

Obesity As a Risk Factor for Anthracyclines and Trastuzumab Cardiotoxicity in Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Charles Guenancia, Annick Lefebvre, Daniela Cardinale, Anthony F Yu, Sylvain Ladoire, François Ghiringhelli, Marianne Zeller, Luc Rochette, Yves Cottin, Catherine Vergely

▶ To cite this version:

Charles Guenancia, Annick Lefebvre, Daniela Cardinale, Anthony F Yu, Sylvain Ladoire, et al.. Obesity As a Risk Factor for Anthracyclines and Trastuzumab Cardiotoxicity in Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 2016, 34 (26), pp.3157 - 3165. 10.1200/jco.2016.67.4846 . hal-03433397

HAL Id: hal-03433397 https://u-bourgogne.hal.science/hal-03433397

Submitted on 18 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Obesity as a Risk Factor for Anthracyclines and Trastuzumab Cardiotoxicity in Breast Cancer: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Charles Guenancia, MD, PhD ^{1,2}; Annick Lebfevre, MD ^{3, 4}, Daniela Cardinale, MD, PhD, FESC;⁵ Anthony Yu ⁶, MD, Sylvain Ladoire, MD, PhD ^{7,8}, François Ghiringelli, MD, PhD ^{7,8}, Marianne Zeller, PhD², Luc Rochette, PharmD, PhD ², Yves Cottin, MD, PhD ^{1,2}, Catherine Vergely, PharmD, PhD ²

¹ University Hospital, Department of Cardiology, Dijon, France, ² INSERM, U866, LPPCM, Dijon, France, ³ University Hospital, Equipe opérationnelle d'hygiène, Reims, France, ⁴ University Hospital, Service d'épidémiologie et hygiène hospitalières, Dijon, France, ⁵ Cardioncology Unit, European Institute of Oncology, Milan, Italy, ⁶ Cardiology Service, Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA, ⁷ Department of Medical Oncology, Georges François Leclerc Cancer Center Dijon, France. ⁸ Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale. Avenir Team INSERM. CRI-866 University of Burgundy, Dijon, France

All authors take responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data presented and their discussed interpretation

Corresponding author:

Dr Charles Guenancia

Service de Cardiologie, CHU Dijon, 14 rue Paul Gaffarel, 21079 Dijon Cedex, France.

Tel: +33 3.80.29.56.23 Email: charles.guenancia@chu-dijon.fr

Running head: Obesity and cardiotoxicity in breast cancer

The author(s) declare(s) that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Abstract:

PURPOSE: Patients with metabolic syndrome have a higher risk of cardiovascular disease, although their susceptibility to chemotherapy-induced cardiac disease is not well documented. The aim of this meta-analysis was to assess the associations between overweight or obesity and cardiotoxicity from anthracycline and/or trastuzumab (TRZ) in breast cancer patients using a meta-analysis methodology.

METHODS: We performed a random-effects and a network meta-analysis and assessed publication bias. We included 15 studies and 8745 patients with localized and metastatic breast cancer treated with adjuvant or neo-adjuvant anthracyclines and/or TRZ.

RESULTS: The combination of overweight and obesity was significantly associated with a greater risk of developing cardiotoxicity after an anthracycline and/or trastuzumab regimen in breast cancer patients. The pooled odds ratio for cardiotoxicity was 1.38 (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.06, 1.80; $I^2 = 43\%$; n =8745) for overweight or obesity (BMI>25), 1.47 (95% CI: 0.95, 2.28; $I^2 = 47\%$; n = 2615) for obesity and 1.15 (95% CI: 0.83, 1.58; $I^2 = 27\%$; n = 2708) for overweight. Associations were independent of the study design, year of publication, drug regimen (anthracyclines alone vs trastuzumab +/- anthracyclines) or definition of cardiotoxicity and of overweight/obesity. There was no evidence of publication bias.

CONCLUSION: Our findings consistently showed that overweight and obesity are risk factors for cardiotoxicity from both anthracyclines and trastuzumab in breast cancer patients.

Introduction

Anthracyclines are anticancer agents with a broad spectrum of activity in oncological practice ¹. However, the use of anthracyclines is limited by the acute and chronic dose-dependent cardiotoxicity they may induce ²⁻⁴. Following the administration of anthracyclines in adjuvant setting of breast cancer, trastuzumab (TRZ, Herceptin®), a humanized monoclonal antibody against the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (HER 2 or ErbB2) protein⁵, is effective in patients that overexpress this receptor. The combination of TRZ and chemotherapy provides a clinical benefit in terms of recurrence-free survival and overall survival compared with chemotherapy alone ⁶⁻⁹. Nonetheless, while preclinical studies did not reveal cardiotoxicity ¹⁰, later clinical studies showed that treatment with TRZ led to an unexpected incidence of cardiac side effects ^{11,12}. The most frequent effect was reduced systolic ventricular function, asymptomatic or associated with heart failure ¹³.

Overweight and obesity are complex health problems that affect a growing number of populations, and which increases the risk of several chronic diseases including cancer ¹⁴. Although it is has been known for years that patients with metabolic syndrome have a higher risk of cardiovascular disease ¹⁵, their susceptibility to chemotherapy-induced cardiac disease is not well documented. Moreover, obesity has recently been associated with poor outcomes (overall survival and disease-free survival) in breast cancer patients treated with DOX-based chemotherapy ¹⁶. Conversely, other studies have indicated that BMI had no effect on disease-free survival, but only a significant negative impact on overall survival ¹⁷, suggesting that obese women with breast cancer have poorer overall survival, probably due to a higher risk of non-cancer-related causes of death, and also to an unfavorable socioeconomic status and genetic background ¹⁹. Therefore, it is important to explore in

greater depth the influence of overweight and obesity as aggravating factors in the development of cardiotoxicity, particularly in the context of anthracycline and/or trastuzumab-based therapy for breast cancer.

Methods

Search Strategy

We conducted comprehensive literature searches in PubMed, , Embase, Web of Science, Scopus, and clinicaltrials.gov using predefined keywords [("anthracyclines" or "doxorubicin" or "epirubicin" or "trastuzumab") and ("cardiac toxicity" or "cardiotoxicity" or "heart failure") and ("BMI" or "body mass index" or "overweight" or "underweight" or "obesity" or "body weight") and ("breast" or "breast cancer")]. We used both Medical Subject Headings and text words in PubMed, and we used Emtree terms and text words in Embase. We used text words in Web of Science, Scopus, and clinicaltrials.gov. We included all languages, even though we did not identify any eligible non-English papers. We excluded case reports, reviews, guidelines, editorials, and letters. We checked the reference lists of included articles for additional studies. Moreover, we looked at the full text of studies on the associations of risk factors, and cardiotoxicity of either anthracyclines or trastuzumab to identify additional studies.

Selection of the Studies

The first author (C.G.) assessed the titles, abstracts, and full texts of the studies found and determined whether the studies examined the associations of weight-related factors with cardiotoxicity of anthracyclines and/or trastuzumab. We included cross-sectional and cohort studies as well as both population-based and hospital-based case-control studies in the systematic review. To be eligible for a meta-analysis, the studies had to report quantitative data on the association between overweight/obesity and cardiotoxicity of anthracyclines and/or trastuzumab. We also

contacted authors of studies that were potentially eligible for inclusion in the metaanalysis in order to obtain additional information or new results ²⁰⁻²².

