### THE LEADERS' PLACE IN THE WESTMINSTER SYSTEM

STEPHANE REVILLET UNIVERSITÉ DE BOURGOGNE FRANCHE-COMTE



# **OUTLINE**:

- How is beaking the parliamentary rules during PMQs a strategy to enhance one's leadership capital?
- To what extent is the attitude of the leaders (towards the PMQs as an institution) reflective of their place in the Westminster system and on the political and ideological spectrum?

#### 1 - RELATION BETWEEN BREAKING THE RULES AND LEADERSHIP

• Erskine May's guidance is that "good temper and moderation are the characterictics of parliamentary language".

"...inferences, [...] ironical or offensive expressions are not in order"

- normative rules (i.e formal and official rules of the game) (F.G Bailey)
- **⊃ pragmatic rules** to escape sanctions (i.e tactics and manœuvre which may or may not be conducted within the limits set by the rules of the game).

One of those tactics:

mitigating face threats (= low risk )

## **Examples:**

⇒ 25/04/96, vol 276, col 584 : John Major toT Blair : I realise that in the **new autocratic Labour party**—where party **henchmen oversee everything** that Front Benchers and Back Benchers say

⇒27/02/96, vol 272, col 717. Mr. Pickles (Cons) to D Cameron: Is he aware that the last time that that proposal was seriously made was in Germany under the Third Reich? (Does he agree that, in seeking a role model for his own leader,) the hon. Gentleman (Mr. Mandelson, Lab) (has bypassed domestic role models and) seeks the concept of "Ein Reich, ein Volk, ein Führer"?

**BUT** Sandra Harris contends, this is part of a strategy; **insults are deliberate and rewarded** (= higher risk)

The aim is to get **pubicity** and **visibility** (John Uhr, 2099)

#### **Examples:**

820)

(speaking of Ed Miliband): muttering idiot
(speaking of the LO): one-trick pony and he has run out of the road
(Speaking of the LO): he's the nothing man
(Speaking of Liam Byrne): Where is "Baldemort"? He is not at home today (01/02/2012, col

Agency operated **in symbiosis** with the environment / place The dualism 'structure and agency' is replaced by a **strategic-relational approach** (Jessop and Hay)

**strategically selective context** = the environment/context (here the instutional setting) favours certain strategies over others as means to realise a given set of intentions or preferences. (C Hay, 2002)

#### 2 - BREAKING THE RULES : A PRE-REQUISITE

PMQs = a community of practice (Lave, S. Harris)

- Impoliteness / breaking the rule : the "appropriate action" (J.G. March & J.P., Olsen, 2005)
- Impoliteness is the norm in specific contexts and situations (Johnathan Culpeper)
  - --→ breaking the rules becomes an **act of conformity**.

Leadership dependent on the leader's capacity to perform well in terms of breaking the rules/ being aggressive

\$John Whittingdale: "doing badly at PMQs undermines a leader faster than anything".

#### 3 - BETWEEN REBELLION AND STATUS QUO

 "aggressive adversarialism has seemingly become the moral order of PMQs" (Peter Bull and Maurice Waddle)

Breaking formal rules in the context of PMQs = act of conformity ≠ breaking informal rules/norms = an act of rebellion (?)

• Ex: (J. Corbyn's) crowdsourcing questions from voters

# Preservation of the game = no intention to destroy the game despite calls for change :

- Cameron promised to change the tone of PMQs (but did exactly the opposite)
- Ed Miliband along with Labour MPs like Paul Flynn suggested alternative formats (public question time).
- The Procedure Committee's 1995 report recommanded reforms such as adopting an approach similar to that of Select Committes.

# **♥BUT** to no avail

#### LEADERS' ATTITUDE TOWARDS THE INSTITUTION/SYSTEM:

Both parties favour a status quo. No structural nor physical alterations

 Labour more rebellious but only on the face of it = seeking greater vsibility

Conservatives = impression of more deference toward the institution

## **Ian Blackford** = epitome of the rule breaker

Rule violations related to specific message :

- the rejection of the Westminster system
- ⇒ the promotion of the **Scottish independence**
- ----- Rule breaking = signals Blackford's wish to get out the Westmintser system

- ⇒ Scotland has been completely ignored by Westminster ... and 16 consecutive polls have shown a majority for independence (Wednesday 16 December 2020, Volume 686, col 268)
- The real disaster facing the people of Scotland is another 20 years of Westminster government? .... is for Scotland to become an independent country (Volume 684: debated on Wednesday 18 November 2020, col 319)
- ⇒We are faced with a power grab by Westminster (Volume 692 : debated on Wednesday 14 April 2021, col 315)
- **⊃the Westminster Government want to strip away** the rights of children in Scotland (Volume 692: debated on Wednesday 14 April 2021, col 315)
- ⇒under this **broken Westminster system** (Volume 689: debated on Wednesday 24 February 2021 Col 909-10)
- ☐... from the chaos of Westminster...right to choose our own future
  as an independent country in Europe (Volume 667: debated on
  Wednesday 30 October 2019, col 362)