

Neuromuscular electrical stimulation in critically ill traumatic brain injury patients attenuates muscle atrophy, neurophysiological disorders, and weakness: a randomized controlled trial

Paulo Eugênio Silva, Rita de Cássia Marqueti, Karina Livino-De-Carvalho, Amaro Eduardo Tavares de Araujo, Joana Castro, Vinicius Maldaner da Silva, Luciana Vieira, Vinicius Carolino Souza, Lucas Ogura Dantas, Gerson Cipriano Jr, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Paulo Eugênio Silva, Rita de Cássia Marqueti, Karina Livino-De-Carvalho, Amaro Eduardo Tavares de Araujo, Joana Castro, et al.. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation in critically ill traumatic brain injury patients attenuates muscle atrophy, neurophysiological disorders, and weakness: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Intensive Care, 2019, 59, 7 (1), 10.1186/s40560-019-0417-x. hal-02430882

HAL Id: hal-02430882 https://u-bourgogne.hal.science/hal-02430882

Submitted on 7 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RESEARCH



Neuromuscular electrical stimulation in critically ill traumatic brain injury patients attenuates muscle atrophy, neurophysiological disorders, and weakness: a randomized controlled trial



Paulo Eugênio Silva^{1,2*}, Rita de Cássia Marqueti³, Karina Livino-de-Carvalho⁴, Amaro Eduardo Tavares de Araujo², Joana Castro², Vinicius Maldaner da Silva⁵, Luciana Vieira⁶, Vinicius Carolino Souza⁷, Lucas Ogura Dantas⁸, Gerson Cipriano Jr³, Otávio Tolêdo Nóbrega^{1,7,9}, Nicolas Babault¹⁰ and Joao Luiz Quagliotti Durigan³

Abstract

Background: Critically ill traumatic brain injury (TBI) patients experience extensive muscle damage during their stay in the intensive care unit. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) has been considered a promising treatment to reduce the functional and clinical impacts of this. However, the time needed for NMES to produce effects over the muscles is still unclear. This study primarily aimed to assess the time needed and effects of an NMES protocol on muscle architecture, neuromuscular electrophysiological disorder (NED), and muscle strength, and secondarily, to evaluate the effects on plasma systemic inflammation, catabolic responses, and clinical outcomes.

Methods: We performed a randomized clinical trial in critically ill TBI patients. The control group received only conventional physiotherapy, while the NMES group additionally underwent daily NMES for 14 days in the lower limb muscles. Participants were assessed at baseline and on days 3, 7, and 14 of their stay in the intensive care unit. The primary outcomes were assessed with muscle ultrasound, neuromuscular electrophysiology, and evoked peak force, and the secondary outcomes with plasma cytokines, matrix metalloproteinases, and clinical outcomes.

Results: Sixty participants were randomized, and twenty completed the trial from each group. After 14 days, the control group presented a significant reduction in muscle thickness of tibialis anterior and rectus femoris, mean of -0.33 mm (-14%) and -0.49 mm (-21%), p < 0.0001, respectively, while muscle thickness was preserved in the NMES group. The control group presented a higher incidence of NED: 47% vs. 0% in the NMES group, p < 0.0001, risk ratio of 16, and the NMES group demonstrated an increase in the evoked peak force (2.34 kg/f, p < 0.0001), in contrast to the control group (-1.55 kg/f, p < 0.0001). The time needed for the NMES protocol to prevent muscle architecture disorders and treat weakness was at least 7 days, and 14 days to treat NED. The secondary outcomes exhibited less precise results, with confidence intervals that spanned worthwhile or trivial effects.

(Continued on next page)

* Correspondence: pauloeugenio.bsb@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

¹Health Sciences and Technologies PhD Program, University of Brasilia, Brasilia, DF, Brazil

²Physical Therapy Division, Hospital de Base do Distrito Federal, Brasília, DF, Brazil

(Continued from previous page)

Conclusions: NMES applied daily for fourteen consecutive days reduced muscle atrophy, the incidence of NED, and muscle weakness in critically ill TBI patients. At least 7 days of NMES were required to elicit the first significant results.

Trial registration: The trial was registered at ensaiosclinicos.gov.br under protocol RBR-8kdrbz on 17 January 2016.

Keywords: Critical care, Electrical stimulation therapy, Muscular atrophy, Muscle weakness, Neuromuscular diseases, Traumatic brain injury

Background

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a frequent cause of morbimortality and represents a significant economic burden around the world [1, 2]. Mechanically ventilated critically ill TBI patients present a high risk of poor functional outcomes and often need substantial support after intensive care unit (ICU) discharge [3]. These patients demonstrate extensive muscle wasting, which occurs rapidly at the onset of a stay in the ICU [4]. In addition, patients can develop critical illness neuromyopathy, which is the leading cause of functional disorders [5]. This neuromyopathy alters nerve conduction and muscle excitability, inducing neuromuscular electrophysiological disorder (NED), which in addition to the muscle wasting, generates widespread muscle weakness [5]. The presence of NED is indicative of peripheral nerve disease with a sensitivity ranging from 90 to 100% [6]. The development of widespread muscle weakness among critically ill patients has been referred to as ICUacquired weakness (ICUAW) [5, 7]. ICUAW patients also display high levels of plasma cytokines such as IL-6, IL-8, and TNF- α , which are associated with inflammatory and catabolic responses [8]. Clinically, ICUAW is associated with prolonged mechanical ventilation, longer ICU stays, and increased morbimortality rates [5]. Therefore, the prompt diagnosis of ICUAW is considered a cornerstone for preventing functional impairments [9].

Early rehabilitation in the ICU seems to be a feasible alternative for the prevention and treatment of ICUAW [10]. Among the treatments available for the early rehabilitation of patients in the ICU, neuromuscular electrical stimulation (NMES) has been considered a promising treatment [11]. Two systematic reviews concluded that NMES added to usual care proved to be more effective than usual care alone for preventing skeletal muscle weakness in critically ill patients [12, 13]. However, these studies found inconclusive evidence of its benefit in the prevention of muscle atrophy [12, 13]. In fact, there are particular gaps in the definition of a more efficient NMES protocol for non-cooperative critically ill patients [14, 15]. For example, the time needed for the NMES protocol to elicit the first countermeasure effects has still not been determined [16]. It appears that stimulation of a larger muscle area, as well as the production of maximum evoked contractions, is crucial for better results [16, 17]. Moreover, the number of stimuli per day and the number of treatment days could also be essential to generate significant results [18, 19]. Therefore, the present study aimed to assess the time needed and effects of an NMES protocol on muscle architecture, NED, and muscle strength, and, secondarily, to evaluate the effects on plasma systemic inflammation, catabolic responses, and clinical outcomes. The hypothesis was that the NMES protocol would counteract muscle atrophy and strength reduction, while preventing NED, and minimizing the presence of plasma inflammatory and catabolic responses.