Quality Assessment

Two reviewers (C.G. and A.L.) independently assessed the quality of the study, using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale adapted to the specific design of the study ²³. Disagreements between the two reviewers were resolved by consensus. The definition used for cardiotoxicity was also evaluated as adequate or not according to the classical definition and to the recent expert consensus on Cancer Therapeutics–Related Cardiac Dysfunction ²⁴.

Meta-analysis

Firstly, the proportion of cardiotoxicity was estimated in a meta-analysis by pooling the proportion of patients with cardiotoxicity in individual studies, calculated as the proportion of patients with cardiotoxicity divided by the number of patients treated with anthracyclines and/or trastuzumab. An arcsine transformation was used to stabilize the variation of proportions ²⁵. A random effect model was used according to DerSimonian-Laird's method ^{26,27}.

Secondly, paired and network meta-analyses were conducted to pool the effect sizes of the weight status (normal, overweight, or obese)on cardiotoxicity of anthracyclines and/or trastuzumab in patients treated for breast cancer. The use of meta-analyses as adjustment method avoids a bias called Simpson's paradox that can be encountered in simple addition of cases and population of each individual studies ²⁸. Network meta-analyses combine the information from direct evidence (pairwise comparisons) and indirect evidence (comparing B to C from the comparisons of A to

B and A to C). Odds ratio (OR), expressed with 95% confidence intervals (CI), were calculated. A random-effect model with the DerSimonian and Laird method was used. The estimate from a random-effects meta-analysis is more conservative than that from a fixed-effect meta-analysis ²⁹. Paired meta-analyses were performed using Stata 10 (metan add-on) ³⁰. Network meta-analyses were performed using R 3.1.0 software (package netmeta) according to the method described by Rücker ^{31,32}. This is a frequentist method based on electrical networks and graph theory that performs as well as the classical bayesian network analysis, without the need to specify priors and that is easier to implement ³³. Inconsistency was evaluated with Cochran's Q ³⁴.

We assessed the presence of heterogeneity across the studies by means of the I^2 statistic ³⁵. The I² statistic shows the total variation across studies that is not due to chance. An I² statistic less than 25% indicates a small amount of inconsistency, and more than 50% indicates a large amount of inconsistency ³⁶. We used metaregression to determine whether study-level covariates accounted for the observed heterogeneity. The main analyses were performed to compare the impact of overweight and obesity with that of normal weight. Subgroup analyses were conducted according to the treatment (anthracyclines only versus trastuzumab+/anthracyclines). There was no data on trastuzumab cardiotoxicity alone (i.e. with a 0% rate of anthracyclines use in the population) in the included studies. We also performed subgroup analyses according World Health Organization to recommended cut-off points for body mass index (BMI; weight (kg)/height (m)²) and defined overweight as BMI 25–29.9 and obesity as BMI \geq 30 ³⁷.

To assess publication bias, we used a funnel plot, which compared the sizes of the overweight/obesity effects with their standard errors. We used the Egger regression

test to examine funnel plot asymmetry. Statistical significance for publication bias was based on a P value less than 0.10 38

The PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses) was used as guidance for reporting this meta-analysis ³⁹.

Results

The review flow is depicted in Figure 1. Our searches initially identified 585 abstracts. There were 123 relevant studies on the associations of cardiac risk factors with cardiotoxicity of anthracyclines and/or trastuzumab in breast cancer patients. Obesity was evaluated as a potential risk factor of cardiotoxicity in 15 studies. Three of them were not included in the meta-analysis because the study population also included patients with non-breast malignancies. We contacted the authors of 14 other studies that were eligible for the assessment of cardiotoxicity but that did not mention obesity. Three of them were able to provide additional data about the proportion of obese patients in the cardiotoxicity and non- cardiotoxicity groups of their studies. Overall, 15 studies were included in the meta-analysis ^{4,12,20-22,40-49} (table 1 and 2): seven were prospective cohort studies and eight were retrospective cohort studies. Most of these studies only included patients with localized breast cancer (LBC) (9/15) with adjuvant and neo-adjuvant chemotherapies. Of the 15 studies included in this metaanalysis, four focused on anthracyclines cardiotoxicity, and 11 focused on trastuzumab +/- anthracyclines cardiotoxicity (the rates of anthracyclines use in the trastuzumab studies are described in the table 2). Of the 15 studies included in the review, 11 studies reported results for overweight, 15 reported results for overweight/obesity, and 11 reported results for obesity. Similar results were reported from cross-sectional, case-control, and cohort studies. Therefore, we combined all designs in a single analysis.

Overall study quality was satisfactory, although most studies stemmed from institutional databases and were retrospective (table 3).

Cardiotoxicity incidence

The mean rate of cardiotoxicity of the 8,745 patients analysed was 17% (95%CI: 11-25). Patients treated with anthracyclines only (n=3,898) had a rate of cardiotoxicity of 20% (95%CI: 5-43); whereas patients treated with trastuzumab +/- anthracyclines (n=4,847) had 16% (95%CI: 10-24) of cardiotoxicity.

Using a meta-analysis on the 11 studies including patients treated with TRZ, we found that 91 % (95%CI: 83-97) of the included patients were also treated with anthracyclines.

Overweight/obesity and cardiotoxicity

As shown in figure 2, the combination of overweight and obesity was significantly associated with a greater risk of developing cardiotoxicity after an anthracycline and/or trastuzumab regimen in breast cancer patients.

The pooled odds ratio for cardiotoxicity was 1.38 (95% confidence interval (CI): 1.06, 1.80; $I^2 = 43\%$; n =8745) for overweight or obesity (BMI>25), 1.47 (95% CI: 0.95, 2.28; $I^2 = 47\%$; n = 2615) for obesity and 1.15 (95% CI: 0.83, 1.58; $I^2 = 27\%$; n = 2708) for overweight.

Moreover, there was no significant effect of the drug regimen on the role of overweight and obesity in the onset risk of cardiotoxicity (figure 3).

Using network meta-analysis (figure 4), the subgroup analysis showed a gradual increase in the risk with higher BMI (p=0.05): normal weight patients had the lowest risk of developing cardiotoxicity, overweight patients had an intermediate risk (OR= 1.13 [0.80; 1.61] vs normal weight)) and obese patients had the highest risk (OR= 1.27 [0.88; 1.86] vs overweight and OR=1.44 [0.99; 2.10] vs normal weight).

Heterogeneity and meta-regression

In this meta-analysis, the estimates of studies on the association between obesity or overweight and cardiotoxicity were moderately heterogeneous (I2 = 43%; figure 2).

In the meta-regression of the 15 studies on the association between overweight/obesity and cardiotoxicity, the heterogeneity across studies was not explained by study design, year of publication, geographic origin, drug regimen (anthracyclines alone vs trastuzumab +/- anthracyclines) or the definition of cardiotoxicity and of overweight/obesity. The proportion of anthracycline use in the studies was not associated with changes in the effect of overweight and obesity on cardiotoxicity by meta-regression analysis (β =2.09; p=0.22).

