

Influence of cell-cell contact between L. thermotolerans and S. cerevisiae on yeast interactions and the exo-metabolome

Clément Petitgonnet, Géraldine Klein, Chloé Roullier-Gall, Philippe Schmitt-Kopplin, Beatriz Quintanilla-Casas, Stefania Vichi, Diane Julien-David, Hervé Alexandre

▶ To cite this version:

Clément Petitgonnet, Géraldine Klein, Chloé Roullier-Gall, Philippe Schmitt-Kopplin, Beatriz Quintanilla-Casas, et al.. Influence of cell-cell contact between L. thermotolerans and S. cerevisiae on yeast interactions and the exo-metabolome. Food Microbiology, 2019, 83, pp.122-133. 10.1016/j.fm.2019.05.005. hal-02328247

HAL Id: hal-02328247 https://hal.science/hal-02328247

Submitted on 25 Oct 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Influence of cell-cell contact between *L. thermotolerans* and *S. cerevisiae* on yeast
 interactions and the exo-metabolome.

3 Clément Petitgonnet^a, Géraldine L. Klein^a, Chloé Roullier-Gall^a, Philippe Schmitt-Kopplin^{bc},

4 Beatriz Quintanilla-Casas^d, Stefania Vichi^d, Diane Julien-David^e, and Hervé Alexandre^{a*}

^a UMR Procédés Alimentaires et Microbiologiques, Equipe VAlMiS (Vin, Aliment,
Microbiologie, Stress), AgroSup Dijon – Université de Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Institut
Universitaire de la Vigne et du Vin, rue Claude LADREY, BP 27877, 21000 DIJON, France

- ^b Helmholtz Zentrum Muenchen, Research unit Analytical BioGeoChemistry, Ingolstaedter
 Landstrasse 1, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany
- 10 ^c Technische Universität Muenchen, Analytical Food Chemistry, Alte Akademie 10, 85354

11 Freising, Germany

12 ^d Nutrition, Food Science and Gastronomy Department, INSA - XaRTA (Catalonian Reference

13 Network on Food Technology), University of Barcelona, Santa Coloma de Gramenet, Spain

14 ^e Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IPHC UMR 7178, F-67000 Strasbourg, France.

15 *: corresponding author rvalex@u-bourgogne.fr

16

17 Summary:

18 Sequential fermentation of grape must inoculated with L. thermotolerans and then S. 19 cerevisiae 24 h later (typical wine-making practice) was conducted with or without cell-cell 20 contact between the two yeast species. We monitored cell viability of the two species throughout fermentation by flow cytometry. The cell viability of S. cerevisiae decreased 21 22 under both conditions, but the decrease was greater if there was cell-cell contact. An 23 investigation of the nature of the interactions showed competition between the two species for 24 nitrogen compounds, oxygen, and must sterols. Volatile-compound analysis showed 25 differences between sequential and pure fermentation and that cell-cell contact modifies yeast 26 metabolism, as the volatile-compound profile was significantly different from that of 27 sequential fermentation without cell-cell contact. We further confirmed that cell-cell contact modifies yeast metabolism by analyzing the exo-metabolome of all fermentations by FT-ICR-28 29 MS analysis. These analyses show specific metabolite production and quantitative metabolite 30 changes associated with each fermentation condition. This study shows that cell-cell contact 31 not only affects cell viability, as already reported, but markedly affects yeast metabolism.

Keywords: interactions, *S. cerevisiae*, *L. thermotolerans*, cell-cell contact, flow cytometry,
metabolomics.

34 I. Introduction

Alcoholic fermentation in grape must is mainly performed by the well-known yeast 35 36 Saccharomyces cerevisiae. However, S. cerevisiae is not the only yeast present on grape 37 berries and in grape must. Other interesting yeasts, called non-Saccharomyces, are 38 increasingly being studied because of their ability to improve the complexity of the wine 39 aroma by increasing the concentration of certain aromatic molecules, such as terpenoids or 40 higher alcohols (Ciani, 1997; Esteve-Zarzoso et al., 1998; Rojas et al., 2001; Jolly et al., 2006; 41 Fleet, 2008; Benito, 2018; Zhang et al., 2018), or other molecule of interest, such as glycerol 42 (Romano et al., 1992; Barbosa et al., 2015). The production of these molecules is mostly due 43 to enzymatic activities present in non-Saccharomyces yeasts, which are lower or absent from 44 S. cerevisiae strains (Esteve-Zarzoso et al., 1998; Strauss et al., 2001; Jolly et al., 2014). 45 Lachancea thermotolerans (L. thermotolerans) is naturally present in grape must (Torija et al., 2001; Kapsopoulou et al., 2005) and has been reported to enhance the overall acidity of 46 47 wine due to the high production of L-lactic acid (Mora et al., 1990; Gobbi et al., 2013). This 48 characteristic may be desirable for wine with a low acidity (Balikci et al., 2016). Moreover, L. 49 thermotolerans is able to increase the concentration of interesting aromatic molecules in co-50 fermentation with S. cerevisiae than when S. cerevisiae is used alone. These molecules 51 include ethyl esters and terpenes (Benito et al., 2015; Balikci et al., 2016; Benito et al., 2016), 52 as well as glycerol (Kapsopoulou et al., 2006). The biotechnological interest of co-53 fermentation with non-Saccharomyces and S. cerevisiae in wine making is now clear (García 54 et al., 2016), but co-fermentation is not well controlled. Indeed, the presence of non-55 Saccharomyces yeasts with S. cerevisiae during alcoholic fermentation leads to interactions 56 between these different species (Ciani et al., 2016) which are highly dependent on the species 57 and strains used (Wang et al., 2016). Among such interactions, competition for nutrients, such 58 as nitrogen and oxygen, can be among the earliest that occur during co-fermentation, 59 especially in sequential fermentations (inoculation with S. cerevisiae a minimum of 24 h after 60 inoculation with the non-Saccharomyces yeast). Indeed, the non-Saccharomyces consume 61 nutrients before S. cerevisiae inoculation, leading sometimes to sluggish fermentation 62 (Sablayrolles et al., 1996; Alexandre and Charpentier, 1998; Taillandier et al., 2007). Among 63 these nutrients, phytosterols may be good candidates to study these interactions. Indeed, under 64 conditions of aerobiosis, yeast are able to synthesize unsaturated fatty acids (UFA) and sterols

for membrane integrity and energy production, with a succession of reactions involving oxygen-dependent enzymes (Tehlivets et al., 2007). However, in the absence of oxygen, these enzymes are not active and yeast use the fatty acids and sterols present in the must (Salmon, 2006). It is important to know the proportion of the phytosterols consumed by non-*Saccharomyces* before inoculation with *S. cerevisiae* when performing sequential fermentation.

71 Competition for nutrients is not the only interaction that occurs during alcoholic fermentation, 72 because direct physical contact between non-Saccharomyces and S. cerevisiae cells could lead 73 to cell-cell interactions. Indeed, several studies have shown early growth arrest of Lachancea 74 thermotolerans in co-fermentations with S. cerevisiae. They concluded that this is due to a 75 cell-cell contact mechanism (Nissen and Arneborg, 2003; Nissen et al., 2003), whereas 76 Albergaria et al. (2009) and Branco et al. (2014) showed that antimicrobial peptide secretion 77 is responsible for the early death of this non-Saccharomyces yeast. Several other molecules 78 are involved in yeast-yeast interactions and affect the growth of yeast, such as tyrosol, 79 tryptophol, and phenylethanol, which are quorum sensing molecules, especially under 80 nitrogen-limiting conditions (Zupan et al., 2013; González et al., 2018; Valera et al., 2019). 81 Additional interaction mechanisms have been reported and reviewed recently (Liu et al., 82 2015). Although cell-cell contact may explain some interactions between yeast, the effect of 83 cell-cell contact on yeast metabolism relative to when the different species are physically 84 separated has never been investigated.

Here, we exhaustively studied the interactions between *L. thermotolerans* and *S. cerevisiae* by comparing pure fermentations of each species with sequential fermentation, with and without their physical separation. The competition for yeast assimilable nitrogen (YAN), oxygen, and, for the first time, phytosterols was also studied. We analyzed the consequences of such interactions on volatile compound profiles and report, their impact on the exo-metabolome.

- 90
- 91 **II.**

II. Materials and methods

92 1. Yeast strains

A modified *S. cerevisiae* strain supplied by INRA/SupAgro Montpellier was used in this
study: *S. cerevisiae* 59A-GFP MATa ho *AMN1*::TEF2Pr-GFP-ADH1-NATMX4, a haploid
derivative of the commercial wine strain EC1118, modified to strongly express eGFP(S65T)
(Marsit et al., 2015).

L. thermotolerans BBMCZ7-FA20 (previously isolated and identified by Sadoudi et al.
(2012)) was used as the non-*Saccharomyces* yeast strain.

99

100 2. Growth conditions

101 All yeast strains were grown at 28° C in modified YPD medium (20 g.L⁻¹ glucose, 10 g.L⁻¹ 102 peptone, and 5 g.L⁻¹ yeast extract with 18 g.L⁻¹ of agar for Petri dish cultivation), 103 supplemented with 0.1 g.L⁻¹ chloramphenicol. For fermentation inoculation, yeasts were pre-104 cultured in 250-mL sterile Erlenmeyer flasks, closed with dense cotton plugs, containing 150 105 mL modified YPD medium and incubated with agitation (100 rpm) at 28°C for 24 or 48 h.

106

107 3. <u>Fermentation conditions</u>

Fermentations were carried out in triplicate in white must containing 212.1 ± 4.81 g.L⁻¹ glucose/fructose, pH 3.41 ± 0.02 , as well as 251.2 ± 20.5 mg.L⁻¹ total assimilable nitrogen. The must was centrifuged at 7,000 x g for 7 min at 4°C before use. Sugar concentration and ethanol production were monitored by Fourier transformed infra-red spectroscopy (FTIR, OenoFOSSTM, FOSS, Hilleroed, Denmark). The detection cell was filled with 200 µL centrifuged (12,000 g for 5 min at 4°C) supernatant from cultures and the analysis run using FOSS User Interface software.