Methods

Study design

This was a prospective, randomized, controlled, singleblind trial carried out over a period of 14 consecutive days. The study was performed in a neurotrauma ICU at a tertiary public reference hospital in the Federal District of Brazil. It was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki, and approval for the project was obtained from the local ethics committee (FEPECS/SES-DF, Brasília, Brazil, protocol 1.107.517). The trial was registered at the Brazilian Clinical Trials Registry (protocol number RBR-8kdrbz). The patient's legal guardians signed an informed consent form since all patients were sedated or non-cooperative. The study is reported according to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials and Statement for Randomized Trials of Nonpharmacologic Treatments and the Template for Intervention Description and Replication [20, 21].

Randomization and allocation concealment

This was a 2-parallel group randomized clinical trial with a 1:1 intervention allocation. Computer-generated randomization lists were prepared using the website www.random.org, which sequentially distributed the patients into the control or NMES group. One researcher (PES) prepared sealed, opaque, and numbered envelopes. When each patient was enrolled in the study, the investigator opened the envelope with the smallest item number, containing the group.

Blinding

A blinded researcher (KLC) completed all functional assessments (ultrasonography, NED, and evoked peak force) and gathered all clinical data on the electronic medical record of each participant. Plasma analyses were performed by another blinded researcher (VCS).

Patients

Patients of both genders, between 18 and 60 years of age, who had undergone mechanical ventilation for up to 24 h, following a severe traumatic brain injury, were included. We excluded patients with a history of alcoholism, HIV, chronic kidney failure, spinal cord injury, pregnancy, skin lesions in the region to be treated, and patients with unstable fractures in the vertebral column and lower limbs.

Study flow

Patients were randomized to the control or NMES group. From this time point, they were followed from the first 24 h of mechanical ventilation up to the 14th day. The assessment of muscle architecture, NED, evoked peak force, and plasma sample analyses were performed in both groups, after the first 24 h and on days 3, 7, and 14. Both groups were submitted to routine physiotherapy for early rehabilitation based on the protocol proposed by Morris et al. [22]. The physiotherapy routine protocol was applied for 10 to 30 min twice every weekday by the staff physiotherapists. In both groups, the level of routine physiotherapy and intensity were adapted to the patient's cardiorespiratory status, level of sedation, cooperation, and functional status [22]. The protocol started with a global passive range of motion exercises in comatose or sedated patients, followed by active and resistive exercises, transfer to the edge of the bed or a chair, standing, and walking. The NMES group, in addition to daily routine physiotherapy, underwent NMES for 14 days bilaterally in the quadriceps femoris, hamstring, tibialis anterior, and gastrocnemius muscles.

NMES protocol

NMES was applied using two identical electrical stimulator devices (Dualpex 071, Quark Medical, Piracicaba, Brazil). The electrodes were positioned according to the motor point, as previously described by Botter et al. [23]. Before initiating the NMES protocol, the criteria for starting and interruptions were followed, as proposed by Kho et al. [24]. The NMES was applied once a day for 25 min, with pulse duration and frequency of 400 μ s and 100 Hz, respectively. The time on (T_{ON}) was adjusted to 5 s and the time off (T_{OFF}) to 25 s, thus eliciting a total of 50 contractions per day. The current amplitude was applied as high as possible to evoke maximum contractions in each muscle group (type 5/5, according to Segers et al. classification [25]).

Outcomes

Primary outcomes were the effect of NMES over the muscle architecture, the presence of NED, and the evoked peak force. Secondary outcomes were the plasma level of cytokines and metalloproteinases, mechanical ventilation time, length of stay in the ICU, and length of hospitalization.

Muscle architecture

Muscle architecture was assessed through muscle thickness and echogenicity using B-mode ultrasonography, with an ultrasound device, M-Turbo[®] (Sonosite, Bothwell, WA, USA). A water-soluble transmission gel was applied to the measurement site. A linear transducer of 7.5 MHz was positioned perpendicular to the tissue interface with the lowest possible skin compression. The muscle thickness was measured in two muscles: rectus femoris (RF) and tibialis anterior (TA). The transducer was positioned according to a previous recommendation by Arts et al. [26]. Evaluation of the RF was conducted at the mean distance between the anterior superior iliac spine and the superior border of the patella. The TA was evaluated at the proximal 1/4 of the distance between the inferior border of the patella and the lateral malleolus. Measurements were performed in the same predefined location during the intervention period. After acquisition of the images, the assessment of thickness was performed [26].

The RF thickness was measured between the upper part of the femur and the lower limit of the superficial fascia of this muscle since we only measured the RF thickness without the vastus intermedius muscle. We used the deep fascia of this muscle to delimitate the vastus intermedius muscle in order to exclude it.

The TA was measured between the interosseous membrane (on the side of the tibia) and the superficial fascia of the TA. Points were marked with a semi-permanent dermographic pen to avoid different positions over the days.

Muscle thickness and echogenicity were analyzed utilizing ImageJ software (http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/) [27]. Muscle echogenicity was measured through a quantitative grayscale analysis, where the most affected muscles had a white presentation (i.e., increased echogenicity). The echogenicity assessment area of analysis was selected in each muscle, including the maximum possible area (trace technique) [4] with an 8-bit image resolution, in values ranging from 0 (black) to 255 (white). The echogenicity and thickness were determined in each muscle, considering the mean value of the three different measures [26].

Neuromuscular electrophysiological disorders

The presence of NED was assessed through the stimulus electrodiagnosis test (SET) in which rheobase and chronaxie were analyzed [4]. NED was recognized when

chronaxie values reached $\geq 1000 \,\mu s$ [6]. Rheobase is the minimal current intensity necessary to reach the neuromuscular excitability threshold applied with a rectangular pulse with an infinite duration (e.g., 1 s). Chronaxie is defined as the shortest pulse duration required to reach the neuromuscular excitability threshold by a current with twice the intensity of the rheobase [4]. The rheobase and chronaxie were measured with a single-phase current and rectangular-shape current. For rheobase assessment, the intensity was increased from 1 to 69 mA with individual 1-mA increments until eliciting a slight and visible muscle contraction. The evaluation was performed with a pulse duration of 1 s and intervals of 2 s between pulses [4]. For the evaluation of chronaxie, the pulse duration was increased from 20 µs to 1 ms in increments of 100 µs. From 1 ms, increments of 1 ms were performed with a current amplitude twice the value of the rheobase until eliciting a slight but visible muscle contraction [4].