Publication bias

The funnel plot of data from the 15 studies included in the meta-analysis was symmetrical (Figure 4). The P value for the Egger test was 0.43.

Discussion

This network meta-analysis based on 15 studies and on 8,745 patients shows that overweight and obesity are significantly associated with the risk of cardiotoxicity from anthracycline and/or trastuzumab. In addition drug regimen (ie anthracyclines alone, or trastuzumab +/- anthracyclines) is not associated with a different effect of weight parameters on the cardiotoxicity risk.

Cardiotoxicity definition

Most of the included studies employed cardiotoxicity definitions that were considered adequate regarding to the consensual definition⁵⁰ used prior to the new expert consensus ²⁴. Moreover, in the meta-regression of the 15 studies on the association between overweight/obesity and cardiotoxicity, the heterogeneity across studies was not explained by the definition of cardiotoxicity. Thus, we can consider that the results were not significantly influenced by the slightly different definitions employed.

Rates of cardiotoxic events

In a recent meta-analysis on anthracyclines cardiotoxicity, clinically overt cardiotoxicity occurred in 6% (95%CI 3-9), whereas subclinical cardiotoxicity developed in 18% (95%CI 12-24)⁵¹. These rates are consistent with ours in anthracyclines patients (20% (95%CI 5-43)) and can be explained by the high rates of asymptomatic LVEF alterations. Concerning trastuzumab cardiotoxicity rates, Chen *et al* described in a meta-analysis on 11,882 patients from 10 randomized clinical trials (RCTs) that incidences of LVEF decrease and congestive heart failure (CHF) were 7.5% (95% CI 4.2–13.1) and 1.9% (95% CI 1.0–3.8) among patients receiving trastuzumab.⁵² The incidence of LVEF decrease with trastuzumab for

anthracyclines-based chemotherapy was 7.2% (95%CI: 3.8–13.3), while it was 5.2% (95%CI: 0.2–56.6) for non-anthracycline containing chemotherapy. These rates are lower than those found in our study (trastuzumab + anthracyclines: 16% (95%CI 10-24)), probably because we mainly included observational studies derived from local cohorts of patients, although Chen *et al* only included RCTs, where the patients are carefully selected at admission and closely monitored. In a retrospective cohort analysis, Bowles *et al* demonstrated the cumulative heart failure/cardiomyopathy incidence among recipients of anthracycline and trastuzumab was 6.2% (95%CI 4.1-8.2) after 1 year of follow-up and continued to increase to 20.1% (95%CI 14-25.6) by 5 years⁵³. Thus, the duration of follow-up could also explain the discrepancies of cardiotoxicity rates between our study and the literature data.

Regarding the rates of cardiotoxicity according to the drug regimen, we did not found a potentiation of anthracyclines cardiotoxicity when trastuzumab was also used. These results are discordant with those recently published by Thavendiranathan *et al* ⁵⁴ who demonstrated in a large population-based retrospective cohort study that, compared to other drug regimens, breast cancer patients receiving a sequential therapy (anthracyclines followed by trastuzumab) had the highest risk of clinical cardiotoxicity as assessed by congestive heart failure or cardiac death. We suggest that the discrepancy between our results and Thavendiranathan *et al* could be due to a selection bias, since only the patients not developing a cardiotoxicity with anthracyclines are usually treated with TRZ. However, our study and our search strategy were not designed to evaluate specifically the rates of cardiotoxicity according to the different drug regimens, as suggested by the relatively large confidence intervals reported in the subgroup analysis. Thus potentials lack of power in the population size and selection bias in the study selection could have reduced accuracy of our cardiotoxicity rates.

Obesity and cardiotoxicity

There is a well-established link between obesity and heart failure (HF) in the general population; Kenchaiah et al. reported the first large epidemiological study showing obesity to be an independent risk factor for development of HF, analyzing 5,881 individuals from the Framingham Heart Study. It was concluded that for each increment of 1 kg/m² in BMI, there was an increase in the risk of HF of 7 % for women and 5 % for men⁵⁵. The risk of development of HF was independent of age, alcohol, and cigarette use and comorbidities including diabetes, hypertension, and history of myocardial infarction⁵⁶. The present work is the first to assess the potential role of overweight and obesity on the cardiac dysfunction induced both by anthracyclines and trastuzumab. The large population size allowed proper assessment of the excess risk associated with weight-related abnormalities. Obesity was associated with a poor outcome in breast cancer patients treated with anthracyclines-based chemotherapy ¹⁷, affecting both overall survival and diseasefree survival, and remained an important prognostic factor, independent of the treatment dose ^{16,57}. This could be partly explained by the higher rates of cardiac adverse events encountered by obese patients treated with anthracyclines or trastuzumab. In fact, few studies have shown that obese patients ⁵⁸ or rats fed with a high-lipid diet ⁵⁹ are more sensitive to the cardiotoxic effects of anthracyclines. In an in vivo model of overweight induced by post-natal programming, we recently demonstrated that moderately overweight adult mice were more sensitive to cardiac systolic impairment and confirmed the potentiating action of TRZ on DOX-induced cardiotoxicity in lean mice ⁶⁰. The mechanisms by which overweight/obesity is able

to promote anthracyclines-induced cardiotoxicity may involve adiponectin downregulation ^{61,62}. The dysregulation of adipocyte-derived hormones, adipocytokines, promotes the development of diverse obesity-linked diseases ⁶³. Plasma adiponectin levels are decreased in obese subjects ⁶⁴. Adiponectin confers resistance to anthracyclines-induced myocardial damage through activation of Akt signalling within cardiomyocytes ⁶². Adiponectin-KO mice showed exacerbated left ventricle contractile dysfunction following doxorubicin injection, whereas exogenous adiponectin improved doxorubicin-induced left ventricular dysfunction in wild-type and adiponectin-KO mice ⁶². It has also been demonstrated that metformin protects cardiomyocytes from doxorubicin-induced damage and that the cardiac adiponectin system plays an important role in this protective action. ⁶⁵. However, no study has yet been performed to elucidate the role of adiponectin on trastuzumab-induced cardiac dysfunction.

Another explanation to the excess risk of cardiotoxicity carried by obese patients could be related to a different genetic background. Gene-expression profiles have revealed perturbed pathways involved in crosstalk between tumours and cardiac function ⁶⁶. In fact, the ATP Binding Cassette, subfamily B, member 1 gene (ABCB-1) genotype has been associated with a genetic risk of obesity, but also with various responses to chemotherapy in cancer patients. Moreover, another ABC family member, ABCB-8, which regulates the iron export inside the mitochondria, has recently been described as a target of DOX, leading to iron accumulation within this organelle, and thus to oxidative damages ⁶⁷.