115 3.1. Pure fermentations

Pure fermentations were carried out in 1-L test tubes containing 800 mL white must and closed with specific silicon caps. Each test tube was inoculated with 10^6 cells.mL⁻¹ from a YPD-medium pre-culture of *S. cerevisiae* or *L. thermotolerans* and incubated at 20°C without agitation.

120 3.2. Sequential fermentations in flasks

Sequential fermentations were carried out in 2-L pasteurized (2 h at 70°C) test tubes, closed
with specific silicon caps. Two different fermentation conditions were tested: without (i) and
with (ii) cell-cell contact.

i. Three test tubes were filled with 1.2 L white must and a dialysis membrane
(Spectra/por, Spectrum Labs, MWCO 12-14 kDa, diameter 48 mm, length 60 cm)
containing 600 mL white must was added to each test tube (total must volume 1.8
L). The dialysis membranes were inoculated with 10⁶ cells.mL⁻¹ *L. thermotolerans*from YPD pre-cultures, and the test tubes (external medium) inoculated 24 h later
with 10⁶ cells.mL⁻¹ of *S. cerevisiae* from a YPD pre-culture.

- 130 ii. Three test tubes were filled with 1.8 L white must (no dialysis membrane), 131 inoculated with 10^6 cells.mL⁻¹ *L. thermotolerans*, and then 24 h later with 10^6 132 cells.mL⁻¹ *S. cerevisiae*, both from YPD pre-cultures.
- 133 All test tubes were incubated at 20°C without agitation.
- 134

135 4. Flow cytometric analysis

136 4.1. Yeast viability

137 All fluorescent dyes used in this study were purchased from ThermoFisher Scientific,138 Invitrogen.

Yeast viability was monitored during fermentation with propidium iodide (PI) dye (maximum excitation/emission wavelengths 538/617 nm), which binds to DNA when the cell membrane is compromised, triggering its fluorescence. Fermenting yeast (1 mL) was centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 5 min at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in 1 mL PBS buffer (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, and 11.9 mM Phosphate, pH 7.2) (Fisher Scientific, Illkirch, France) and serial dilutions prepared. PI (1 μ L at 0.1 mg.mL⁻¹ in water) was added to a 100 μ L aliquot. Samples were incubated 10 min in the dark and analyzed by flow cytometry.

147 4.2. Flow cytometer settings

148 Flow cytometry was performed with a BD Accuri C6 flow cytometer and the data analyzed 149 using BD Accuri C6 software. For each run, 20 μ L of sample was analyzed at 34 μ L.min⁻¹, 150 with a FSC threshold of 80,000, and SSC-H/FSC-H plots analyzed using logarithmic axes. A 151 488-nm wavelength argon laser was used to excite the cells (autofluorescence) and dye. An 152 FL3-H long-pass filter (675 nm) was used for PI fluorescence.

153

154 5. <u>Amino-acid and oxygen quantification</u>

155 Oxygen consumption during alcoholic fermentation was monitored using Pst3 sensors 156 (Nomacorc[©]) placed at the inner face at the top of the test tubes. The oxygen concentration 157 was read using a NomaSenseTM O2 P300 device (Nomacorc[©]), following the manufacturer's 158 instructions. The oxygen concentration was measured in triplicate before stirring the media in 159 the test tubes.

160 Amino acids and ammonium were quantified by HPLC as described previously (Gobert et al.,161 2017).

162

163 6. Phytosterol quantification by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS)

164 Must samples (50 mL) were taken at T0 and T24 h, corresponding to the must without yeast 165 and 24 h of fermentation by L. thermotolerans, respectively. Prior to extraction, 250 µL of cholesterol (Sigma-Aldrich, Merck, Germany) at 1.0 mg.mL⁻¹ in ethyl acetate (Sigma-166 167 Aldrich, Merck, Germany) was added in must samples. Must samples were transferred to a 168 separatory funnel, 25 mL of chloroform (Biosolve Chimie, France) added, the samples well 169 agitated, and the organic lower phase collected. This step was repeated three times and the 170 organic phases were combined. To eliminate remaining water, anhydrous sodium sulfate 171 (Na₂SO₄ powder, Sigma-Aldrich, Merck, Germany) was added. Samples were transferred to 172 250 mL glass balloons and the solvent evaporated with a rotary vacuum evaporator to reduce 173 the volume to approximately 1 mL. This volume was transferred to 1-mL brown-glass vials 174 and completely evaporate under an N₂ flux. A cold saponification was done by adding 900 µL 175 of absolute ethanol (Carlo Erba, France) and 250 µL of a saturated potassium hydroxide 176 (KOH, Merck Darmstadt, Germany) aqueous solution into each vial. Samples were purged 177 with nitrogen, and was put into a rotary shaker (Edmund Buhler, Johanna Otta GmbH, 178 Hechingen, Germany) at ambient temperature in the dark overnight (15 h). The solution was 179 transferred in a 100 mL separation funnel and 10 mL of distilled water were added. The 180 unsaponifiable fraction was extracted three time with 2 mL of diethyl ether (Sigma-Aldrich, 181 Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). The combined organic extracts were removed with a 182 rotary vacuum evaporator and the residue was dried under nitrogen flow. After additional 2 183 mL of diethyl ether, the unsaponifiable residue was carefully transferred to a 2 mL glass test 184 tube and then evaporated to dry matter under nitrogen flow. Then the sterol residue was 185 converted to trimethylsilyl (TMS, Sigma-Aldrich, Merck, Germany) ethers with 100 µL of 186 pyridine and 100 µL of N,O-Bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA, Sigma-Aldrich, 187 Merck, Germany) at room temperature in the dark overnight and then, diluted with 800 µL of 188 isooctane (Sigma-Aldrich, Merck, Germany). One microliter of each sample was injected into 189 the GC–MS system. Phytosterol analyses were performed on a GC-MS device, composed of a 190 Varian STAR 3400 GC instrument equipped with an "on-column" injector coupled to a mass 191 spectrometer (Saturn 2000, Varian, France) with Electronic Impact as an ionization source 192 (EI, ionization energy of 70 eV), working with a mass range from 40 to 600 m/z. Data 193 acquisition and processing were performed with Varian Saturn Work Station 5.11 software 194 using the NIST mass spectral database for compound identification. The separation of each 195 compound was performed with a capillary column Factor Four VF-5ms (stationary phase: 5%

196 phenyl-95% dimethylpolysiloxane, thickness of 0.1 m, 60 m x 0.25 mm, Varian, France). 197 Initial temperature of the column was 50°C (maintained for 2 min). The column temperature was programmed to reach 105°C at a rate of 7°C.min⁻¹ (maintained for 2 min), then 170°C at 198 a rate of 10°C.min⁻¹ (maintained for 2 min), and finally 320°C at a rate of 7°C.min⁻¹ 199 200 (maintained for 15 min). The injector temperature was set to 50°C and programed to reach 201 300°C at a rate of 100°C.min⁻¹ and kept at this temperature until the end of analysis. The injected volume was set to 1 µL and was under the control of an automatic injector (8500, 202 203 Varian, France). The carrier gaz was Helium (99,9995%, Air liquid, France) and was set to a flow rate of 1 mL.min⁻¹. Sterols were quantified against cholesterol as an internal standard. 204

205

206 7. Volatile compound quantification

Volatile compounds were quantified by HeadSpace-Solid Phase MicroExtraction-Gas 207 208 Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry as reported previously (Gobert et al., 2017). Briefly, 2 209 mL of wine was placed in a 10-mL vial fitted with a silicone septum, which was then 210 transferred to a silicon oil bath at 40°C and the sample incubated for 10 min with magnetic 211 stirring (700 rpm). A divinylbenzene/carboxen/polydimethylsiloxane (DVB/CAR/PDMS) 212 fiber (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) was exposed to the sample headspace for 30 min and 213 then subjected to immediate desorption in the gas chromatograph injector set at 260°C. 214 Volatile compounds were analyzed by gas chromatography coupled to a quadrupolar mass-215 selective spectrometer. GC-MS analysis was performed in complete scanning mode (SCAN) 216 in the 30–300 mass unit range. Compounds were identified by comparing their mass spectra 217 and retention times with those of standard compounds or with those available in the Wiley 6 218 mass spectrum library or reported in previous publications.

8. <u>Metabolomics: Fourier Transform-Ion Cyclotron Resonance-Mass Spectrometry</u> (FT-ICR-MS)

221 8.1. FT-ICR-MS metabolome profiling

Direct-infusion FT-ICR mass spectra were acquired with a 12 Tesla Bruker Solarix FT-ICR mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany). The samples were diluted 2:100 (v/v) in methanol (LC-MS grade, Fluka, Germany). Quality control (QC) samples were prepared by pooling equal amounts of all samples. QC samples were analyzed at the beginning and after every 10 samples to monitor the reproducibility of the measurements. QC spectra showed good repeatability and reproducibility of the method (spectrum profiles were very similar between each QC) with a very low coefficient of variation (supp fig. 1). The 229 diluted samples and QC samples were infused into the electrospray ion source at a flow rate of 2 µL.min⁻¹. Settings for the ion source were: drying gas temperature, 180°C; drying gas 230 flow, 4.0 L.min⁻¹; capillary voltage, 3600 V. The spectra were acquired with a time-domain of 231 232 4 megawords and 300 scans were accumulated within a mass range of 92 to 1000 m/z. A 233 resolving power of 400,000 at 300 m/z was achieved. Exported features were assigned to 234 elemental formulae and represented using an H/C vs. O/C van Krevelen diagram, which 235 highlights family compounds, such as carbohydrates (H/C 1.5-2; O/C 0.8-1), fatty acids (H/C 236 1.9-2.1; O/C 0-0.25), amino acids (H/C 1-2; O/C 0.2-0.8), nucleic acids (H/C 1.1-1.4; O/C 237 0.3-1), and anthocyanins (/C 0.5-1; O/C 0.4-0.8) (fig. 1). The metabolite formulae can then be 238 entered into data bases, such as KEGG, Lipidmap, YMDB, Metlin, or an in-house developed 239 plant and wine database, to annotate them and identify corresponding metabolic pathways.