The SET was performed in two muscles: RF and TA. A reference electrode (anode), area 100 cm^2 , was placed on the patella for all measurements. The active electrode (cathode), in pen shape, approximately 1 cm^2 in area, was used to find the motor points. The same electrode was used to determine the values of rheobase and chronaxie. The scanning area was established based on previous publications [23]. The location of the motor point was also marked with a semi-permanent dermographic pen.

Evoked peak force

To evaluate the evoked peak force, we used a calibrated load cell (CKS model, Kratos Equipamentos, São Paulo, Brazil) attached to a platform and an electrical stimulator (Dualpex 071, Quark Medical, Brazil). Patients were laid down in a supine position with a 30° bed elevation. The platform was adjusted to the hip position at 90° of flexion and knee at 60° of the extension where the highest torque occurs [28]. The electrodes used to evoke muscle contraction were positioned on the RF muscle. The location was the line between the anterior superior iliac spine and the superior border of the patella at the motor points [23]. To find the motor point, we used a single-phase current of rectangular format with a pulse duration of 1 ms and 30 s of stimuli with an intensity of at least 10 mA. The anode electrode $(100 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ of area})$ was placed on the patella and the cathode pen electrode $(1 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ area})$ was used to perform the search for the motor point. Next, two electrocardiogram electrodes (≈ 1 -cm² area) were positioned on the motor points. The stimuli were performed on twitch contraction with 69 mA, $T_{\rm ON}$ of 3 s, pulse duration, and frequency of 400 µs and 100 Hz respectively. Three stimuli were performed, and the interval between each measurement was 2 min. We used the highest detected value among the measures.

Clinical outcomes

In addition to the functional outcomes, clinical outcomes from medical records were analyzed as secondary outcomes. We evaluated time on mechanical ventilation, ICU mortality rate, length of stay in the ICU, and length of stay in the hospital.

Plasma sample analysis

Approximately 12 mL of blood was collected from the antecubital vein by the standard venipuncture technique using a commercially produced vacuum-sealed kit. Tubes were centrifuged (Centrifugal machine, 3250RPM, Model Centurion, São Paulo, Brazil) at room temperature for 15 min at 2500 rotations per minute ($\approx 1000 \times g$). Serum was aliquoted (250 µL) and directly stored at - 80 °C until analyses by a blinded examiner. Serum levels of TGF- β and IGF-1 were obtained by regular enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA). The circulating assessment of IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, and TNF- α was performed by a multiplexed flow cytometry method. The proteolytic activity was measured by analysis of metalloproteinases 2 and 9 activity using the zymographic method. Biological replicate samples of patients containing 1 µL of plasma were added to $1 \,\mu\text{L}$ of SDS (8%) (v:v). Metalloproteinases 2 and 9 activity were visualized as clear white bands against a blue background by densitometric scanning (ImageScanner III, Lab-Scan 6.0, Geneva, Switzerland). The analyses were performed in triplicate by a single-blinded examiner using ImageMaster 2D Platinum v7.0 (GeneBio) equipment, and the mean value of peak area was used in the final analysis (further details can be seen in Additional files 1 and 2).

Statistical analysis

Data normality was tested with the Shapiro Wilk test, and parametric variables are described as mean and 95% confidence interval (95% CI). Nonparametric variables are presented as a median and interguartile range [IQR]. In order to measure the statistical differences in the continuous variables (chronaxie, evoked peak force, thickness, echogenicity, and biochemical variables), the twoway ANOVA (time × group) with repeated measurements was used followed by the Bonferroni post hoc test. To evaluate the categorical variables (presence or absence of NED determined by chronaxie $\geq 1000 \,\mu s$) intergroups, Fisher's exact test and log-Poisson regression to estimate risk ratio were used. The number needed to treat on day 14 of treatment was also computed. For the assessment of intragroup categorical variables, the McNemar test was used. Statistically significant differences were considered when p < 0.05. An intention-totreat analysis was performed for all randomized participants. Missing data were replaced using the expectationmaximization method. For blood sample assessment, we evaluated an average of 10 participants per group due to an error in biochemical analysis. Thus, we present this outcome as a preliminary result. After each statistically significant comparison between groups, the effect size and power were calculated. Effect sizes were determined using partial eta squared ($\eta\rho^2$). For the muscle architecture, NED, and evoked peak force data, where minimum clinically important differences were not nominated, Cohen's *d* coefficient was calculated to aid interpretation. For this, Cohen provided benchmarks to define small ($\eta\rho^2 = 0.01$), medium ($\eta\rho^2 = 0.06$), and large ($\eta\rho^2 = 0.14$) effects [29]. For statistical analysis, we used Statistica software, version 12 (StatsoftInc, Tulsa OK, USA, 2013).

Sample size was calculated using muscle thickness as the primary outcome. According to the study conducted by Gerovasili et al. [30], we estimated a difference between means and standard deviation of $1 \text{ mm} \pm 0.1 \text{ mm}$ in muscle thickness after 14 days of treatment. Considering a study power of 85%, a significance level of 95%, and a sample size ratio of 1:1 (control group or NMES group), we reached the estimated number of 20 subjects per group on the 14th day. Thirty participants per group were recruited, totaling 60 subjects, allowing for possible dropouts during the intervention period [30, 31].

Results

Between June 2016 and July 2017, 278 patients with TBI were admitted to the Neurotrauma ICU, of these 60 were eligible according to the inclusion criteria and were therefore randomized for the study. The recruitment process and follow-up are described in the consort flow diagram



(Fig. 1). Patient clinical characteristics are presented in Table 1. Intention-to-treat analysis was applied, and all patients were analyzed on the 14th day.

NMES intervention

The quadriceps femoris, hamstring, tibialis anterior, and triceps sural muscles were stimulated at a mean intensity of 65 mA (95% CI 62 to 67). The general quality of evoked muscle contraction based on the Segers et al.'s [25] scale presented a median and [interquartile range] of 5 [4, 5]. From the initial fourteen expected NMES sessions per patient, eleven (95% CI 10 to 12) were performed on average, achieving a compliance rate of 79% (95% CI 68 to 84). Additionally, the mean intervention time of each session (electrode positioning and NMES protocol in all 4 muscle groups) was 72 min (95% CI 70 to 74). The main reasons for not performing NMES application were as follows: fever, 28 occurrences (46%), followed by hemodynamic instability, 19 occurrences (31%), psychomotor agitation, 9 occurrences (15%), and 5 sessions (8%) did not occur for other reasons.

Complications

No cases of skin burn, or injury caused by NMES, occurred.