Limitations:

The present study has several limitations. Mainly, it was not possible to take into account the associated cardiac risk factors or the other classical anthracyclines and trastuzumab cardiotoxicity risk factors. Meta-regressions controlling other risk factors would have required obtaining individual data for each study that we did not obtain. Adjusted odds ratios were available in very few studies and could neither be used. However, adjusted odds ratios were similar to crude odds ratio when they were available ^{21,41,45}. Thus, the higher risk of cardiotoxicity for obese patients treated with these drugs could also be related to a higher prevalence of other risk factors in these patients. This could explain the moderate heterogeneity observed among the studies included in the analysis both regarding the rates of cardiotoxicity and the effect of overweight and obesity on the onset of cardiotoxicity. Most of the studies included were not designed to address specifically the matter of obesity as risk factor of druginduced cardiotoxicity, leading to possible bias in data collection. Moreover, the definitions of both "obesity" and "overweight" as well as "cardiotoxicity" slightly differed among the studies involved. Finally, the subgroup analysis was limited by the relative lack of power related to the small number of studies that included all the three groups being compared. In addition, many studies included here reported limited details, and for several others, we combined the occurrence of clinical and subclinical cardiotoxicity as a single end point to increase statistical power.

Conclusion

Overweight and obesity are significantly associated with the risk of cardiotoxicity from anthracycline and/or trastuzumab. A careful assessment of cardiovascular risk factor is crucial to improve the risk stratification of cardiotoxicity in breast cancer patients treated with anthracyclines and trastuzumab. Further studies are needed to establish the independent predictive value of obesity on cardiotoxicity, but also to identify potential therapeutic targets to mitigate the increased cardiotoxicity risk associated with overweight and obesity.

Acknowledgments:

The authors wish to thank Philip Bastable for English assistance.

References

1. Pommier Y, Leo E, Zhang H, et al: DNA topoisomerases and their poisoning by anticancer and antibacterial drugs. Chem Biol 17:421-33, 2010

2. Singal PK, Iliskovic N: Doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy. N Engl J Med 339:900-5, 1998

Keefe DL: Anthracycline-induced cardiomyopathy. Semin Oncol 28:2-7,
 2001

4. Caram ME, Guo C, Leja M, et al: Doxorubicin-induced cardiac dysfunction in unselected patients with a history of early-stage breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 152:163-72, 2015

5. Rochette L, Guenancia C, Gudjoncik A, et al:

Anthracyclines/trastuzumab: new aspects of cardiotoxicity and molecular mechanisms. Trends Pharmacol Sci 36:326-48, 2015

6. Slamon DJ, Leyland-Jones B, Shak S, et al: Use of chemotherapy plus a monoclonal antibody against HER2 for metastatic breast cancer that overexpresses HER2. N Engl J Med 344:783-92, 2001

7. Piccart-Gebhart MJ, Procter M, Leyland-Jones B, et al: Trastuzumab after adjuvant chemotherapy in HER2-positive breast cancer. N Engl J Med 353:1659-72, 2005

Romond EH, Perez EA, Bryant J, et al: Trastuzumab plus adjuvant
 chemotherapy for operable HER2-positive breast cancer. N Engl J Med 353:1673-84,
 2005

9. Dawood S, Broglio K, Buzdar AU, et al: Prognosis of women with metastatic breast cancer by HER2 status and trastuzumab treatment: an institutional-based review. J Clin Oncol 28:92-8, 2010

10. Klein PM, Dybdal N: Trastuzumab and cardiac dysfunction: update on preclinical studies. Semin Oncol 30:49-53, 2003

11. Seidman A, Hudis C, Pierri MK, et al: Cardiac dysfunction in the trastuzumab clinical trials experience. J Clin Oncol 20:1215-21, 2002

12. Farolfi A, Melegari E, Aquilina M, et al: Trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity in early breast cancer patients: a retrospective study of possible risk and protective factors. Heart 99:634-9, 2013

Perez EA, Rodeheffer R: Clinical cardiac tolerability of trastuzumab. J
 Clin Oncol 22:322-9, 2004

14. De Pergola G, Silvestris F: Obesity as a major risk factor for cancer. J Obes 2013:291546, 2013

15. Zeller M, Steg PG, Ravisy J, et al: Relation between body mass index, waist circumference, and death after acute myocardial infarction. Circulation 118:482-90, 2008

16. de Azambuja E, McCaskill-Stevens W, Francis P, et al: The effect of body mass index on overall and disease-free survival in node-positive breast cancer patients treated with docetaxel and doxorubicin-containing adjuvant chemotherapy: the experience of the BIG 02-98 trial. Breast Cancer Res Treat 119:145-53, 2010

17. Ladoire S, Dalban C, Roche H, et al: Effect of obesity on disease-free and overall survival in node-positive breast cancer patients in a large French population: a pooled analysis of two randomised trials. Eur J Cancer 50:506-16, 2014

18. Ryu SY, Kim CB, Nam CM, et al: Is body mass index the prognostic factor in breast cancer?: a meta-analysis. J Korean Med Sci 16:610-4, 2001

19. Herman DR, Ganz PA, Petersen L, et al: Obesity and cardiovascular risk factors in younger breast cancer survivors: The Cancer and Menopause Study (CAMS). Breast Cancer Res Treat 93:13-23, 2005

20. Cardinale D, Colombo A, Bacchiani G, et al: Early detection of anthracycline cardiotoxicity and improvement with heart failure therapy. Circulation 131:1981-8, 2015

21. Cardinale D, Colombo A, Torrisi R, et al: Trastuzumab-induced cardiotoxicity: clinical and prognostic implications of troponin I evaluation. J Clin Oncol 28:3910-6, 2010

22. Yu AF, Yadav NU, Lung BY, et al: Trastuzumab interruption and treatment-induced cardiotoxicity in early HER2-positive breast cancer. Breast Cancer Res Treat 149:489-95, 2015

23. Stang A: Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in meta-analyses. Eur J Epidemiol 25:603-5, 2010

24. Plana JC, Galderisi M, Barac A, et al: Expert consensus for multimodality imaging evaluation of adult patients during and after cancer therapy: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography and the European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 15:1063-93, 2014

25. Rucker G, Schwarzer G, Carpenter J: Arcsine test for publication bias in meta-analyses with binary outcomes. Stat Med 27:746-63, 2008

26. Harris R, Bradburn M, Deeks J, et al: metan: fixed- and random-effects meta-analysis. Stata Journal 8:3, 2008

27. DerSimonian R, Laird N: Meta-analysis in clinical trials. Control Clin Trials 7:177-88, 1986

28. Simpson EH: The Interpretation of Interaction in Contingency Tables. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological) 13:238-241, 1951

29. Berlin JA, Laird NM, Sacks HS, et al: A comparison of statistical methods for combining event rates from clinical trials. Stat Med 8:141-51, 1989

30. StataCorp LP: Stata/SE 10.0. Stata Corp. College Station, TX, USA.2007

31. R Core Team [Internet]: R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2014.

http://www.R-project.org.