240

241 8.2. Statistical analysis

The MS was first calibrated using arginine ion clusters (57 nmol.mL⁻¹ in methanol). Next, raw 242 243 spectra were further internally calibrated using a reference list, including known wine markers 244 and ubiquitous fatty acids, to achieve the best possible mass accuracy and precision among 245 the samples. Raw spectra were post-processed using Compass DataAnalysis 4.2 (Bruker 246 Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) and peaks with a signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of at least six were 247 exported to mass lists. All exported features were aligned in a matrix containing averaged m/z 248 values (maximum peak alignment window width: ± 1 ppm) and corresponding peak intensities of all analyzed samples. Only m/z features of monoisotopic candidates and those 249 250 with feasible mass defects were retained in the matrix.

All further data processing was performed using Microsoft Excel 2010 and R Statistical Language (version 3.4.1). Only molecular features detected in at least two of the three replicates ($S/N \ge 6$) of one sample group were considered for further data analysis and interpretation. Remaining m/z values were assigned to their unambiguous molecular formulae as already described.

Principal component analysis (PCA), hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA), and analysis of variance (ANOVA) were performed using Perseus 1.5.1.6 (Max Planck Institute of Biochemistry, Germany). For HCA, the Euclidean distance and average linkage were chosen and for ANOVA, a threshold *p-value* of 0.05.

260

261 **III. Results and discussion**

262 1. <u>Fermentation kinetics and yeast viability</u>

263 We carried out sequential fermentations to obtain a better understanding of the interaction 264 between S. cerevisiae and L. thermotolerans. Must was first inoculated with L. 265 thermotolerans and 24 h later with S. cerevisiae to allow the growth of the non-266 Saccharomyces yeast species before the addition of S. cerevisiae (Gobbi et al., 2013; Sadoudi, 267 2014; Balikci et al., 2016). Sequential fermentations were carried out with or without physical 268 contact to study the consequences of cell-cell interactions. Indeed, such a strategy has been 269 used previously to successfully investigate this type of interaction (Nissen and Arneborg, 270 2003; Nissen et al., 2003; Renault et al., 2013; Englezos et al., 2019).

271 1.1. Fermentation kinetics

272 The kinetics of sugar consumption and ethanol production for each fermentation are presented 273 in fig. 2. Pure fermentation with S. cerevisiae (SC) resulted in complete alcoholic 274 fermentation in 10 days, reaching 13.3% (v/v) ethanol with no remaining sugars. Pure 275 fermentation with L. thermotolerans (LT) was slower, the percentage of ethanol reaching 276 12.2% (v/v), but fermentation was not complete, even after 21 days, with remaining sugar at a concentration of approximately 5.7 g.L⁻¹. Sequential fermentations with (SF+) or without (SF-277) physical contact (fig. 2) were both complete after 16 days, with approximately 13.2% (v/v) 278 279 ethanol and no remaining sugars. These slower kinetics, relative to those with SC, are a reflection of negative interactions. Thus, yeast viability was monitored to explain this 280 281 behavior.

282 1.2. Yeast viability

We used a modified *S. cerevisiae* strain expressing green fluorescent protein (GFP) to separate the *S. cerevisiae* from the non-*Saccharomyces* population, allowing the use of flow cytometry to follow cell viability during pure and sequential fermentations.

286 Cell viability was determined by PI staining (Delobel et al., 2012) and PI-negative cells were 287 considered to be viable. L. thermotolerans cells in LT and both SFs (fig. 3A) showed a maximum viable population of approximately 1.50×10^7 cells.mL⁻¹ after 24 h of alcoholic 288 289 fermentation, which remained stable until day 5, with no significant difference between the 290 three conditions (t-test, p < 0.05). However, there was a rapid decrease of the viable 291 population in both SFs when the percentage of ethanol reached approximately 10% (v/v), 292 whereas no decrease occurred in LT. This result shows that S. cerevisiae had no impact on the 293 growth of L. thermotolerans but suggests that L. thermotolerans has difficulties in adapting to

the faster fermentation kinetics imposed by *S. cerevisiae* in SF, confirming previous results
(Nissen et al., 2003; Kapsopoulou et al., 2006; García et al., 2017).

We observed the largest viable population $(1.42 \times 10^8 \text{ cells.mL}^{-1})$ for S. cerevisiae cells in SC 296 297 (fig. 3B), whereas the lowest was found for the two SFs: 6.57×10^7 cells.mL⁻¹ for SF- and only 9.37 x 10⁶ cells.mL⁻¹ for SF+, representing a decrease of 54.0 and 93.4% of the viable 298 299 population, respectively. These results confirm the negative impact of *L. thermotolerans* on *S.* 300 cerevisiae in both SFs, with a lower population of S. cerevisiae. This decrease was greater in 301 SF+ than SF-, reflecting a cell-cell contact-dependent mechanism, confirming previous 302 reports (Nissen et al., 2003; Renault et al., 2013; Lopez et al., 2014; Rossouw et al., 2018). 303 However, despite the difference in viable populations between the two SFs, the fermentation 304 kinetics were exactly the same (fig. 2). The lower fermentation activity in SF- could be 305 explained by higher competition for nutrients, since a higher biomass was present than in 306 SF+. Nutrient depletion could explain the reduced fermentation activity, as previously 307 described (Bely et al., 1990; Carrau et al., 2008; Barrajón et al., 2011).

308

309 2. <u>Competition for nutrients</u>

310 Yeast under fermentation conditions are subjected to very low concentration of dissolved 311 oxygen, which could affect their growth rate because they require it for unsaturated fatty-acid 312 (UFA) and sterol synthesis, in particular ergosterol, both involved in yeast membrane 313 formation (Salmon et al., 1998; Deytieux et al., 2005). Indeed, under conditions of aerobiosis, 314 yeast are able to synthesize UFA and sterols with a succession of reactions involving oxygen-315 dependent enzymes (Tehlivets et al., 2007). However, these enzymes are not active in the 316 absence of oxygen. Under such conditions, yeast use fatty acids and sterols present in the 317 medium, *i.e.* fatty acids and phytosterols present in the must in our case. The impact of 318 dissolved oxygen on non-Saccharomyces/S. cerevisiae interactions is only poorly 319 documented (Hansen et al., 2001; Englezos et al., 2018). These authors showed that the 320 addition of oxygen to co-fermentations of S. bacillaris and S. cerevisiae promoted the 321 persistence of S. bacillaris. Based on these results, the competition for oxygen could, in part, 322 explain the observed interaction. Thus, we monitored the consumption of dissolved oxygen 323 during both SFs and quantified phytosterols in the must before inoculation with S. cerevisiae 324 (24 h of fermentation by L. thermotolerans alone) to determine whether L. thermotolerans 325 consumes these nutrients before S. cerevisiae inoculation. We measured dissolved-oxygen 326 levels 3 h (time 0.125 days) after L. thermotolerans inoculation and every day thereafter,

327 before the other analyses, to determine the kinetics of dissolved-oxygen consumption (figure 328 4). The consumption of dissolved oxygen by L. thermotolerans was very rapid, with a drop from 4.1 mg.L⁻¹ at T0 to 0.21 mg.L⁻¹ after 3 h and down to 0 mg.L⁻¹ for all fermentations until 329 330 day 18, with an increase for SF- by day 21. These results highlight the absence of dissolved 331 oxygen in both SFs. The very low concentration of oxygen present when the fermentation was 332 inoculated with S. cerevisiae could explain the lower biomass observed under SF conditions. 333 Indeed, oxygen availability has been shown to affect S. cerevisiae biomass production and 334 viability during alcoholic fermentation (Blateyron and Sablayrolles, 2001; Fornairon-335 Bonnefond and Salmon, 2003). Moreover, it has been recently reported that oxygen 336 availability strongly influences the viability of non-Saccharomyces species (Varela et al., 337 2012; Shekhawat et al., 2017; Englezos et al., 2018). Thus, early consumption of oxygen by 338 L. thermotolerans could partially explain the decreased biomass and viability of the yeast 339 during both SFs.

340 We also monitored phytosterol uptake by L. thermotolerans during the first 24 h, before 341 inoculation with S. cerevisiae, in parallel to oxygen consumption. The major phytosterol 342 present in the must was β -sitosterol (Table 1), as reported in the literature (Luparia et al., 2004; Rollero et al., 2016), at a concentration of approximately 29 mg.L⁻¹, with the two other 343 phytosterols present at lower concentrations, approximately 1.9 mg.L⁻¹ for campesterol and 344 1.6 mg.L⁻¹ for stigmasterol. L. thermotolerans consumed approximately 68% of the β -345 346 sitosterol, 14% of the stigmasterol, and all the campesterol in only 24 h of fermentation. Thus, 347 only a low concentration of the remaining phytosterols were available for *S. cerevisiae* growth 348 under anaerobiosis. Both oxygen and phytosterol uptake by L. thermotolerans may explain, in 349 part, the negative observed interaction, which led to a decrease in biomass, viability, and 350 consequently fermentative capacity. Indeed, the stress encountered by S. cerevisiae may be 351 explained, in part, by the direct anaerobiosis at the time of inoculation, blocking ergosterol 352 and UFA synthesis, as well as the absence of phytosterols available to replace ergosterol in 353 the membrane, which can affect growth and fermentative activity, as shown by Luparia et al. 354 (2004), Deytieux et al. (2005) and Salmon, 2006. This is the first time that competition for 355 oxygen and phytosterol has been reported in a yeast-yeast interaction study. Hansen et al. (2001) and Englezos et al. (2018) previously showed that low oxygen levels affect non-356 357 Saccharomyces species and phytosterol uptake has only been studied in S. cerevisiae strains 358 (Luparia et al., 2004; Rollero et al., 2016).

359

360 3. Quantification of YAN and volatile compounds during alcoholic fermentations

361 3.1. YAN quantification

362 The nitrogen content of grape must is a key factor for yeast growth and a sufficient quantity is 363 required to avoid stuck/sluggish fermentatio (Wang et al., 2003; Bell and Henschke, 2005; 364 Gobert et al., 2017). An important part of YAN comes from ammonium, which must be in 365 sufficient amounts for the growth of non-Saccharomyces and S. cerevisiae. Certain amino 366 acids can be used as YAN sources and aromatic amino acids are precursors for volatile 367 compound production, increasing wine complexity (Kemsawasd et al., 2015; González et al., 368 2018). Thus, we analyzed the consumption of YAN sources during the first day of 369 fermentation by L. thermotolerans to assess the remaining YAN sources at the time of S. 370 cerevisiae inoculation (Table 2). There was considerable uptake of the various nitrogen 371 sources by L. thermotolerans. Among them, Arg, Asn, Gln, Ile, and Ser have been shown to 372 be preferred nitrogen sources for S. cerevisiae (Godard et al., 2007; Kemsawasd et al., 2015), 373 meaning that under our conditions, only non-preferential or intermediate sources were 374 available at the time of S. cerevisiae inoculation. Thus, consumption of the preferential 375 nitrogen sources by L. thermotolerans (> 79%) could explain, in part, the lower biomass and 376 viability of S. cerevisiae under both SF conditions than that of pure fermentation, for which 377 all nitrogen sources are available.