Primary outcomes

Muscle architecture

The comparison between groups over days demonstrated a statistically significant interaction in the TA in favor of

Table 1 Patient clinical characteristics

Group Patient characteristics Control NMES 30 30 п Age, years 33 (95% CI 29 to 37) 30 (95% Cl 27 to 33) Male sex, n (%) 26 (87%) 26 (87%) AIS (head) 5 [5-5] 5 [5-5] AIS (lower extremities) 1 [0-1] 1 [0-1] Injury severity score 26 [26-30] 27 [26-34] Cause of injury 11 (37%) • Motorcycle, n (%) 10 (33%) Motor Vehicle, n (%) 7 (23%) 2 (7%) • Beating, *n* (%) 8 (27%) 3 (10%) • Gunshot, *n* (%) 2 (7%) 6 (20%) Pedestrians, n (%) 1 (3%) 4 (13%) • Fall, n (%) 1 (3%) 5 (17%) Penetrating trauma mechanism, n (%) 3 (10%) 8 (27%) Operative intervention, n (%) 20 (67%) 20 (67%) APACHE II at ICU admission 11 [9–14] 11 [8-13] SOFA at ICU admission 6 [4-9] 5 [5-8] SAPS 3 at ICU admission 40 [32-47] 40 [30-48] Diffuse axonal injury grade 2 [2-3] 3 [2-3] Leucocytes on admission, unit 18.8 (95% CI 8.1 to 29.4) 16.7 (95% CI 14.5 to 18.9) PaO_2/FiO_2 ratio on admission 296 (95% Cl 260 to 331) 276 (95% CI 242 to 311) Glucose over 14 days, mg/dl 144 (95% CI 130 to 158) 144 (95% CI 133 to 155) Predicted enteral feeding, (%) 77 (95% CI 74 to 80) 79 (95% CI 75 to 83) 7 (95% CI 5.1 to 8.9) 7.7 (95% CI 6 to 9.4) Use of vasopressor drugs, days Use of corticoid drugs, days 0 0 Use of carbapenem antibiotics, n (%) 0 0 Days of sedation on ICU, days 10.8 (95% Cl 9 to 12.5) 10.9 (95% Cl 9 to 12.7) Patients sedated on day 14, n (%) 19 (63%) 19 (63%) RASS on day 14 -3 [-4 to -3] -3 [-5 to -3]

AIS Abbreviated Injury Scale, APACHE II Acute Physiologic and Chronic Health Evaluation II, *ICU* intensive care unit, *SOFA* Sequential Organ Failure Assessment, SAPS 3 Simplified Acute Physiology Score 3, *PaO₂/FiO₂* ratio of arterial oxygen partial pressure to fractional inspired oxygen, *RASS* Richmond Agitation Sedation Scale. Parametric variables are reported as mean and (95% confidence interval) and nonparametric, as median and [interquartile range] NMES for preventing muscle loss: [interaction time × group (F = 30.9, p < 0.0001, power = 0.99, $\eta_{\rho}^2 = 0.35$)] (Fig. 2a). In the control group, the loss of muscle thickness _in _the _TA_ reached – 14% (95% CI – 17 to – 12) and – 0.33 mm (95% CI – 0.39 to – 0.26) on day 14, p < 0.0001. In the NMES group, muscle thickness did not significantly change on day 14 with a gain of 1% (95% CI – 4 to 3) and a mean difference of 0.01 mm (95% CI – 0.069 to 0.08), p = 0.78. The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated using three measures and showed excellent reliability (ICC 0.99) over the days. Similar results were found in the RF.

The comparison of muscle thickness between groups over days presented significant results in favor of NMES: interaction time × group [F = 29.9, p < 0.0001, power = 0.89, $\eta_p^2 = 0.34$] (Fig. 2b). The mean loss of RF thickness was – 21% (95% CI – 17 to – 24) and – 0.49 mm (95% CI – 0.58 to – 0.4) in the control group from baseline up to the 14th day, p < 0.0001. A non-significant loss was detected in the NMES group comparing the baseline with the 14th day, – 1% (CI 95% – 4 to 3) and – 0.04 mm (95% CI – 0.11 to 0.02), p = 0.15. The ICC was calculated using three measures and showed excellent reliability (ICC 0.98) over the days. NMES decreased the

echogenicity of the TA and RF from the 7th and 14th days respectively, in the TA [interaction time × group (F = 17.1, p < 0.0001, power = 0.99, $\eta_{\rho}^2 = 0.23$)] (Fig. 2c), and the RF [interaction time × group (F = 18.4, p < 0.0001, power = 0.99, $\eta_{\rho}^2 = 0.24$)] (Fig. 2d).

Neuromuscular electrophysiological disorders

NMES induced significant reductions in chronaxie values in both the TA and RF. In the TA, significant differences were demonstrated between groups on day 14: [interaction time × group (F = 16.7, p < 0.0001, power = 0.99, $\eta_0^2 = 0.22$)] (Fig. 3a). In the control group, the TA chronaxie presented a significant increase over days: day 1 vs. day 14, p < 0.0001. NMES preserved neuromuscular excitability in the TA, maintaining chronaxie values over days: day 1 vs. day 14, p = 0.99. A similar significant interaction was observed for RF on day 14: [interaction time × group $(F = 8.8, p < 0.0001, power = 0.99, \eta_{\rho}^2 = 0.13)]$ (Fig. 3b). In the control group, RF chronaxie values increased significantly over days: day 1 vs. day 14, p < 0.0001. In the NMES group, the neuromuscular excitability was preserved, demonstrated by chronaxie value maintenance over days: day 1 vs. day 14, p = 0.99.







The control group presented NED incidence in the TA of 10% (3/30) on day 1 that increased to 47% (14/30) on day 14 (Fig. 3c), p = 0.003, power = 0.85. The NMES group presented NED incidence in the TA of 17% (5/30) on day 1 that decreased to 0% (0/30) on day 14 (Fig. 3c), p = 0.06. The control group presented a significantly higher incidence of NED (14/30) in the TA, compared with the NMES group (0/30) on the 14th day, (p = 0.0001, power =0.99, and risk ratio = 16, (95% CI 2.9 to 88.9) (Fig. 3c). The control group also presented a higher incidence of NED in the RF than the NMES group on the 14th day: 13% (4/30) vs. 0% respectively, but this was not statistically significant p = 0.12 (Fig. 3d). Differences between groups were only detected at 14 days in the TA. Taking into consideration the NED incidence in the TA in both groups, the number needed to treat was 2.13 in 14 days of treatment to prevent a NED event.