32. Rücker G: Network meta-analysis, electrical networks and graph theory. Res Syn Meth 3:312–324., 2012

33. Neupane B, Richer D, Bonner A, et al: Network Meta-Analysis Using R: A Review of Currently Available Automated Packages. PLoS ONE 9:e115065, 2014

34. Krahn U, Binder H, König J: A graphical tool for locating inconsistency in network meta-analyses. BMC Med Res Methodol 13, 2013

35. Higgins JP, Thompson SG: Quantifying heterogeneity in a metaanalysis. Stat Med 21:1539-58, 2002

36. Ioannidis JP, Patsopoulos NA, Evangelou E: Uncertainty in heterogeneity estimates in meta-analyses. BMJ 335:914-6, 2007

37. SELLEN D: Physical Status: The Use and Interpretation of Anthropometry. Report of a WHO Expert Committee. WHO Technical Report Series No. 854. Pp. 452. (WHO, Geneva, 1995.) Swiss Fr 71.00. Journal of Biosocial Science 30:135-144, 1998

38. Rothstein HR, Sutton AJ, Borenstein M: Publication Bias in Meta-Analysis, Publication Bias in Meta-Analysis, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2006, pp 1-7

39. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al: Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med 6:e1000097, 2009

40. Aitelhaj M, Lkhouyaali S, Rais G, et al: Cardiac safety of the adjuvant Trastuzumab in a Moroccan population: observational monocentric study of about 100 patients. BMC Res Notes 6:339, 2013

41. Ayres LR, de Almeida Campos MS, de Oliveira Gozzo T, et al: Trastuzumab induced cardiotoxicity in HER2 positive breast cancer patients attended in a tertiary hospital. Int J Clin Pharm 37:365-72, 2015

42. Bonneterre J, Roche H, Kerbrat P, et al: Long-term cardiac follow-up in relapse-free patients after six courses of fluorouracil, epirubicin, and cyclophosphamide, with either 50 or 100 mg of epirubicin, as adjuvant therapy for node-positive breast cancer: French adjuvant study group. J Clin Oncol 22:3070-9, 2004

43. da Fonseca LG, de Melo Gagliato D, Takahashi TK, et al: Cardiac safety of (neo)adjuvant trastuzumab in the community setting: a single-center experience. Breast Care (Basel) 9:255-60, 2014

44. Fumoleau P, Roche H, Kerbrat P, et al: Long-term cardiac toxicity after adjuvant epirubicin-based chemotherapy in early breast cancer: French Adjuvant Study Group results. Ann Oncol 17:85-92, 2006

45. Lemieux J, Diorio C, Cote MA, et al: Alcohol and HER2 polymorphisms as risk factor for cardiotoxicity in breast cancer treated with trastuzumab. Anticancer Res 33:2569-76, 2013

46. Perez EA, Suman VJ, Davidson NE, et al: Cardiac safety analysis of doxorubicin and cyclophosphamide followed by paclitaxel with or without trastuzumab in the North Central Cancer Treatment Group N9831 adjuvant breast cancer trial. J Clin Oncol 26:1231-8, 2008

47. Serrano JM, Muniz J, Del Castillo S, et al: Body Mass Index Predicts Cardiac Toxicity Following Anthracycline-Based Chemotherapy. Circulation 128:A16133, 2013

48. Suter TM, Procter M, van Veldhuisen DJ, et al: Trastuzumabassociated cardiac adverse effects in the herceptin adjuvant trial. J Clin Oncol 25:3859-65, 2007

49. Urun Y, Utkan G, Yalcin B, et al: The role of cardiac biomarkers as predictors of trastuzumab cardiotoxicity in patients with breast cancer. Exp Oncol 37:53-7, 2015

50. Khouri MG, Douglas PS, Mackey JR, et al: Cancer therapy-induced cardiac toxicity in early breast cancer: addressing the unresolved issues. Circulation 126:2749-63, 2012

51. Lotrionte M, Biondi-Zoccai G, Abbate A, et al: Review and metaanalysis of incidence and clinical predictors of anthracycline cardiotoxicity. Am J Cardiol 112:1980-4, 2013

52. Chen T, Xu T, Li Y, et al: Risk of cardiac dysfunction with trastuzumab in breast cancer patients: a meta-analysis. Cancer Treat Rev 37:312-20, 2011

53. Bowles EJ, Wellman R, Feigelson HS, et al: Risk of heart failure in breast cancer patients after anthracycline and trastuzumab treatment: a retrospective cohort study. J Natl Cancer Inst 104:1293-305, 2012

54. Thavendiranathan P, Abdel-Qadir H, Fischer HD, et al: Breast Cancer Therapy-Related Cardiac Dysfunction in Adult Women Treated in Routine Clinical Practice: A Population-Based Cohort Study. J Clin Oncol, 2016

55. Kenchaiah S, Evans JC, Levy D, et al: Obesity and the risk of heart failure. N Engl J Med 347:305-13, 2002

56. Mandviwala T, Khalid U, Deswal A: Obesity and Cardiovascular Disease: a Risk Factor or a Risk Marker? Curr Atheroscler Rep 18:21, 2016

57. Renehan AG, Tyson M, Egger M, et al: Body-mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. Lancet 371:569-78, 2008

58. Rodvold KA, Rushing DA, Tewksbury DA: Doxorubicin clearance in the obese. J Clin Oncol 6:1321-7, 1988

59. Mitra MS, Donthamsetty S, White B, et al: High fat diet-fed obese rats are highly sensitive to doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 231:413-22, 2008

60. Guenancia C, Hachet O, Aboutabl M, et al: Overweight in mice, induced by perinatal programming, exacerbates doxorubicin and trastuzumab cardiotoxicity. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol, 2016

61. Nakamura K, Fuster JJ, Walsh K: Adipokines: a link between obesity and cardiovascular disease. J Cardiol 63:250-9, 2014

62. Maruyama S, Shibata R, Ohashi K, et al: Adiponectin Ameliorates Doxorubicin-Induced Cardiotoxicity Through Akt Protein-Dependent Mechanism. Journal of Biological Chemistry 286:32790-32800, 2011

63. Ouchi N, Parker JL, Lugus JJ, et al: Adipokines in inflammation and metabolic disease. Nat Rev Immunol 11:85-97, 2011

64. Marinou K, Tousoulis D, Antonopoulos AS, et al: Obesity and cardiovascular disease: from pathophysiology to risk stratification. Int J Cardiol 138:3-8, 2010

65. Asensio-Lopez MC, Lax A, Pascual-Figal DA, et al: Metformin protects against doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity: involvement of the adiponectin cardiac system. Free Radic Biol Med 51:1861-71, 2011

66. Brown SA, Sandhu N, Herrmann J: Systems biology approaches to adverse drug effects: the example of cardio-oncology. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 12:718-31, 2015

67. Ichikawa Y, Ghanefar M, Bayeva M, et al: Cardiotoxicity of doxorubicin is mediated through mitochondrial iron accumulation. J Clin Invest 124:617-30, 2014

Tables and figures:

TABLE 1. Main features of included studies

TABLE 2. Internal validity of included studies according to the Newcastle-Ottawa

 scale

FIGURE 1. Review profile.

FIGURE 2. Results of a meta-analysis of the association between overweight or obesity and cardiotoxicity of anthracycline and/or trastuzumab in breast cancer patients. The size of the gray shaded area indicates the weight of each study. Horizontal lines show the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). OR, odds ratio. MH: Mantel-Haenzsel method. DL: DerSimonian and Leard method.