378 Indeed, there must be a synergistic effect between oxygen, phytosterol, and YAN uptake by *L*.
379 *thermotolerans* that negatively affects the growth of *S. cerevisiae*. It is highly likely that the
380 lower biomass of *S. cerevisiae* under SF conditions is triggered by limited nutrient availability
381 (oxygen, phytosterols, and nitrogen sources).

Aside from the effect on yeast biomass and yeast viability, oxygen, phytosterol, and YAN influence the volatile composition of wine (Hirst and Richter, 2016). Consumption of these nutrients by *L. thermotolerans* could thus affect the volatile composition of wine.

385

386 3.2. Volatile-compound quantification

We quantified volatile compounds by HS-SPME-GC/MS for all fermentations to assess the impact of *L. thermotolerans* on SF. This method identified 40 volatile compounds in our fermentations (Table 3). We thus performed PCA analysis based on these 40 volatile compounds. PCA analysis clearly distinguished the three different modalities (fig. 5). Wine produced by SC could be separated from that produced by LT on the basis of higher alcohol content. Wines produced by both yeast species form a distinct group, but there were 393 observable differences between the SF+ and SF- modalities, which reflect the impact of cell-394 cell contact on volatile compound production. This is the first time that volatile compounds 395 have been analyzed under SF conditions, with or without cell-cell contact, although several 396 other studies of co-fermentation with *L. thermotolerans* and *S. cerevisiae* have been 397 performed, but not with physical separation, as discussed above.

398 A detailed examination of volatile compound composition (Table 3) shows that LT resulted in the highest concentration of total alcohols, with approximately 622.7 mg.L⁻¹ versus 475.7, 399 400 455.2, and 494.3 mg.L⁻¹ for SC, SF+, and SF-, respectively. Thus, the presence of S. 401 cerevisiae limits the production of higher alcohols by L. thermotolerans. These results could 402 reflect a decrease in the viability L. thermotolerans after eight days of SF, leading to lower 403 concentrations of higher alcohols than with LT. In contrast, the total concentration of medium-chain fatty acids for LT was approximately 3.6 mg.L⁻¹, lower than for SC (12.2 404 mg.L⁻¹). Each SF condition resulted in a specific concentration of these compounds, 7.03 405 mg.L⁻¹ for SF+, representing an intermediate concentration between that of LT and SC, and 406 14.3 mg.L⁻¹ for SF- . Our results show that the cell-cell contact modulates the production of 407 408 medium-chain fatty acids, with an almost two-fold lower concentration for SF+ than SC. Our 409 results contradict those of previous studies, which did not find any differences in medium-410 chain fatty acid production between co-fermentation of S. cerevisiae and L. thermtolerans and 411 S. cerevisiae pure fermentation (Benito et al., 2015, 2016; Balikci et al., 2016). There were no differences in aldehyde, ketone, or lactone levels between LT, SC, and SF+ but they were 412 413 slightly lower for SF- than SC and SF+. There were also no significant differences for terpene 414 compounds between conditions. The last compound family that we analyzed was esters. The total concentration of these compounds in LT was 559 μ g.L⁻¹, the lowest for all conditions. 415 Indeed, the total concentration was similar for SC and SF-, 1,725.0 and 1,873.1 µg.L⁻¹, 416 respectively, whereas SF+ showed an intermediate concentration of 1401.8 µg.L⁻¹. Globally, 417 418 the presence of L. thermotolerans appears to decrease the concentration of some esters more 419 in SF+ than SF-, which was also observed in the study conducted by Balikci et al. (2016) in a 420 24-h sequential fermentation. These results demonstrate a negative impact of L. 421 thermotolerans on S. cerevisiae for the production of most esters, showing an effect of cell-422 cell contact on ester production, whereas these compounds are desirable in wine because of 423 their sweet, floral, or fruity aromas (Beckner Whitener et al., 2015). Studies conducted by 424 Gobbi et al. (2013) and Benito et al. (2015) on volatile compounds in SF with L. 425 thermotolerans and S. cerevisiae have shown an increase of ethyl lactate, ethyl hexanoate, and 426 isoamyl acetate concentrations, with a decrease of those of ethyl octanoate and phenylethyl427 acetate, as found in our study.

428 Several studies on nitrogen sources and volatile compounds have attempted to elucidate the 429 relation between amino acids and volatile compounds, two important families for yeast in 430 enological conditions. Indeed, amino-acid precursor and volatile-compound synthesis are 431 linked by regulation of the Ehrlich pathway, which explains the conversion of some amino 432 acids to aromatic volatile compounds (Hazelwood et al., 2008). Thus, the decrease in 433 phenylethyl acetate concentrations, which gives wine a floral aroma, under both conditions of 434 SF can be easily explained by the depletion of phenylalanine by L. thermotolerans before 435 inoculation with S. cerevisiae. However, recent studies conducted by Crépin et al. (2017) and 436 Rollero et al. (2017) show that the link between amino acids and volatile compounds is not so 437 simple, even if the influence of YAN on volatile compound production has been confirmed, 438 although it is not fully understood. Their results show that even if phenylalanine is absent for 439 phenylethyl acetate production, the intermediate phenylethyl alcohol is present at the same 440 concentration in all fermentations, meaning that other metabolic pathways may be involved in 441 phenylethyl acetate production. We made a similar observation for isoamyl acetate (banana 442 aroma), which increased under both SF conditions, whereas its precursor, leucine, was also 443 depleted by L. thermotolerans.

444

445 4. <u>Metabolomic analysis by FT-ICR-MS</u>

We analysed the exo-metabolome at the end of alcoholic fermentation for each fermentation to better understand the nature of the interaction between yeast species, since it has been shown previously that this approach can successfully unravel interaction mechanisms (Liu et al., 2016).

450 FT-ICR-MS analyses of SC, LT, SF+, and SF- were performed at the end of alcoholic 451 fermentation. We performed a PCA that included all fermentation conditions (fig. 6A). PCA 452 showed that SC, LT, and SF- present different exo-metabolomes, with good separation 453 between SF- versus SC, LT, and SF+, according to axis 1 (36.8% of the variability) and a 454 separation between LT *versus* SF-, SC, and SF+, according to axis 2 (20.8% of the variability) 455 (fig. 6A). Based on PCA, SC and SF+ appear to have a more similar exo-metabolome than 456 that of SC versus LT or SF-. These results show that a must fermented by a non-457 Saccharomyces yeast, here L. thermotolerans, has an exo-metabolome distinct from that of 458 the same must fermented by S. cerevisiae. Nevertheless, the association of the two yeast 459 species by physical contact (SF+) shows the dominance of S. cerevisiae over L. 460 thermotolerans, whereas physical separation (SF-) led to the modification of both exo-461 metabolomes, resulting in a new exo-metabolome different from that of SC or LT. We then 462 analyzed the metabolite composition of each fermentation. A Venn diagram (fig. 6B) 463 highlights the difference in composition between SC, LT, SF+ and SF-. First, there were 464 qualitative differences. For example, only two masses were unique to SC, four to SF+, 28 to 465 SF-, and 24 to LT, whereas 15 masses were unique to SF+ and SC, as well as 91 to SC, SF+ 466 and SF-. Each yeast species clearly produced unique metabolites in pure fermentation not 467 found in the others. Moreover, the presence of 28 unique metabolites in SF- show that cell-468 cell contact modifies the metabolism of S. cerevisiae or L. thermotolerans as 28 metabolites 469 were unique to SF- and only four to SF+. This diagram also shows that 1,247 masses (66.8% 470 of the total composition) were common to all fermentations, but the concentrations varied, 471 depending on the conditions of fermentation (SC, LT, SF+ and SF-). We performed an 472 ANOVA (threshold *p*-value < 0.05) to find markers that can discriminate between 473 fermentations. Specific markers are represented in a hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) and 474 in Van Krevelen diagrams, highlighting compound families, coupled to histograms of 475 elemental formula composition (fig 7). HCA (fig. 7A) confirmed the four groups found above 476 by PCA, with a greater distance between LT and the other conditions, as well as a very high 477 similarity between SC and SF+ conditions. Thus, for example, SC markers (fig. 7B, SC) are 478 composed of CHO (blue), CHOS (green), and CHON (orange) in similar quantities (between 479 10 and 15), but CHNOS (red) compounds are present in only low quantities (approximately 480 5). These compounds can be associated mostly with carbohydrate, anthocyanin, and amino-481 acid families. Each fermentation gave a specific profile in which carbohydrate, amino-acid, 482 nucleic-acid, and anthocyanin families were found in all (fig. 7B). However, the intensity of 483 the compounds in each family differed greatly, depending on the fermentation condition, 484 showing that each yeast species has a different metabolism. This metabolism is modified 485 when both yeast species are put together, with a different response, depending on whether the 486 cells are physically separated or not. Moreover, the quantity of compounds was greater for LT 487 and SF-, with approximately 170 for LT (mostly CHO and CHON compounds) and 320 for 488 SF- (mostly CHO and CHON compounds), than for SC (approximately 50) and SF+ 489 (approximately 105), meaning that a higher diversity of compounds was found in LT and SF-. 490 Each yeast clearly has its own impact on SF+ and SF- in terms of chemical composition and 491 these results show that interactions between a non-Saccharomyces yeast and S. cerevisiae

492 affect not only the volatile compound profile but also the metabolite profile, with specific
493 exo-metabolomes for SC, LT, SF+, and SF- fermentations.