Evoked peak force

The comparison between groups over days demonstrated a statistically significant interaction in favor of NMES [interaction time × group (F = 71.9, p < 0.0001, power = 0.99, $\eta_{\rho}^2 = 0.55$)] (Fig. 4). Comparing with the baseline, patients in the NMES group presented a significant increase

in evoked peak force from the 7th day, p = 0.001. In the NMES group, the evoked peak force increased from day 1 to day 14 with a mean difference of 2.34 kg/f (95% CI 1.89 to 2.79), p < 0.0001. On the other hand, the control group presented a significant decrement in evoked peak force from the 7th day compared with baseline, p < 0.0001. In the control group, the evoked peak force decreased from day 1 to day 14 with a mean difference of -1.55 kg/f (95% CI -2.05 to -1.05), p < 0.0001. Differences between groups were detected from the 7th day, p < 0.0001.

Secondary outcomes

Plasma sample analysis

The plasma cytokines (IGF-I; IL-1 β ; IL-6; TGF- β ; TNF- α) and metalloproteinases (MMP-2 and MMP-9) exhibited less precise results, with confidence intervals that spanned worthwhile or trivial effects. The data from these outcomes are presented in the Additional files 1 and 2.

Clinical outcomes

Patients in the control group remained on mechanical ventilation for 15.5 days [8.8–19] vs. 14 days [8–18] in the NMES group: median difference of 1.5 days, p = 0.65.



two-way ANOVA. An intention-to-treat analysis was performed for all randomized participants

The NMES group presented lower median differences in length of stay in the ICU (delta = -0.5 day, p = 0.58) and hospital length of stay (delta = -8 days, p = 0.06) but no significant statistical differences were detected. More details are presented in Table 2. No differences were detected in ICU mortality.

Discussion

The present study demonstrates that a clinical-like NMES protocol is effective to preserve the muscle architecture, increase evoked peak force, and decrease the incidence of NED. Muscle architecture and strength benefits were detected from the 7th day, while the effect

Table 2 Clinical outcomes

| Group | | | | |
|--|----------------|--------------|---------|-------------|
| Outcomes | Control | NMES | p value | Effect size |
| Ν | 30 | 30 | - | |
| Incidence during the first 14 days, <i>n</i> (%) | | | | |
| • Sepsis | 13 (43%) | 16 (53%) | 0.44 | - |
| Septic shock | 9 (30%) | 10 (33%) | 0.78 | - |
| Multiple organ failure | 4 (13%) | 6 (20%) | 0.73 | - |
| Time on MV, days | 15.5 [8.8–19] | 14 [8–18] | 0.65 | 0.1 |
| Time on MV (survivor), days | 16 [9–19] | 14 [12–18] | 0.80 | 0.09 |
| ICU length of stay, days | 19.5 [12–27.3] | 19 [10–26] | 0.58 | 0.28 |
| ICU length of stay (survivor), days | 20 [15–31] | 23 [15–26] | 0.98 | 0.2 |
| Hospital length of stay, days | 42 [20–56] | 34 [15-41.2] | 0.06 | 0.5 |
| Hospital length of stay (survivor), days | 42 [23–53] | 35 [23–44] | 0.32 | 0.3 |
| Mortality in ICU, n (%) | 3 (10%) | 5 (17%) | 0.71 | - |

ICU intensive care unit, *MV* mechanical ventilation. Parametric variables are reported as mean and (95% confidence interval) and nonparametric, as median and [interquartile range]. *p* values were calculated by the unpaired *t* test, chi-square test, or Mann-Whitney in accordance with each data distribution and characteristics

of NMES to reduce NED was only observed from the 14th day of treatment. It seems that the time of NMES protocol needed is crucial to guide decision-making concerning treatment effects to counteract skeletal muscle atrophy, weakness, and NED in critically ill TBI patients. The present study was the first clinical trial to evaluate the effect of NMES on evoked peak force and neuromuscular excitability.

Muscle architecture

Our results are supported by several studies that demonstrated the effectiveness of NMES to prevent muscle atrophy in critically ill patients [30, 32–34]. In a study with critically ill patients with similar clinical characteristics, Hirose et al. [33] showed that NMES prevented muscle atrophy in patients with consciousness disorders. These authors applied NMES for 42 days and demonstrated significant results in preventing muscle atrophy starting on the 14th day of treatment, in agreement with our results [33].

It seems that ICU admission etiology and clinical status are strongly related to muscle loss severity [35, 36]. Moreover, according to the study of Strasser et al. [34], the protective effect of NMES over muscle mass is correlated with the quality of evoked muscle contraction [34]. These authors compared the effect of maximum tolerable muscle contraction (~ quality type 5) with visible muscle contraction (~ quality type 3). Their results demonstrated a reduction in muscle atrophy only in the treatment with maximum tolerable muscle contraction.

Some studies [19, 31, 37] were not able to report an effect of NMES on muscle atrophy in the acute phase of critical illness. Gruther et al. [19], Fischer et al. [31], and Poulsen et al. [37] possibly used NMES protocols with lower intensities, since they reported evoking only visible contraction instead of reaching the maximum contraction, as has been recommended to induce muscle hypertrophy [17, 34, 38]. Additionally, Poulsen et al. [37] recruited extremely debilitated patients with septic shock who might not be able to benefit from this treatment [35].

Neuromuscular electrophysiological disorders

We demonstrated that NMES can reduce the incidence of NED. The beneficial effects of NMES to treat NED may have been elicited through improvement in the neuromuscular and systemic circulation [39, 40]. The improvement in blood supply may protect neurons and myofibers against tissue dysoxia, which has been considered an important mechanism to induce axonal degeneration [39, 41]. Evoked contraction can also protect cellular machinery against disuse, mimicking physiological muscle contraction [32, 42]. Routsi et al. [43], in a landmark study, were the first to demonstrate the efficacy of NMES to prevent critical ill polyneuromyopathy, although without reporting therapeutic effects. A protocol for evoking 150 contractions was used with the current amplitude adjusted to elicit visible contraction (quality type from 3 to 4). In their study, the MRC scale was used to diagnose polyneuromyopathy.

In the present study, the presence of NED was used to define a diagnosis of peripheral nerve disease, which is expected in patients with polyneuromyopathy [44]. Paternostro-Sluga et al. [6] showed that the stimulus electrodiagnosis test (SET) is an excellent screening test to detect peripheral nerve disease with a sensitivity ranging from 90 to 100% when compared with needle electroneuromyography. Within the SET evaluation, we demonstrated a NED prevalence of 17% on the 1st day in the NMES group and an incidence of 10% on the 3rd day, though no cases were observed on the 7th and 14th days. Therefore, our results show that the current NMES protocol (fifty maximum evoked contractions) might not only prevent but also treat NED. Thus, the differences in NMES protocols and methods used to detect polyneuromyopathy may explain some discrepancies between the results of Routsi et al. [43] and ours.

