *Top Antivir Med* 2011; **19**: 137–42.