494 We carried the analysis further by making pairwise comparisons for all conditions, *i.e.* LT/SC, 495 LT/SF+, SC/SF+, LT/SF-, and SC/SF-, to highlight markers for each pair (fig. 8). First, PCAs 496 were performed for each pair to assess the separation of each fermentation according to axis 497 1, representing 86.2% of the variability for LT/SC, 89.7% for LT/SF-, 61.6% for SF+/SF-, 498 46.9% for LT/SF+, and 38.1% for SC/SF+, again highlighting the difference between LT and 499 both SF conditions, as well as the similarity between the SC and SF+ conditions (fig. 8, left). 500 Second, we used ANOVA (threshold *p*-value < 0.05), to find markers for each pair of conditions, represented in H/C vs. O/C van Krevelen diagrams coupled to histograms of 501 502 elemental formula composition (fig. 8, middle and right). Carbohydrate, amino-acid, nucleic-503 acid, and anthocyanin families were mostly represented in all comparisons. The next step 504 consisted of annotating these biomarkers and correlating them with the metabolic pathways 505 involved. We sought biomarkers in several databases (KEGG, Lipidmap, YMDB, Metlin and 506 an in-house plant and wine database) and generated a Search and color KEGG visualization 507 (supp. fig. 2) (Kanehisa and Goto, 2000; Kanehisa et al., 2012). Based on the 76 identified 508 metabolic pathways, most LT and SF- biomarkers are involved in carbohydrate, carbon 509 fixation, and amino-acid metabolism. We were unable to identify any biomarkers for SC and 510 only two for SF+, which are involved in carbohydrate and nucleotide metabolism (supp. fig. 2). This highlights the complex metabolite composition of wine, which is still poorly 511 512 understood, as reported previously by studies using FT-ICR-MS on wine (Roullier-Gall et al., 513 2014a, 2014b; Roullier-Gall et al., 2015).

These results show that metabolites produced by yeast under our different conditions result mainly from sugar and nitrogen source metabolism (also shown in supp. fig. 3), which represents 20 of the 76 identified metabolic pathways. This is not surprising because of the lack of these nutrients at the end of alcoholic fermentation.

518 These results provide new insights for the further study of interactions between non-519 *Saccharomyces* and *S. cerevisiae* by comparing specific exo-metabolomes (composed of 520 specific markers) of each fermentation, which may reflect the impact of interactions on 521 metabolite production. 522 Thus, the biomarkers found in our fermentations may be useful given the high intensity of 523 metabolites specific for fermentations performed with *L. thermotolerans*, *S. cerevisiae*, and 524 SF of both species, with or without cell-cell contact.

525

526 5. Conclusion

527 Here, we aimed to investigate the interactions that occur between S. cerevisiae and L. 528 thermotolerans during alcoholic fermentation of grape must. The results obtained from all 529 analyses performed in this study highlight a negative interaction between the two species to 530 the detriment of S. cerevisiae, due to a cell-cell contact mechanism (SF+ fermentation) and 531 the consumption of essential nutrients by L. thermotolerans during both SF conditions. 532 However, L. thermotolerans was also negatively affected by the presence of S. cerevisiae 533 under both SF conditions, even if the quantification of volatile compounds showed that L. 534 thermotolerans is able to modulate aroma complexity without differences between the two SF 535 conditions. Moreover, this study provides, a comparison of the exo-metabolomes of L. 536 thermotolerans and S. cerevisiae pure fermentations, as well as SF with both species, with or 537 without cell-cell contact. This comparison showed that interactions also affect metabolite 538 production by L. thermotolerans and S. cerevisiae during alcoholic fermentation in a different 539 manner as a function of the condition.

540 In conclusion, this study provides new insights concerning the interaction of *L*. 541 *thermotolerans* and *S. cerevisiae* during the alcoholic fermentation of grape must. However, 542 further study of the cell-cell contact mechanism and further identification of the metabolites 543 needs to be carried out to better understand the interactions between these two yeast species 544 and to investigate if these interactions between the two species are strain dependent.

545

546 <u>6. Acknowledgments</u>

547 We thank the other members of the VAlMiS laboratory for their assistance, in particular 548 Antoine Gobert for amino-acid quantification and the other PhD students for their help and 549 advice.

550

551 <u>7. Funding</u>

This work was supported by the Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur, de la Recherche et de
l'Innovation and the Fond Européen De Développement Régional (FEDER) through the PO
FEDER-FSE Bourgogne 2014/2020 programs.

555 <u>8. References</u>

- Albergaria, H., Francisco, D., Gori, K., Arneborg, N., Gírio, F., 2009. Saccharomyces
 cerevisiae CCMI 885 secretes peptides that inhibit the growth of some nonSaccharomyces wine-related strains. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 86, 965–972.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-009-2409-6
- Alexandre, H., Charpentier, C., 1998. Biochemical aspects of stuck and sluggish fermentation
 in grape must. J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 20, 20–27.
- Balikci, E.K., Tanguler, H., Jolly, N.P., Erten, H., 2016. Influence of Lachancea
 thermotolerans on cv. Emir wine fermentation. Yeast 33, 313–321.
 https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.3166
- Barbosa, C., Mendes-Faia, A., Lage, P., Mira, N.P., Mendes-Ferreira, A., 2015. Genomic
 expression program of Saccharomyces cerevisiae along a mixed-culture wine
 fermentation with Hanseniaspora guilliermondii. Microb. Cell Factories 14.
 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-015-0318-1
- Barrajón, N., Arévalo-Villena, M., Úbeda, J., Briones, A., 2011. Enological properties in wild
 and commercial Saccharomyces cerevisiae yeasts: relationship with competition
 during alcoholic fermentation. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 27, 2703–2710.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-011-0744-0
- Beckner Whitener, M.E., Carlin, S., Jacobson, D., Weighill, D., Divol, B., Conterno, L., Du
 Toit, M., Vrhovsek, U., 2015. Early fermentation volatile metabolite profile of nonSaccharomyces yeasts in red and white grape must: A targeted approach. LWT Food
 Sci. Technol. 64, 412–422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2015.05.018
- Bell, S.-J., Henschke, P.A., 2005. Implications of nitrogen nutrition for grapes, fermentation
 and wine. Aust. J. Grape Wine Res. 11, 242–295.
- Bely, M., Sablayrolles, J.-M., Barre, P., 1990. Automatic detection of assimilable nitrogen
 deficiencies during alcoholic fermentation in oenological conditions. J. Ferment.
 Bioeng. 70, 246–252. https://doi.org/10.1016/0922-338X(90)90057-4
- Benito, Á., Calderón, F., Palomero, F., Benito, S., 2016. Quality and Composition of Airén
 Wines Fermented by Sequential Inoculation of Lachancea thermotolerans and
 Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Food Technol. Biotechnol. 54, 135–144.
 https://doi.org/10.17113/ftb.54.02.16.4220
- Benito, S., 2018. The impact of <Emphasis Type="Italic">Torulaspora
 delbrueckii</Emphasis> yeast in winemaking. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 1–14.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-8849-0
- Benito, S., Hofmann, T., Laier, M., Lochbühler, B., Schüttler, A., Ebert, K., Fritsch, S.,
 Röcker, J., Rauhut, D., 2015. Effect on quality and composition of Riesling wines
 fermented by sequential inoculation with non-<Emphasis
- 592 Type="Italic">Saccharomyces</Emphasis> and <Emphasis
- 593 Type="Italic">Saccharomyces cerevisiae</Emphasis>. Eur. Food Res. Technol. 241,
- 594 707–717. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-015-2497-8
- Blateyron, L., Sablayrolles, J.M., 2001. Stuck and Slow Fermentations in Enology: Statistical
 Study of Causes and Effectiveness of Combined Additions of Oxygen and
 Diammonium Phosphate 6.
- Branco, P., Francisco, D., Chambon, C., Hébraud, M., Arneborg, N., Almeida, M.G.,
 Caldeira, J., Albergaria, H., 2014. Identification of novel GAPDH-derived
 antimicrobial peptides secreted by Saccharomyces cerevisiae and involved in wine
 microbial interactions. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 98, 843–853.
- 602 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-013-5411-y
- 603

604 Carrau, F.M., Medina, K., Farina, L., Boido, E., Henschke, P.A., Dellacassa, E., 2008. 605 Production of fermentation aroma compounds by Saccharomyces cerevisiae wine 606 yeasts: effects of yeast assimilable nitrogen on two model strains. FEMS Yeast Res. 8, 607 1196-1207. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1567-1364.2008.00412.x 608 Ciani, M., 1997. Role, enological properties and potential biotechnological use of non-609 Saccharomyces wine yeasts [WWW Document]. URL 610 https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Maurizio_Ciani/publication/284677825_Role_en ological_properties_and_potential_use_of_non-611 612 Saccharomyces_wine_yeasts/links/565eb5b908ae1ef92983e4b3.pdf (accessed 613 8.22.16). Ciani, M., Capece, A., Comitini, F., Canonico, L., Siesto, G., Romano, P., 2016. Yeast 614 615 Interactions in Inoculated Wine Fermentation. Front. Microbiol. 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00555 616 617 Crépin, L., Truong, N.M., Bloem, A., Sanchez, I., Dequin, S., Camarasa, C., 2017. 618 Management of multiple nitrogen sources during wine fermentation by S. cerevisiae. 619 Appl Env. Microbiol AEM.02617-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02617-16 620 Delobel, P., Pradal, M., Blondin, B., Tesniere, C., 2012. A 'fragile cell' sub-population 621 revealed during cytometric assessment of Saccharomyces cerevisiae viability in lipid-622 limited alcoholic fermentation. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 55, 338-344. 623 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-765X.2012.03301.x 624 Deytieux, C., Mussard, L., Biron, M.-J., Salmon, J.-M., 2005. Fine measurement of ergosterol 625 requirements for growth of Saccharomyces cerevisiae during alcoholic fermentation. 626 Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 68, 266–271. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-004-1872-3 Englezos, V., Cravero, F., Torchio, F., Rantsiou, K., Ortiz-Julien, A., Lambri, M., Gerbi, V., 627 628 Rolle, L., Cocolin, L., 2018. Oxygen availability and strain combination modulate 629 yeast growth dynamics in mixed culture fermentations of grape must with Starmerella 630 bacillaris and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Food Microbiol. 69, 179-188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2017.08.007 631 632 Englezos, V., Rantsiou, K., Giacosa, S., Río Segade, S., Rolle, L., Cocolin, L., 2019. Cell-to-633 cell contact mechanism modulates Starmerella bacillaris death in mixed culture 634 fermentations with Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 289, 106–114. 635 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2018.09.009 636 Esteve-Zarzoso, B., Manzanares, P., Ramon, D., Querol, A., 1998. The role of non-637 Saccharomyces yeasts in industrial winemaking. Int. Microbiol. 1, 143–148. 638 Fleet, G.H., 2008. Wine yeasts for the future. FEMS Yeast Res. 8, 979–995. 639 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1567-1364.2008.00427.x 640 Fornairon-Bonnefond, C., Salmon, J.-M., 2003. Impact of Oxygen Consumption by Yeast 641 Lees on the Autolysis Phenomenon during Simulation of Wine Aging on Lees. J. 642 Agric. Food Chem. 51, 2584–2590. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0259819 643 García, M., Esteve-Zarzoso, B., Arroyo, T., 2016. Non-Saccharomyces Yeasts: 644 Biotechnological Role for Wine Production, in: Morata, A., Loira, I. (Eds.), Grape and 645 Wine Biotechnology. InTech. https://doi.org/10.5772/64957 García, M., Esteve-Zarzoso, B., Crespo, J., Cabellos, J.M., Arroyo, T., 2017. Yeast 646 647 Monitoring of Wine Mixed or Sequential Fermentation Made by Native Strains from 648 D.O. "Vinos de Madrid" Using Real Time Quantitative PCR. Front. Microbiol. 8. 649 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02520 650 Gobbi, M., Comitini, F., Domizio, P., Romani, C., Lencioni, L., Mannazzu, I., Ciani, M., 651 2013. Lachancea thermotolerans and Saccharomyces cerevisiae in simultaneous and 652 sequential co-fermentation: A strategy to enhance acidity and improve the overall