FIGURE 3. Results of a meta-analysis of the association between overweight and obesity and the onset of cardiotoxicty in breast cancer patients treated with anthracycline and/or trastuzumab. The size of the gray shaded area indicates the weight of each study. Horizontal lines show the 95% confidence intervals (CIs). OR, odds ratio. MH : Mantel-Haenzsel method. DL : DerSimonian and Leard method.

Figure 4. ONLINE ONLY Results of network meta-analysis of the association of overweight or obesity with cardiotoxicity of anthracycline and/or trastuzumab in breast cancer patients. OR, odds ratio.

FIGURE 4. *ONLINE ONLY* Publication bias in studies on the associations of overweight/obesity (15 studies) with cardiotoxicity of anthracyclines and/or trastuzumab.

Table 1. M	ain feature	es of in	cluded stu	udies							
First Author	Patients	Year	Location	Breast cancer	Setting	Overweight definition	Obesity definition	Cardiotoxicity rates	Anthracyclines treatment rates	TRZ	Cardiotoxicity definition
Aitelhaj ⁴⁰	100	2013	Morocco	LBC HER2+	Adjuvant + neo- adjuvant	BMI>25	BMI≥30	38%	100%	yes	LVEF decrease below 50% or an absolute decrease of >10 points below the baseline value or any symptoms or CHF
Ayres ⁴¹	79	2015	Brazil	LBC HER2+	Adjuvant +neo- adjuvant	BMI>25	BMI>30	33%	91%	yes	CHF or a decrease in LVEF by ≥10 % compared to the first echocardiography measurement or <50% at any time
Bonneterre ⁴	67	2004	France	LBC	Adjuvant	BMI>27	NA	31%	100%	no	LVEF <50%, a decrease in LVEF >20% of baseline value, or CHF
Caram⁴	165	2015	USA	LBC	Adjuvant +neo- adjuvant	BMI≥25	BMI≥30	12%	100%	yes	Systolic dysfunction = LVEF<55 %
Cardinale ²¹	251	2010	Italy	LBC + MBC HER2+	Adjuvant +neo- adjuvant	BMI>25	BMI≥30	17%	78%	yes	An absolute drop ≥10% from baseline and <50%
Cardinale ²⁰	669	2015	Italy	LBC + MBC	Adjuvant +neo- adjuvant	BMI>25	BMI≥30	10%	100%	no	An absolute drop ≥10% from baseline and <50%
Farolfi ¹²	179	2013	Italy	LBC	Adjuvant	BMI≥25	BMI≥30	44%	91%	yes	an absolute LVEF decrease ≥15 points from baseline or a LVEF<50%
Fumoleau44	3073	2007	France	LBC	Adjuvant	BMI≥27	NA	1%	100%	no	The occurrence of cardiac symptoms, a LVEF below normal value of the center, and/or a LVEF decrease <20% of baseline value
Gomes ⁴³	237	2014	Brazil	LBC HER2+	Adjuvant +neo- adjuvant	BMI>25	NA	20%	84%	yes	Cardiac death or absolute decrease in LVEF > 10% to a value less than 50%, or CHF
Lemieux ⁴⁵	237	2013	Canada	LBC HER2+	Adjuvant +neo- adjuvant	BMI≥25	BMI≥30	14%	73%	yes	A decrease >10% from baseline with a resulting LVEF <45%
Perez ⁴⁶	1280	2008	Wordlwid e	LBC HER2+	Adjuvant	BMI≥25	BMI≥30	3%	100%	yes	LVEF decreased >15 percentage points, or 10 to 15 percentage points to below the LLN, relative to registration LVEF level
Serrano ⁴⁷	89	2013	Spain	NA	NA	BMI>25	BMI>30	57%	100%	no	CHF, sudden cardiac death, left ventricular systolic or diastolic dysfunction appeared during the follow-up
Suter ⁴⁸	1667	2007	Wordlwid e	LBC HER2+	Adjuvant +neo- adjuvant	BMI>25	NA	4%	94%	yes	Absolute drop of LVEF ≥10% with a final LVEF <50% or cardiac death or severe CHF

Urun ⁴⁹	52	2015	Turkey	LBC HER2+	Adjuvant +neo- adjuvant	BMI>25	BMI>30	10%	75%	yes	CHF or >10% decline of LVEF
Yu ²²	608	2015	USA	LBC HER2+	Adjuvant	BMI≥25	BMI≥30	11%	80%	yes	An absolute decline ≥16 % points from baseline LVEF or an absolute decline of 10–15 % points from baseline LVEF to below the LLN (55 %).
	BMI indicates	body ma	ss index; LBC	: localized bre	east cancer; MBC: m	etastatic breast o	cancer; NA: no	ot available USA TRZ	CHF indicates cong	gestive heart fail	ure; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; LLN: lower limit of normal.

able 2. Internal	validity of include	d studies accor	ding to the Newcas	stle-Ottawa	scale *
First Author	Study Design	Data Source	Demonstration that outcome was not present at start	Adequacy of follow- up	Adequacy of cardiotoxicity definition
Aitelhaj ⁴⁰	Retrospective	Institutional database	yes	probably yes	yes
Ayres ⁴¹	Retrospective	Institutional database	no	probably yes	yes
Bonneterre ⁴²	Prospective	Post hoc analysis of RCT	yes	yes	yes
Caram ⁴	Retrospective	Institutional database	no	probably yes	no
Cardinale ²¹	Prospective	Institutional database	yes	yes	yes
Cardinale ²⁰	Prospective	Institutional database	yes	yes	yes
Farolfi ¹²	Retrospective	Institutional database	no	probably yes	yes
Fumoleau ⁴⁴	Retrospective	Post hoc analysis of RCT	yes	yes	yes
Gomes ⁴³	Retrospective	Institutional database	no	no	yes
Lemieux ⁴⁵	Retrospective	Institutional database	no	yes	yes
Perez ⁴⁶	Prospective	Post hoc analysis of RCT	yes	yes	yes
Serrano ⁴⁷	Prospective	Institutional database	yes	no	no
Suter ⁴⁸	Prospective	Post hoc analysis of RCT	yes	yes	yes
Urun ⁴⁹	Prospective	Institutional database	yes	no	yes
Yu ²²	Retrospective	Institutional database	no	no	yes

• All other items of the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale were scored as adequate/low risk of bias. RCT : randomized clinical trial