Evoked peak force

Muscle strength has been considered an independent factor for ICU mortality, length of stay, readmission to the ICU, and protracted function disability [12, 13, 45]. Therefore, we sought to assess strength through evoked peak force using an accurate and reliable new device as previously described [46]. Evoked peak force seems to be particularly advantageous over the MRC strength scale due to a higher sensitivity to detect change over time and the possibility of being used in unconscious patients [46, 47].

Even though we did not detect any increase in RF muscle thickness, the NMES protocol elicited a significant increase in evoked peak force compared with the control group. These findings are consistent with previous reports confirming that short periods of NMES can increase muscle strength even without hypertrophy [48]. It is now accepted that these strength gains are predominantly associated with neural adaptations [49, 50]. This idea is supported in the present study by lower levels of chronaxie identified in the NMES group. Chronaxie has been used to define the level of neuromuscular excitability, and typical values range from 60 to 200 µs [4]. If neuromuscular excitability decreases, chronaxie values increase [4]. It is important to emphasize that some events (such as sepsis and sedation) may impact muscle strength and should be considered when interpreting the present results [51].

In contrast, Fossat et al. [35] did not find any increments in muscle strength provided by NMES in critically ill patients. Considering the differences in the treatment protocol, their results could be also associated with the patients' characteristics. In the present study, we controlled some treatment bias, as has been advocated by Reid et al. [52], comparing the effect of NMES solely with passive exercises. Moreover, Fossat et al. assessed muscle strength according to the MRC scale, which can present the ceiling effect bias [35].

Plasma sample analysis and clinical outcomes

The estimates of the effect of the present protocol did not generate any clear implications about whether or not NMES plays a critical role in cytokines and metalloproteinases. Nevertheless, these preliminary data could support future randomized controlled trials. Despite the significant effect of NMES on functional outcomes (muscle architecture, NED, and evoked force), no statistically significant impact was found on the clinical outcomes: time on mechanical ventilation, length of ICU stay, and ICU mortality rate. These results may be associated with an insufficient sample size to detect a statistical difference for these secondary outcomes. Accordingly, a retrospective study with a large sample size (1118 neurocritical patients) demonstrated the significant impact of early rehabilitation for shortening ICU and hospital stays with a mean difference of 0.7 and 2.7 days respectively [53].

Study limitations

Some limitations should be addressed in our study. This was a single-center trial with traumatic brain injury critically ill patients; thus, the findings may not be generalizable to different settings and patients. It was not possible to perform a follow-up of the primary outcomes, as stated in the CONSORT guideline. We did not assess muscle atrophy using the ultrasonography cross-sectional area. It is possible that our results underestimated muscle atrophy and missed statistical correlation with either of the outcomes, as recently described [54]. However, despite the higher sensitivity of the crosssectional area compared with thickness, we were able to detect significant statistical differences with excellent reliability. In addition, although the appraiser was blinded to the groups, some healthcare providers were aware of the study allocation. Finally, the small simple size did not allow assessment of the effects of NMES on major clinical outcomes.

Future perspectives

Further studies are required to define the optimal NMES prescription (parameters, number of contractions, therapy regularity, and treatment duration).

Furthermore, future multicenter trials should enroll an appropriate number of participants to better understand the effect of NMES on clinical outcomes. These studies should also evaluate the major clinical usefulness of NMES, such as the effect on treatment cost, ICU mortality, ICU length of stay, quality of life, and all domains of the International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) after hospital discharge.

Conclusion

NMES applied daily for fourteen consecutive days reduced muscle atrophy, the incidence of neuromuscular electrophysiological disorders, and muscle weakness in critically ill TBI patients. At least 7 days of NMES were required to elicit the first significant results.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1186/s40560-019-0417-x.

Additional file 1. Supplementary. Results.

Additional file 2: Table S1. Effect of NMES and bed rest on biochemical markers in critically ill patients over 14 days.

Abbreviations

AIS: Abbreviated injure scale; ANOVA: Analysis of variance; CI 95%: 95% confidence interval; ICC: Intraclass correlation coefficient; ICU: Intensive care unit; ICUAW: ICU-acquired weakness; ISS: Injury severity score; NED: Neuromuscular electrophysiological disorder; NMES: Neuromuscular electrical stimulation; RF: Rectus femoris; SET: Stimulus electrodiagnosis test; TA: Tibialis anterior; TBI: Traumatic brain injury; np²: Partial eta squared

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Prof Antonio Padilha Bo and his team from the Electrical Engineering Department of the University of Brasília for their support in checking the calibration of the electrical stimulation. We would like to thank Prof Marcelino Andrade and his team from the Electronic Engineering Laboratory of Instrumentation, Image, and Signal Processing and Gama Faculty, University of Brasilia, for helping us to measure the strength force. We would like to thank Prof Henrique Rezende Martins and his team from the Electrical Engineering Department of the Federal University of Minas Gerais for all support. In addition, we would like to thank Prof Carlos Raphael Daniel from the Department of Statistica analysis. We also thank Robin Camargo for review of the English. Lastly, we would like to thank the Hospital de Base do Distrito Federal ICU team, especially the physiotherapists Ana Cristina Trancho and Susana Nascimento who supported us during the ICU experiment.

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed substantially to the submitted work, and read and approved the final manuscript. In particular, PES participated in the design of the study, data analysis, data acquisition, and drafting and writing of the manuscript. RMC, GCJr, LV, and VMS participated in the design of the study, analysis, and drafting of the manuscript. KLC, AE, and JLQD participated in data acquisition and drafting of the manuscript. RCM and LOD participated in data analysis and drafting of the manuscript, OTN and VCS critically revised the manuscript and contributed to the design of the study and data analysis. Finally, NB and JLQD conceived of and helped with the coordination of the study, critically revised the manuscript, and provided final approval.