653 quality of wine. Food Microbiol. 33, 271–281. 654 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2012.10.004 Gobert, A., Tourdot-Maréchal, R., Morge, C., Sparrow, C., Liu, Y., Quintanilla-Casas, B., 655 656 Vichi, S., Alexandre, H., 2017. Non-Saccharomyces Yeasts Nitrogen Source 657 Preferences: Impact on Sequential Fermentation and Wine Volatile Compounds 658 Profile. Front. Microbiol. 8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.02175 659 Godard, P., Urrestarazu, A., Vissers, S., Kontos, K., Bontempi, G., Helden, J. van, André, B., 660 2007. Effect of 21 Different Nitrogen Sources on Global Gene Expression in the Yeast 661 Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol. Cell. Biol. 27, 3065–3086. 662 https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.01084-06 González, B., Vázquez, J., Morcillo-Parra, M.Á., Mas, A., Torija, M.J., Beltran, G., 2018. The 663 664 production of aromatic alcohols in non-Saccharomyces wine yeast is modulated by nutrient availability. Food Microbiol. 74, 64-74. 665 666 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2018.03.003 667 Hansen, E.H., Nissen, P., Sommer, P., Nielsen, J.C., Arneborg, N., 2001. The effect of oxygen on the survival of non-Saccharomyces yeasts during mixed culture 668 669 fermentations of grape juice with Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Appl. Microbiol. 91, 670 541-547. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2001.01426.x Hazelwood, L.A., Daran, J.-M., Maris, A.J.A. van, Pronk, J.T., Dickinson, J.R., 2008. The 671 Ehrlich Pathway for Fusel Alcohol Production: a Century of Research on 672 673 Saccharomyces cerevisiae Metabolism. Appl Env. Microbiol 74, 2259–2266. 674 https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02625-07 675 Hirst, M.B., Richter, C.L., 2016. Review of Aroma Formation through Metabolic Pathways of 676 Saccharomyces cerevisiae in Beverage Fermentations. Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 67, 361-677 370. https://doi.org/10.5344/ajev.2016.15098 678 Jolly, J., Augustyn, O.P.H., Pretorius, I.S., others, 2006. The role and use of non-679 Saccharomyces yeasts in wine production. South Afr. J. Enol. Vitic. 27, 15. 680 Jolly, N.P., Varela, C., Pretorius, I.S., 2014. Not your ordinary yeast: non-Saccharomyces 681 yeasts in wine production uncovered. FEMS Yeast Res. 14, 215-237. 682 https://doi.org/10.1111/1567-1364.12111 Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., 2000. KEGG: Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes. Nucleic 683 684 Acids Res. 28, 27–30. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.27 685 Kanehisa, M., Goto, S., Sato, Y., Furumichi, M., Tanabe, M., 2012. KEGG for integration and 686 interpretation of large-scale molecular data sets. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, D109-D114. 687 https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr988 688 Kapsopoulou, K., Kapaklis, A., Spyropoulos, H., 2005. Growth and Fermentation 689 Characteristics of a Strain of the Wine Yeast Kluyveromyces thermotolerans Isolated 690 in Greece. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 21, 1599–1602. 691 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-005-8220-3 692 Kapsopoulou, K., Mourtzini, A., Anthoulas, M., Nerantzis, E., 2006. Biological acidification 693 during grape must fermentation using mixed cultures of Kluyveromyces 694 thermotolerans and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 23, 695 735-739. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-006-9283-5 696 Kemsawasd, V., Viana, T., Ardö, Y., Arneborg, N., 2015. Influence of nitrogen sources on 697 growth and fermentation performance of different wine yeast species during alcoholic 698 fermentation. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 99, 10191–10207. 699 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-015-6835-3 700 Liu, Y., Forcisi, S., Harir, M., Deleris-Bou, M., Krieger-Weber, S., Lucio, M., Longin, C., 701 Degueurce, C., Gougeon, R.D., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., Alexandre, H., 2016. New 702 molecular evidence of wine yeast-bacteria interaction unraveled by non-targeted

703 exometabolomic profiling. Metabolomics 12, 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11306-704 016-1001-1 705 Liu, Y., Rousseaux, S., Tourdot-Maréchal, R., Sadoudi, M., Gougeon, R., Schmitt-Kopplin, 706 P., Alexandre, H., 2015. Wine microbiome, a dynamic world of microbial interactions. 707 Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 0, 00-00. https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2014.983591 708 Longin, C., Petitgonnet, C., Guilloux-Benatier, M., Rousseaux, S., Alexandre, H., 2017. 709 Application of flow cytometry to wine microorganisms. Food Microbiol. 62, 221–231. 710 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2016.10.023 711 Lopez, C.L.F., Beaufort, S., Brandam, C., Taillandier, P., 2014. Interactions between 712 Kluyveromyces marxianus and Saccharomyces cerevisiae in tequila must type 713 medium fermentation. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 30, 2223-2229. 714 https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-014-1643-y Luparia, V., Soubeyrand, V., Berges, T., Julien, A., Salmon, J.-M., 2004. Assimilation of 715 716 grape phytosterols by Saccharomyces cerevisiae and their impact on enological 717 fermentations. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 65, 25–32. 718 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-003-1549-3 719 Marsit, S., Mena, A., Bigey, F., Sauvage, F.-X., Couloux, A., Guy, J., Legras, J.-L., Barrio, 720 E., Dequin, S., Galeote, V., 2015. Evolutionary Advantage Conferred by an 721 Eukaryote-to-Eukaryote Gene Transfer Event in Wine Yeasts. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32, 722 1695-1707. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msv057 723 Mora, J., Barbas, J.I., Mulet, A., 1990. Growth of yeast species during the fermentation of 724 musts inoculated with Kluvveromyces thermotolerans and Saccharomyces cerevisiae. 725 Am. J. Enol. Vitic. 41, 156–159. 726 Nissen, P., Arneborg, N., 2003. Characterization of early deaths of non-Saccharomyces 727 yeasts in mixed cultures with Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Arch. Microbiol. 180, 257-728 263. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-003-0585-9 729 Nissen, P., Nielsen, D., Arneborg, N., 2003. Viable Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells at high 730 concentrations cause early growth arrest of non-Saccharomyces yeasts in mixed 731 cultures by a cell-cell contact-mediated mechanism. Yeast 20, 331-341. 732 https://doi.org/10.1002/yea.965 733 Renault, P.E., Albertin, W., Bely, M., 2013. An innovative tool reveals interaction 734 mechanisms among yeast populations under oenological conditions. Appl. Microbiol. 735 Biotechnol. 97, 4105-4119. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4660-5 736 Rojas, V., Gil, J.V., Piñaga, F., Manzanares, P., 2001. Studies on acetate ester production by 737 non-Saccharomyces wine yeasts. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 70, 283-289. 738 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-1605(01)00552-9 739 Rollero, S., Mouret, J.-R., Bloem, A., Sanchez, I., Ortiz Julien, A., Sablayrolles, J.-M., 740 Dequin, S., Camarasa, C., 2017. Quantitative 13C-isotope labelling-based analysis to 741 elucidate the influence of environmental parameters on the production of fermentative 742 aromas during wine fermentation. Microb. Biotechnol. 10, 1649-1662. 743 https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12749 744 Rollero, S., Mouret, J.-R., Sanchez, I., Camarasa, C., Ortiz-Julien, A., Sablayrolles, J.-M., 745 Dequin, S., 2016. Key role of lipid management in nitrogen and aroma metabolism in 746 an evolved wine yeast strain. Microb. Cell Factories 15. 747 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-016-0434-6 748 Romano, P., Suzzi, G., Comi, G., Zironi, R., 1992. Higher alcohol and acetic acid production 749 by apiculate wine yeasts. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 73, 126–130. 750 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1992.tb01698.x