FIGURE 1

Overweight or obesity versus normal weight Bonneterre 2004 Suter 2007 Perez 2008 Cardinale 2010 Serrano 2013 Farolfi 2013 Aitelhaj 2013 Lemieux 2013 Gomes 2014 Yu 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2010 PH-Subtotal (I-squared = 43.0%, p = 0.039) M-H Subtotal (I-squared = 43.0%, p = 0.041)	$\begin{array}{c} 2.27 \ (0.79, 6.51) \\ 1.91 \ (1.12, 3.28) \\ 1.98 \ (1.00, 3.91) \\ 2.06 \ (0.94, 4.52) \\ 0.74 \ (0.35, 1.57) \\ 3.78 \ (1.44, 9.90) \\ 0.65 \ (0.36, 1.17) \\ 1.06 \ (0.42, 2.63) \\ 1.18 \ (0.56, 2.49) \\ 1.12 \ (0.59, 2.12) \\ 1.58 \ (0.92, 2.73) \\ 2.71 \ (0.14, 53.48) \\ 2.19 \ (0.75, 6.35) \\ 0.75 \ (0.43, 1.29) \\ 2.01 \ (0.56, 7.28) \\ 1.33 \ (1.06, 1.80) \\ 1.33 \ (1.00, 1.60) \\ \end{array}$	12/29 40/841 14/779 31/841 11/82 42/63 34/89 28/73 18/125 26/123 45/357 5/43 20/52 20/232 16/122 362/3851	9/38 21/826 21/2294 8/439 28/161 9/26 44/90 10/27 14//12 22/114 21/251 0/9 6/27 49/437 3/43 265/4894 8/439 28/161	4.60 9.90 7.94 6.76 7.09 5.21 9.76 0.75 4.51 9.76 3.38 10.00 11.8 ² 8.73
Bonneterre 2004 Suter 2007 Perez 2008 Cardinale 2010 Serrano 2013 Farolfi 2013 Aitelhaj 2013 Gomes 2014 Yu 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2010 PH Subtotal (I-squared = 43.0%, p = 0.039) M-H Subtotal (I-squared = 47.3%, p = 0.041)	$\begin{array}{l} 2.27 \ (0.79, 6.51) \\ 1.91 \ (1.12, 3.28) \\ 1.98 \ (1.00, 3.91) \\ 2.06 \ (0.94, 4.52) \\ 0.74 \ (0.35, 1.57) \\ 3.78 \ (1.44, 9.90) \\ 0.65 \ (0.36, 1.17) \\ 1.06 \ (0.42, 2.63) \\ 1.18 \ (0.56, 2.49) \\ 1.12 \ (0.59, 2.12) \\ 1.58 \ (0.92, 2.73) \\ 2.71 \ (0.14, 53.48) \\ 2.19 \ (0.75, 6.35) \\ 0.75 \ (0.43, 1.29) \\ 2.01 \ (0.56, 7.28) \\ 1.38 \ (1.06, 1.80) \\ 1.33 \ (1.10, 1.60) \\ \end{array}$	12/29 40/841 14/779 31/841 11/82 42/63 34/89 28/73 18/125 26/123 45/357 5/43 20/52 20/232 16/122 362/3851	9/38 21/826 21/2294 8/439 28/161 9/26 44/90 10/27 44/112 22/114 21/251 0/9 6/27 49/437 3/43 265/4894 8/439 28/161 44/90	4.60 9.90 7.94 6.76 7.09 5.21 9.07 5.60 7.14 8.52 9.78 0.75 4.51 9.76 3.38 100.0
Suter 2007 Fumoleau 2007 Perez 2008 Cardinale 2010 Serrano 2013 Aitelhaj 2013 Aitelhaj 2013 Lemieux 2013 Gormes 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2010 Dels Subtotal (I-squared = 43.0%, p = 0.039) M-H Subtotal Dels Subtotal (I-squared = 43.0%, p = 0.039) M-H Subtotal Dels Subtotal (I-squared = 43.0%, p = 0.041)	$\begin{array}{c} 1.91 \left(1.12, 3.28\right)\\ 1.98 \left(1.00, 3.91\right)\\ 2.06 \left(0.94, 4.52\right)\\ 0.74 \left(0.35, 1.57\right)\\ 3.78 \left(1.44, 9.90\right)\\ 0.65 \left(0.36, 1.17\right)\\ 1.06 \left(0.42, 2.63\right)\\ 1.18 \left(0.56, 2.49\right)\\ 1.12 \left(0.59, 2.12\right)\\ 1.58 \left(0.92, 2.73\right)\\ 2.71 \left(0.14, 53.48\right)\\ 2.19 \left(0.75, 6.35\right)\\ 0.75 \left(0.43, 1.29\right)\\ 2.01 \left(0.56, 7.28\right)\\ 1.38 \left(1.06, 1.80\right)\\ 1.33 \left(1.01, 1.60\right)\\ \end{array}$	40/841 14/779 31/841 11/82 42/63 34/89 28/73 18/125 26/123 45/357 5/43 20/232 16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	21/826 21/2294 8/439 228/161 9/26 44/90 10/27 14/112 22/114 21/251 0/9 6/27 49/437 3/43 265/4894 8/439 28/161 44/90	9.90 7.94 6.76 7.09 5.21 9.07 5.60 7.14 8.52 9.78 0.75 4.51 9.78 0.75 3.38 100.0
Fumoleau 2007 Perez 2008 Cardinale 2010 Serrano 2013 Farolfi 2013 Lemieux 2013 Gomes 2014 Yu 2015 DHL Subtotal (I-squared = 43.0%, p = 0.039) M-H Subtotal 2010 Farolfi 2013 Lemieux 2013 Serrano 2013 Aitelhaj 2013 Yu 2015 Cardinale 2015 Caram 2015 Car	$\begin{array}{l} 1.98 \left(1.00, 3.91\right)\\ 2.06 \left(0.94, 4.52\right)\\ 0.74 \left(0.35, 1.57\right)\\ 3.78 \left(1.44, 9.90\right)\\ 0.65 \left(0.36, 1.17\right)\\ 1.06 \left(0.42, 2.63\right)\\ 1.18 \left(0.56, 2.49\right)\\ 1.12 \left(0.59, 2.12\right)\\ 1.58 \left(0.92, 2.73\right)\\ 2.71 \left(0.14, 53.48\right)\\ 2.19 \left(0.75, 6.35\right)\\ 0.75 \left(0.43, 1.29\right)\\ 2.01 \left(0.56, 7.28\right)\\ 1.38 \left(1.06, 1.80\right)\\ 1.33 \left(1.10, 1.60\right)\\ \end{array}$	14/779 31/841 11/82 42/63 34/89 28/73 18/125 26/123 45/357 5/43 20/52 20/232 16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	21/2294 8/339 28/161 9/26 44/90 10/27 14/112 22/114 21/251 0/9 6/27 49/437 265/4894 8/439 28/161 44/90	7.94 6.76 7.09 5.21 9.07 5.60 7.14 8.52 9.78 0.75 4.51 9.76 3.38 100.0
Perez 2008 Cardinale 2010 Serrano 2013 Farolfi 2013 Aitelhaj 2013 Gomes 2014 Yu 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2010 Farolfi 2013 Lemieux 2013 Serrano 2013 Aitelhaj 2013 Yu 2015 Cardinale 2015	$\begin{array}{c} 2.06 \ (0.94, 4.52) \\ 0.74 \ (0.35, 1.57) \\ 3.78 \ (1.44, 9.90) \\ 0.65 \ (0.36, 1.17) \\ 1.06 \ (0.42, 2.63) \\ 1.18 \ (0.56, 2.49) \\ 1.12 \ (0.59, 2.12) \\ 1.58 \ (0.92, 2.73) \\ 2.71 \ (0.14, 53.48) \\ 2.19 \ (0.75, 6.35) \\ 0.75 \ (0.43, 1.29) \\ 2.01 \ (0.56, 7.28) \\ 1.33 \ (1.06, 1.80) \\ 1.33 \ (1.0, 1.60) \end{array}$	31/841 11/82 42/63 34/89 28/73 18/125 26/123 45/357 5/43 20/52 20/232 16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	8/439 28/161 9/26 44/90 10/27 22/114 21/251 0/9 6/27 49/437 3/43 265/4894 8/439 28/161 44/90	6.76 7.09 5.21 9.07 5.60 7.14 8.52 9.78 0.75 4.51 9.76 3.38 100.0