Funding

This work was supported by the Fundação de Apoio à Pesquisa do Distrito Federal (FAPDF; Process number: 193.000.862/2014), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq Process number: 447529/2014-5; 310359/2014-7), and Programa Ciências sem Fronteiras, Pesquisador Visitante Especial (Process number: 88881.068106/2014-01). This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Brasil (CAPES) – FinanceCode 001.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was conducted in accordance with the amended Declaration of Helsinki. Local institutional review boards approved the protocol (FEPECS/ SES-DF research ethics committee, Brasília, Brazil, protocol number 1107517). The patients' legal guardians signed an informed consent form.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Health Sciences and Technologies PhD Program, University of Brasilia, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. ²Physical Therapy Division, Hospital de Base do Distrito Federal, Brasília, DF, Brazil. ³Rehabilitation Science Program, University of Brasilia, Brasília, DF, Brazil. ⁴Physical Therapy Division, Hospital Regional de Santa Maria, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. ⁵Escola Superior de Ciências da Saúde, Brasilia, Brasília, DF, Brazil. ⁶Clinical Research Center Hospital de Base do Distrito Federal, Brasilia, DF, Brazil. ⁷Science Health Program, University of Brasília, Brasília, DF, Brazil. ⁸Physical Therapy Department, Federal University of São Carlos, São Carlos, SP, Brazil. ⁹Centre de Recherche de l'Institut Universitaire de Gériatrie de Montréal, Montréal, Quebec, Canada. ¹⁰INSERM-U1093 Cognition Actionet Plasticité Senorimotrice, UFR STAPS, Université de Bourgogne-Franche-Comté, Dijon, France.

Received: 9 October 2019 Accepted: 3 December 2019 Published online: 12 December 2019

References

- Maas AIR, Menon DK, Adelson PD, Andelic N, Bell MJ, Belli A, et al. Traumatic brain injury: integrated approaches to improve prevention, clinical care, and research. Lancet Neurol. 2017;16:987–1048.
- Krishnamoorthy V, Vavilala MS, Mills B, Rowhani-Rahbar A. Demographic and clinical risk factors associated with hospital mortality after isolated severe traumatic brain injury: a cohort study. J Intensive Care. 2015;3:46.
- Kinoshita K. Traumatic brain injury: pathophysiology for neurocritical care. J Intensive Care. 2016;4:29.
- Silva PE, Maldaner V, Vieira L, de Carvalho KL, Gomes H, Melo P, et al. Neuromuscular electrophysiological disorders and muscle atrophy in mechanically-ventilated traumatic brain injury patients: new insights from a prospective observational study. J Crit Care. 2018;44:87–94.
- Kress JP, Hall JB. ICU-acquired weakness and recovery from critical illness. N Engl J Med. 2014;370:1626–35.
- Paternostro-Sulga T, Schuhfried O, Vacariu G, Lang T, Fialka-Moser V, Paternostro-Sluga T, et al. Chronaxie and accommodation index in the diagnosis of muscle denervation. Am J Phys Med Rehabil. 2002;81:253–60.
- Clarissa C, Salisbury L, Rodgers S, Kean S. Early mobilisation in mechanically ventilated patients: a systematic integrative review of definitions and activities. J Intensive Care. 2019;7:3.
- Witteveen E, Wieske L, van der Poll T, van der Schaaf M, van Schaik IN, Schultz MJ, et al. Increased early systemic inflammation in ICU-acquired weakness; a prospective observational cohort study*. Crit Care Med. 2017; 45:972–9.
- Stevens RD, Marshall SA, Cornblath DR, Hoke A, Needham DM, de Jonghe B, et al. A framework for diagnosing and classifying intensive care unitacquired weakness. Crit Care Med. 2009;37:S299–308.
- Latronico N. Critical illness polyneuropathy and myopathy 20 years later. No man's land? No, it is our land! Intensive Care Med. 2016;42:1790–3.
- Gosselink R, Bott J, Johnson M, Dean E, Nava S, Norrenberg M, et al. Physiotherapy for adult patients with critical illness: recommendations of the European Respiratory Society and European Society of Intensive Care Medicine Task Force on physiotherapy for critically ill patients. Intensive Care Med. 2008;34:1188–99.
- Maffiuletti NA, Roig M, Karatzanos E, Nanas S. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation for preventing skeletal-muscle weakness and wasting in critically ill patients: a systematic review. BMC Med. 2013;11:137.

- Burke D, Gorman E, Stokes D, Lennon O. An evaluation of neuromuscular electrical stimulation in critical care using the ICF framework: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Respir J. 2014:1–14.
- Katsogianni A, Vasileiadis I, Petrocheilou G, Patsaki E, Sidiras G, Nanas S, et al. Effect of different neuromuscular electrical stimulation protocols on muscle mass in intensive care unit patients: a pilot study. Heal Sci J. 2019;13:1–7.
- Nishida O, Ogura H, Egi M, Fujishima S, Hayashi Y, Iba T, et al. The Japanese clinical practice guidelines for Management of Sepsis and Septic Shock 2016 (J-SSCG 2016). J Intensive Care. 2018;6:7.
- Silva PE, Babault N, Mazullo JB, Oliveira TP, Lemos BL, Carvalho VO, et al. Safety and feasibility of a neuromuscular electrical stimulation chronaxiebased protocol in critical ill patients: a prospective observational study. J Crit Care. 2017;37:141–8.
- Maffiuletti NA, Minetto MA, Farina D, Bottinelli R. Electrical stimulation for neuromuscular testing and training: state-of-the art and unresolved issues. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2011;111:2391–7.
- Dow DE, Cederna PS, Hassett CA, Kostrominova TY, Faulkner JA, Dennis RG. Number of contractions to maintain mass and force of a denervated rat muscle. Muscle Nerve. 2004;30:77–86.
- Gruther W, Kainberger F, Fialka-Moser V, Paternostro-Sluga T, Quittan M, Spiss C, et al. Effects of neuromuscular electrical stimulation on muscle layer thickness of knee extensor muscles in intensive care unit patients: a pilot study. J Rehabil Med. 2010;42:593–7.
- Hoffmann TC, Glasziou PP, Boutron I, Milne R, Perera R, Moher D, et al. Better reporting of interventions: template for intervention description and replication (TIDieR) checklist and guide. BMJ. 2014;348:g1687.
- Boutron I, Altman DG, Moher D, Schulz KF, Ravaud P, CONSORT NPT Group. CONSORT statement for randomized trials of nonpharmacologic treatments: a 2017 update and a CONSORT extension for nonpharmacologic trial abstracts. Ann Intern Med. 2017;167:40–7.
- 22. Morris PE, Goad A, Thompson C, Taylor K, Harry B, Passmore L, et al. Early intensive care unit mobility therapy in the treatment of acute respiratory failure. Crit Care Med. 2008;36:2238–43.
- Botter A, Oprandi G, Lanfranco F, Allasia S, Maffiuletti NA, Minetto MA. Atlas of the muscle motor points for the lower limb: implications for electrical stimulation procedures and electrode positioning. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2011;111:2461–71.
- Kho ME, Truong AD, Zanni JM, Ciesla ND, Brower RG, Palmer JB, et al. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation in mechanically ventilated patients: a randomized, sham-controlled pilot trial with blinded outcome assessment. J Crit Care. 2015;30:32–9.
- Segers J, Hermans G, Bruyninckx F, Meyfroidt G, Langer D, Gosselink R. Feasibility of neuromuscular electrical stimulation in critically ill patients. J Crit Care. 2014;29:1082–8.
- Arts IMP, Pillen S, Schelhaas HJ, Overeem S, Zwarts MJ. Normal values for quantitative muscle ultrasonography in adults. Muscle Nerve. 2010;41:32–41.
- Abramoff M, Magalhaes P, Ram S. Image processing with ImageJ. Biophoton Int. 2004;11:36–42.
- Kannus P. Relationship between peak torque and angle-specific torques in an isokinetic contraction of Normal and laterally unstable knees. J Orthop Sport Phys Ther. 1991;13:89–94.
- 29. Cohen J. Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences. 2nd ed. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 1988.
- Gerovasili V, Stefanidis K, Vitzilaios K, Karatzanos E, Politis P, Koroneos A, et al. Electrical muscle stimulation preserves the muscle mass of critically ill patients: a randomized study. Crit Care. 2009;13:R161.
- 31. Fischer A, Spiegl M, Altmann K, Winkler A, Salamon A, Themessl-Huber M, et al. Muscle mass, strength and functional outcomes in critically ill patients after cardiothoracic surgery: does neuromuscular electrical stimulation help? The Catastim 2 randomized controlled trial. Crit Care [Internet]. 2016 [cited 2016 Feb 1];20:30. Available from: http://ccforum.com/content/20/1/30
- Weber-Carstens S, Schneider J, Wollersheim T, Assmann A, Bierbrauer J, Marg A, et al. Critical illness myopathy and GLUT4. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2013;187:387–96.
- Hirose T, Shiozaki T, Shimizu K, Mouri T, Noguchi K, Ohnishi M, et al. The effect of electrical muscle stimulation on the prevention of disuse muscle atrophy in patients with consciousness disturbance in the intensive care unit. J Crit Care. 2013;28:536–e1–7.
- 34. Strasser EM, Stättner S, Karner J, Klimpfinger M, Freynhofer M, Zaller V, et al. Neuromuscular electrical stimulation reduces skeletal muscle protein degradation and stimulates insulin-like growth factors in an age- and