751 Rossouw, D., Meiring, S.P., Bauer, F.F., 2018. Modifying Saccharomyces cerevisiae 752 Adhesion Properties Regulates Yeast Ecosystem Dynamics. mSphere 3, e00383-18. 753 https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00383-18 754 Roullier-Gall, Chloé, Boutegrabet, L., Gougeon, R.D., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., 2014a. A grape 755 and wine chemodiversity comparison of different appellations in Burgundy: Vintage 756 vs terroir effects. Food Chem. 152, 100-107. 757 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.11.056 758 Roullier-Gall, Chloé, Witting, M., Gougeon, R.D., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., 2014b. High 759 precision mass measurements for wine metabolomics. Front. Chem. 2. 760 https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2014.00102 761 Roullier-Gall, C., Witting, M., Tziotis, D., Ruf, A., Gougeon, R.D., Schmitt-Kopplin, P., 762 2015. Integrating analytical resolutions in non-targeted wine metabolomics. 763 Tetrahedron 71, 2983–2990. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2015.02.054 764 Sablayrolles, J.-M., Dubois, C., Manginot, C., Roustan, J.-L., Barre, P., 1996. Effectiveness of 765 combined ammoniacal nitrogen and oxygen additions for completion of sluggish and 766 stuck wine fermentations. J. Ferment. Bioeng. 82, 377-381. 767 https://doi.org/10.1016/0922-338X(96)89154-9 768 Sadoudi, M., 2014. Intérêts biotechnologiques des levures indigènes non saccharomyces 769 [WWW Document]. http://www.theses.fr. URL http://www.theses.fr/s81726 (accessed 770 9.28.15). 771 Sadoudi, M., Tourdot-Maréchal, R., Rousseaux, S., Steyer, D., Gallardo-Chacón, J.-J., 772 Ballester, J., Vichi, S., Guérin-Schneider, R., Caixach, J., Alexandre, H., 2012. Yeast-773 yeast interactions revealed by aromatic profile analysis of Sauvignon Blanc wine 774 fermented by single or co-culture of non-Saccharomyces and Saccharomyces yeasts. 775 Food Microbiol. 32, 243-253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2012.06.006 776 Salmon, J.-M., 2006. Interactions between yeast, oxygen and polyphenols during alcoholic 777 fermentations: Practical implications. LWT - Food Sci. Technol., European 778 Symposium on Apple Processing 39, 959–965. 779 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2005.11.005 780 Salmon, J.-M., Fornairon, C., Barre, P., 1998. Determination of oxygen utilization pathways 781 in an industrial strain of Saccharomyces cerevisiae during enological fermentation. J. 782 Ferment. Bioeng. 86, 154-163. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0922-338X(98)80054-8 783 Shekhawat, K., Bauer, F.F., Setati, M.E., 2017. Impact of oxygenation on the performance of 784 three non-Saccharomyces yeasts in co-fermentation with Saccharomyces cerevisiae. 785 Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 101, 2479–2491. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-016-786 8001-y 787 Strauss, M. l. a., Jolly, N. p., Lambrechts, M. g., Van Rensburg, P., 2001. Screening for the 788 production of extracellular hydrolytic enzymes by non-Saccharomyces wine yeasts. J. 789 Appl. Microbiol. 91, 182–190. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2672.2001.01379.x 790 Taillandier, P., Ramon Portugal, F., Fuster, A., Strehaiano, P., 2007. Effect of ammonium 791 concentration on alcoholic fermentation kinetics by wine yeasts for high sugar content. 792 Food Microbiol. 24, 95-100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2006.04.002 Tehlivets, O., Scheuringer, K., Kohlwein, S.D., 2007. Fatty acid synthesis and elongation in 793 794 yeast. Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Mol. Cell Biol. Lipids, Regulation of Lipid 795 Metabolism in Yeast 1771, 255-270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbalip.2006.07.004 796 Torija, M.J., Rozès, N., Poblet, M., Guillamón, J.M., Mas, A., 2001. Yeast population 797 dynamics in spontaneous fermentations: Comparison between two different wine-798 producing areas over a period of three years. Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek 79, 345–352. 799 https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012027718701

- Valera, M.J., Morcillo-Parra, M.Á., Zagórska, I., Mas, A., Beltran, G., Torija, M.J., 2019.
 Effects of melatonin and tryptophol addition on fermentations carried out by
 Saccharomyces cerevisiae and non-Saccharomyces yeast species under different
 nitrogen conditions. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 289, 174–181.
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2018.09.013
- Varela, C., Torrea, D., Schmidt, S.A., Ancin-Azpilicueta, C., Henschke, P.A., 2012. Effect of
 oxygen and lipid supplementation on the volatile composition of chemically defined
 medium and Chardonnay wine fermented with Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Food Chem.
 135, 2863–2871. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.06.127
- Wang, C., Mas, A., Esteve-Zarzoso, B., 2016. The Interaction between Saccharomyces
 cerevisiae and Non-Saccharomyces Yeast during Alcoholic Fermentation is Species
 and Strain Specific. Food Microbiol. 7, 502. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00502
- Wang, X.D., Bohlscheid, J.C., Edwards, C.G., 2003. Fermentative activity and production of
 volatile compounds by Saccharomyces grown in synthetic grape juice media deficient
 in assimilable nitrogen and/or pantothenic acid. J. Appl. Microbiol. 94, 349–359.
- Zhang, B., Luan, Y., Duan, C., Yan, G., 2018. Use of Torulaspora delbrueckii cofermentation with two Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains with different aromatic
 characteristic to improve the diversity of red wine aroma profile. Front. Microbiol. 9.
 https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00606
- Zupan, J., Avbelj, M., Butinar, B., Kosel, J., Šergan, M., Raspor, P., 2013. Monitoring of
 Quorum-Sensing Molecules during Minifermentation Studies in Wine Yeast. J. Agric.
 Food Chem. 61, 2496–2505. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf3051363
- 822



















Figure 1: (Left) H/C vs. O/C van Krevelen diagram of common masses found in all fermentations (LT, SC, SF+ and SF-) with the region where metabolite families are represented and (right) histograms representing the abundance of metabolites composed with CHO, CHOS, CHON or CHONS atoms.

Figure 2: Fermentation kinetics with sugar consumptions (glucose and fructose) and ethanol production by yeasts in pure and sequential fermentations with and without dialysis membrane in white must at 20°C: •• \blacktriangle •• Glucose/fructose and - \bigstar - % Ethanol v/v *S. cerevisiae* pure fermentation (SC); •• •• •• Glucose/fructose and - \blacksquare - % Ethanol v/v *L. thermotolerans* pure fermentation (LT); •• ••• Glucose/Fructose and - \blacklozenge - % Ethanol v/v sequential fermentation without contact (SF-);•• ••• •• •• Glucose/fructose and - \blacklozenge - % Ethanol v/v sequential fermentation with contact (SF-);•• •••

Figure 3: Curves representing the concentration of PI negative cells (viable cells, log representation) in pure and sequential fermentations in white must at 20°C:

(A) \Box *L. thermotolerans* pure fermentation (LT); $-\Box - L$. *thermotolerans* SF+; $\cdots \Box \cdots L$. *thermotolerans* SF-.

(B) \blacktriangle *S. cerevisiae* pure fermentation (SC); $- \blacktriangle - S$. *cerevisiae* SF+; $\cdots \blacktriangle \cdots S$. *cerevisiae* SF-. Error bars represent the standard deviation of the results.

Figure 4: Consumption of dissolve oxygen during alcoholic fermentation of both sequential fermentations with (SF+) or without (SF-) contact: -•- SF+; -■- SF-. * significative difference (T-test. *p*-value < 0.05) between both fermentations and error bars represent the standard deviation of the results.

Figure 5: Biplot of the principal component analysis (PC1 vs. PC2) for volatile compounds found in each fermentations. Ellipses represent clusters obtained from HCA.

SF+: sequential fermentation with contact

SF-: sequential fermentation without contact (both compartments)

SC: S. cerevisiae pure fermentation

LT: L. thermotolerans pure fermentation

Figure 6: (A) Principal component analysis (PC1 vs. PC2) of metabolite profiles for each fermentation condition. (B) Venn diagram representing metabolites found exclusively in each fermentation as well as those found in two or more fermentations, with 1247 metabolites that are common to all fermentations.

Figure 7: (A) Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) obtained after ANOVA (threshold *p-value* < 0.05). (B) H/C vs. O/C Van Krevelen diagrams representing masses from the 1247 common masses with a higher intensity in SC, LT, SF+ or SF- after ANOVA (*p-value* < 0.05) with histograms representing their composition and number of elemental formula (CHO, CHOS, CHON or CHONS compounds). Bubble sizes indicate relative intensities of corresponding peaks in the spectra.

Figure 8: (A, B, C, D and E, left) Principal component analysis (PC1 *vs.* PC2) of both fermentations for each couple of conditions and (A, B, C, D and E, middle and right) H/C *vs.* O/C Van Krevelen diagrams representing specific metabolites found in both fermentations of each couple described as

markers coupled to histograms of elemental formula composition. Bubble sizes indicate relative intensities of corresponding peaks in the spectra.

	Sterol conce		
-	Must (T0)	T24 h before S. cerevisiae inoculation	% of decrease
ergosterol	nd	nd	nd
campesterol	1.9 ± 0.1	nd	nd
stigmasterol	1.6 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.04	14.0 ± 12.0
β-sitosterol	28.8 ± 1.2	9.2 ± 1.4	68.0 ± 3.8

Table 1: Concentration of phytosterols (mg.L⁻¹) in the must and after 24 h of alcoholic fermentation by *L. thermotolerans* before *S. cerevisiae* inoculation. nd, non determined.

Table 2: Concentration of amino acids and ammonium (mg of N.L ⁻¹) in the must and after the first 24
hours of fermentation with L. thermotolerans in LT, as well as the percentage of decrease representing
the consumption of each compound.

* Indicates aromatic amino acids.

	Concentratio			
YAN	Must (T0)	T24h	% of decrease	
Alanine	22.78 ± 0.44	11.36 ± 3.18	50.2 ± 5.0	
Arginine	315.03 ± 2.38	39.08 ± 34.28	87.6 ± 1.5	
Asparagine	2.70 ± 0.12	0.05 ± 0.40	98.2 ± 3.2	
Aspartic acid	3.99 ± 0.12	0.54 ± 0.52	86.4 ± 0.6	
Cysteine	0.47 ± 0.41	0.02 ± 0.19	87.3 ± 18.3	
GABA	4.53 ± 0.09	4.41 ± 0.18	2.6 ± 6.8	
Glutamic acid	5.71 ± 0.12	1.93 ± 0.94	66.2 ± 3.4	
Glutamine	41.35 ± 0.89	3.44 ± 4.01	91.7 ± 1.1	
Glycine	1.96 ± 0.11	0.90 ± 0.13	54.0 ± 8.4	
Histidine*	13.52 ± 0.37	0.01 ± 1.75	99.9 ± 0.2	
Isoleucine	1.62 ± 0.14	0.11 ± 0.25	93.0 ± 3.4	
Leucine	2.33 ± 0.03	0.16 ± 0.17	92.9 ± 7.0	
Lysine	0.04 ± 0.07	0.04 ± 0.22	/	
Methionine	0.96 ± 0.24	0.21 ± 0.12	75.4 ± 20.6	
NH4+	242 ± 2	163 ± 18	32.6 ± 9.1	
Phenylalanine*	10.15 ± 0.06	0.03 ± 0.92	99.7 ± 0.2	
Proline	83.86 ± 1.33	83.66 ± 7.13	0.11 ± 13.0	
Serine	19.23 ± 0.24	2.76 ± 2.51	85.7 ± 2.7	
Threonine	15.81 ± 0.65	0.80 ± 1.55	94.9 ± 1.1	
Tyrosine*	3.87 ± 0.01	0.57 ± 0.43	85.2 ± 3.3	
Valine	3.83 ± 0.08	0.80 ± 0.44	79.2 ± 3.1	

Table 3: Concentration of volatile compounds at the end of AF for each fermentation (SC, LT, SF+ and SF-). Values with the same letters a, b, c or d were not significantly different in Tukey's test (95%); nd stands for non determined. Aroma descriptors inspired by Beckner Whitener et al. (2015).

Volatile compounds (µg.L ⁻¹)	Aroma descriptors	LT	SC	SF+	SF-
Alcohols					
1-PROPANOL	weak fusel	$2636.9 \pm 609.1^{\circ}$	$2619.5 \pm 552.5^{\circ}$	$2938.3 \pm 680.9^{\circ}$	$1989.4 \pm 600.6^{\circ}$
ISOBUTYL ALCOHOL		$14957.5 \pm 1546.9^{\circ}$	$13593.4 \pm 3444.2^{\circ}$	$16923.5 \pm 2000.3^{\circ}$	$15962.0 \pm 2956.7^{\circ}$
1-BUTANOL		$1595.1 \pm 588.0^{\circ}$	407.4 ± 357.1 ^b	$287.4 \pm 173.0^{\circ}$	422.8 ± 216.6^{b}
3-METHYLBUTANOL		64147.9 ± 10420.4^{a}	36481.7 ± 8337.5	37475.9 ± 6178.1^{b}	41503.1 ± 5689.8 ^b
2-METHYLBUTANOL		$351686.0 \pm 27545.4^{^{a}}$	289206.6 ± 41799.3^{b}	272122.5 ± 27895.6^{b}	295422.7 ± 30539.1^{b}
1-HEXANOL	green	3555.6 ± 633.8^{ab}	4412.8 ± 499.4^{a}	4119.5 ± 1006.1^{a}	2932.8 ± 461.5^{b}
1-OCTEN-3-OL	mushroom	46.0 ± 10.4^{ab}	56.6 ± 12.7^{a}	41.7 ± 11.6^{ab}	35.0 ± 10.7^{b}
1-HEPTANOL	leafy	146.6 ± 35.3^{b}	493.5 ± 58.6^{a}	$555.9 \pm 284.4^{^{a}}$	592.9 ± 278.2^{a}
1-OCTANOL	waxy	109.0 ± 26.8^{b}	244.4 ± 15.4^{a}	99.3 ± 20.4 ^b	228.9 ± 43.5 ^a
NONANOL	fruity	227.2 ± 43.6^{a}	217.5 ± 46.4^{a}	304.1 ± 61.7^{a}	295.7 ± 88.0^{a}
BENZYL ALCOHOL	fruity	1.0 ± 1.0^{b}	0.9 ± 0.6^{b}	1.0 ± 1.1^{b}	7.2 ± 5.9^{a}
PHENYLETHYL ALCOHOL	rose	183182.9 ± 18256.1^{a}	127596.2 ± 9981.1	119724.4 ± 17352.4^{b}	134448.6 ± 8110.8^{b}
PHENOL		395.9 ± 134.2^{a}	384.8 ± 220.5^{a}	$589.1 \pm 257.1^{^{a}}$	486.4 ± 97.6^{a}
Total		$622687.6 \pm 41831.0^{^{a}}$	475715.5 ± 54330.3 ^b	455182.5 ± 48966.9^{b}	494327.2 ± 24460.0^{b}
Medium chain fatty acids					
HEXANOIC ACID	sour	$1050.2 \pm 93.1^{\circ}$	4051.7 ± 217.7^{a}	2109.2 ± 300.2^{b}	3938.8 ± 406.4^{a}
OCTANOIC ACID	rancid	1739.9 ± 188.8^{d}	7605.2 ± 824.2^{b}	$3984.0 \pm 335.5^{\circ}$	8679.0 ± 573.7 ^a
DECANOIC ACID	unpleasant	785.1 ± 116.9^{b}	$531.2 \pm 73.4^{\circ}$	941.0 ± 142.3^{b}	$1651.6 \pm 207.4^{\circ}$
Total		3575.1 ± 367.3^{d}	12188.2 ± 965.3^{b}	$7034.2 \pm 719.8^{\circ}$	$14269.4 \pm 896.3^{^{a}}$
Aldehydes, ketones and lactones					
BENZALDEHYDE	almond	4012.7 ± 376.1^{a}	4469.8 ± 362.2^{a}	4396.9 ± 635.7^{a}	2850.2 ± 889.8 ^b
ACETOPHENONE	almond, sweet, floral	103.6 ± 12.5^{b}	89.0 ± 13.2 ^b	249.1 ± 67.6^{ab}	332.1 ± 188.7^{a}
2,3-BUTANEDIONE		$529.8 \pm 326.4^{^{a}}$	608.1 ± 232.7^{a}	$568.9 \pm 296.6^{^{a}}$	723.1 ± 247.9^{a}
GAMMA-BUTYROLACTONE		0.3 ± 0.05^{a}	0.3 ± 0.09^{a}	$0.3 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	0.3 ± 0.07^{a}
Total		4646.4 ± 415.5^{ab}	$5167.3 \pm 529.1^{^{\mathrm{a}}}$	$5215.3 \pm 639.4^{^{a}}$	3905.6 ± 456.4^{b}
Terpenes					
TERPINENE-4-OL	spicy, mentol	0.7 ± 0.5^{a}	$5.3 \pm 6.5^{*}$	0.5 ± 0.2^{a}	$2.3 \pm 2.6^{^{a}}$
TRANS-BETA-DAMASCENONE	rose	0.5 ± 0.3^{a}	0.5 ± 0.1^{a}	$0.6 \pm 0.2^{*}$	0.6 ± 0.2^{a}
Total		1.2 ± 0.6^{a}	$5.8 \pm 6.5^{*}$	1.0 ± 0.3^{a}	$2.8 \pm 2.2^{\circ}$
Esters					
ETHYL ACETATE	fruity or ascescent	102.5 ± 14.3^{a}	35.9 ± 8.3	102.0 ± 10.3^{a}	91.1 ± 18.1
ETHYL ISOBUTYRATE		$2.0 \pm 0.5^{*}$	$1.7 \pm 1.0^{*}$	2.3 ± 1.0 ^a	1.7 ± 0.7^{a}
ETHYL BUTYRATE	fruity	$1.5 \pm 1.0^{\circ}$	$1.3 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$	1.6 ± 0.5^{ab}	$2.5 \pm 0.7^{"}$
ETHYL VALERATE OR ISOVALERATE	fruity	0.2 ± 0.03^{a}	0.2 ± 0.04^{ab}	0.2 ± 0.04^{b}	0.20 ± 0.04^{ab}
ISOAMYL ACETATE	banana	$19.0 \pm 3.0^{\circ}$	23.7 ± 2.7 ^b	52.5 ± 21.8^{a}	$65.2\pm8.8a$
ETHYL 2-BUTENOATE		nd	0.2 ± 0.1^{a}	0.1 ± 0.08^{a}	0.2 ± 0.1^{a}
ETHYL HEXANOATE	fruity	$324.6 \pm 50.3^{\circ}$	$996.4 \pm 78.0^{\circ}$	$719.6 \pm 84.1^{\circ}$	$1126.0 \pm 134.4^{\circ}$
HEXYL ACETATE	banana	$1.7 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$	26.9 ± 3.1^{a}	$13.8 \pm 10.5^{\circ}$	$16.2 \pm 2.3^{\circ}$
ETHYL LACTATE		46.6 ± 7.2	nd	194.9 ± 57.1^{a}	46.4 ± 15.1
ETHYL OCTANOATE	apricot	$45.5 \pm 8.4^{\circ}$	576.8 ± 58.2	$272.6 \pm 40.4^{\circ}$	479.5 ± 89.3
ETHYL NONANOATE		$4.0 \pm 0.5^{"}$	3.5 ± 0.4	3.6 ± 1.0	2.8 ± 0.6
ETHYL DECANOATE	sweet	5.2 ± 0.4	$12.5 \pm 1.2^{\circ}$	20.9 ± 3.5	$18.6 \pm 1.6^{\circ}$
ISOAMYL OCTANOATE	sweet	0.2 ± 0.05	0.6 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.06	0.6 ± 0.05
DIETHYL SUCCINATE	fruity	$0.8 \pm 0.6^{a}_{d}$	0.8 ± 0.3	$0.8 \pm 0.2^{"}$	$0.6 \pm 0.1^{"}_{h}$
PHENYL ETHYL ACETATE	floral	2.1 ± 0.2	34.2 ± 1.7 [°]	8.0 ± 3.4	15.1 ± 1.5
ETHYL LAURATE		0.8 ± 0.3	1.4 ± 0.4	$1.4 \pm 0.3^{"}_{h}$	1.2 ± 0.3^{ab}
ETHYL MYRISTATE		1.3 ± 0.4	5.3 ± 0.7	$4.0 \pm 1.1^{\circ}_{ab}$	$3.6 \pm 0.9^{\circ}$
ETHYL PALMITATE		1.1 ± 0.2	3.7 ± 1.0°	3.3 ± 1.3	1.9 ± 0.6
Total		$559.0\pm78.6^{\circ}$	$1725.0 \pm 125.0^{\circ}$	1401.8 ± 218.5	$1873.1 \pm 167.8^{\circ}$