current-dependent manner: a randomized, controlled clinical trial in major abdominal surgical patients. Ann Surg. 2009;249:738–43.

- Fossat G, Baudin F, Courtes L, Bobet S, Dupont A, Bretagnol A, et al. Effect of in-bed leg cycling and electrical stimulation of the quadriceps on global muscle strength in critically ill adults: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA. 2018; 320:368–78.
- Poulsen JB, Moller K, Jensen C V, Weisdorf S, Kehlet H, Perner A. Effect of transcutaneous electrical muscle stimulation on muscle volume in patients with septic shock. Crit Care Med. 2010/12/15. 2011;39:456–461.
- Poulsen JB, Møller K, Jensen CV, Weisdorf S, Kehlet H, Perner A. Effect of transcutaneous electrical muscle stimulation on muscle volume in patients with septic shock. Crit Care Med. 2011;39:456–61.
- Natsume T, Ozaki H, Kakigi R, Kobayashi H, Naito H. Effects of training intensity in electromyostimulation on human skeletal muscle. Eur J Appl Physiol. 2018;118:1339–47.
- Angelopoulos E, Karatzanos E, Dimopoulos S, Mitsiou G, Stefanou C, Patsaki I, et al. Acute microcirculatory effects of medium frequency versus high frequency neuromuscular electrical stimulation in critically ill patients - a pilot study. Ann Intensive Care. 2013;3:39.
- Ojima M, Takegawa R, Hirose T, Ohnishi M, Shiozaki T, Shimazu T. Hemodynamic effects of electrical muscle stimulation in the prophylaxis of deep vein thrombosis for intensive care unit patients: a randomized trial. J Intensive Care. 2017;5:9.
- Latronico N, Bertolini G, Guarneri B, Botteri M, Peli E, Andreoletti S, et al. Simplified electrophysiological evaluation of peripheral nerves in critically ill patients: the Italian multi-Centre CRIMYNE study. Crit Care. 2007;11:R11.
- Russo TL, Peviani SM, Freria CM, Gigo-Benato D, Geuna S, Salvini TF. Electrical stimulation based on chronaxie reduces atrogin-1 and myoD gene expressions in denervated rat muscle. Muscle Nerve. 2007;35:87–97.
- Routsi C, Gerovasili V, Vasileiadis I, Karatzanos E, Pitsolis T, Tripodaki E, et al. Electrical muscle stimulation prevents critical illness polyneuromyopathy: a randomized parallel intervention trial. Crit Care. 2010;14:R74.
- Lacomis D. Electrophysiology of neuromuscular disorders in critical illness. Muscle Nerve. 2013;47:452–63.
- Brown SES, Ratcliffe SJ, Kahn JM, Halpern SD. The epidemiology of intensive care unit readmissions in the United States. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2012;185:955–64.
- Laghi F, Khan N, Schnell T, Aleksonis D, Hammond K, Shaikh H, et al. New device for nonvolitional evaluation of quadriceps force in ventilated patients. Muscle Nerve. 2017;
- Vanpee G, Hermans G, Segers J, Gosselink R. Assessment of limb muscle strength in critically ill patients: a systematic review. Crit Care Med. 2014;42: 701–11.
- Pichon F, Chatard JC, Martin A, Cometti G. Electrical stimulation and swimming performance. Med Sci Sport Exerc. 1995;27:1671–6.
- 49. Enoka RM. Muscle strength and its development. New perspect Sport Med. 1988;6:146–68.
- Colson S, Martin A, Van Hoecke J. Re-examination of training effects by electrostimulation in the human elbow musculoskeletal system. Int J Sport Med. 2000;21:281–8.
- Vivodtzev I, Devost A-A, Saey D, Villeneuve S, Boilard G, Gagnon P, et al. Severe and early quadriceps weakness in mechanically ventilated patients. Crit Care. 2014;18:431.
- Reid JC, Unger J, McCaskell D, Childerhose L, Zorko DJ, Kho ME. Physical rehabilitation interventions in the intensive care unit: a scoping review of 117 studies. J Intensive Care. 2018;6:80.
- Hester JM, Guin PR, Danek GD, Thomas JR, Titsworth WL, Reed RK, et al. The economic and clinical impact of sustained use of a progressive mobility program in a Neuro-ICU. Crit Care Med. 2017;45:1037–44.
- Palakshappa JA, Reilly JP, Schweickert WD, Anderson BJ, Khoury V, Shashaty MG, et al. Quantitative peripheral muscle ultrasound in sepsis: muscle area superior to thickness. J Crit Care. 2018;47:324–30.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