Cardinale 2010 Serrano 2013 Fararolfi 2013 Aitelhaj 2013 Aitelhaj 2013 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2010 Perez 2008 Cardinale 2010 Serrano 2013 Aitelhaj 2013 Serrano 2013 Ayres 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2013 Serrano 2013 Ayres 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardi	0.74 (0.35, 1.57) 3.78 (1.44, 9.90) 0.65 (0.36, 1.17) 1.06 (0.42, 2.63) 1.18 (0.56, 2.49) 1.12 (0.59, 2.12) 1.58 (0.92, 2.73) 2.71 (0.14, 53.48) 2.19 (0.75, 6.35) 0.75 (0.43, 1.29) 2.01 (0.56, 7.28) 1.38 (1.06, 1.80) 1.33 (1.10, 1.60) 2.23 (0.97, 5.16) 0.76 (0.25, 2.36) 0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	11/82 42/63 34/89 28/73 18/125 26/123 45/357 5/43 20/52 20/232 16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	28/161 9/26 44/90 10/27 14/112 22/114 21/251 0/9 6/27 49/437 3/43 265/4894 8/439 28/161	7.09 5.21 9.07 5.60 7.14 8.52 9.78 0.75 4.51 9.76 3.38 100.0
Serrano 2013 arrolfi 2013 Lemieux 2013 30mes 2014 (/u 2015 Jrun 2015 Jrun 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2010 arrolfi 2013 Lemieux 2013 Serrano 2013 Vielhaj 2013 (/u 2015 Cardinale 2010 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Caram	$\begin{array}{c} 3.78 \left(1.44, 9.90\right)\\ 0.65 \left(0.36, 1.17\right)\\ 1.06 \left(0.42, 2.63\right)\\ 1.18 \left(0.56, 2.49\right)\\ 1.12 \left(0.59, 2.12\right)\\ 1.58 \left(0.92, 2.73\right)\\ 2.71 \left(0.14, 53.48\right)\\ 2.19 \left(0.75, 6.35\right)\\ 0.75 \left(0.43, 1.29\right)\\ 2.01 \left(0.56, 7.28\right)\\ 1.38 \left(1.06, 1.80\right)\\ 1.33 \left(1.10, 1.60\right)\\ \end{array}$	42(63 34/89 28/73 18/125 26/123 45/357 5/43 20/52 20/232 16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	9/26 44/90 10/27 14/112 22/114 21/251 0/9 6/27 49/437 3/43 265/4894 8/439 28/161 44/90	5.21 9.07 5.60 7.14 8.52 9.78 0.75 4.51 9.76 3.38 100.0
Farolfi 2013 Mitelhaj 2013 Somes 2014 Yur 2015 Surdinale 2015 Caram 2015 Other Surdinale 2015 Caram 2015 Desity versus normal weight Perez 2008 Cardinale 2010 arolfi 2013 June 2015 Sarandi 2013 Arolfi 2013 Arolfi 2013 Arolfi 2013 Arolfi 2015 Caram 2015	0.65 (0.36, 1.17) 1.06 (0.42, 2.63) 1.18 (0.56, 2.49) 1.12 (0.59, 2.12) 1.58 (0.92, 2.73) 2.71 (0.14, 53.48) 2.19 (0.75, 6.35) 0.75 (0.43, 1.29) 2.01 (0.56, 7.28) 1.38 (1.06, 1.80) 1.33 (1.10, 1.60) 2.23 (0.97, 5.16) 0.76 (0.25, 2.36) 0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	34/89 28/73 18/125 26/123 45/357 5/43 20/52 20/232 16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	44/90 10/27 14/112 22/114 21/251 0/9 6/27 49/437 3/43 265/4894 8/439 28/161 44/90	9.07 5.60 7.14 8.52 9.78 0.75 4.51 9.76 3.38 100.
Aitelhaj 2013 emieux 2013 Sorranz 2014 /u 2015 Dardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2010 Terrez 2008 Cardinale 2010 Terrez 2013 Serrano 2013 Aitelhaj 2013 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Card	$\begin{array}{c} 1.06 \left(0.42, 2.63 \right) \\ 1.18 \left(0.56, 2.49 \right) \\ 1.12 \left(0.59, 2.12 \right) \\ 1.58 \left(0.92, 2.73 \right) \\ 2.71 \left(0.14, 53.48 \right) \\ 2.19 \left(0.75, 6.35 \right) \\ 0.75 \left(0.43, 1.29 \right) \\ 2.01 \left(0.56, 7.28 \right) \\ 1.38 \left(1.06, 1.80 \right) \\ 1.33 \left(1.10, 1.60 \right) \\ \end{array}$	28/73 18/125 26/123 45/357 5/43 20/52 20/232 16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	10/27 14/112 22/114 21/251 0/9 6/27 49/437 3/43 265/4894 8/439 28/161 44/90	5.60 7.14 8.52 9.78 0.75 4.51 9.76 3.38 100.
Amingue 2013 Somes 2014 (/u 2015 Jun 2015 Ayres 2015 Caram 2015 Desity versus normal weight Perez 2008 Cardinale 2010 aranofi 2013 serrano 2013 Vielhaj 2013 Ayres 2015 Caram	1.18 (0.56, 2.49) 1.12 (0.59, 2.12) 1.58 (0.92, 2.73) 2.71 (0.14, 53.48) 2.19 (0.75, 6.35) 0.75 (0.43, 1.29) 2.01 (0.56, 7.28) 1.38 (1.06, 1.80) 1.33 (1.10, 1.60) 2.23 (0.97, 5.16) 0.76 (0.25, 2.36) 0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	18/125 26/123 45/357 5/43 20/52 20/232 16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	14/112 22/114 21/251 0/9 6/27 49/437 3/43 265/4894 8/439 28/161 44/90	7.14 8.52 9.78 0.75 4.51 9.76 3.38 100.
Somes 2014 /u 2015 Juru 2015 Juru 2015 Juru 2015 Caram 2015 Caram 2015 	1.12 (0.59, 2.12) 1.58 (0.92, 2.73) 2.71 (0.14, 53.48) 2.19 (0.75, 6.35) 0.75 (0.43, 1.29) 2.01 (0.56, 7.28) 1.38 (1.06, 1.80) 1.33 (1.10, 1.60) 2.23 (0.97, 5.16) 0.76 (0.25, 2.36) 0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	26/123 45/357 5/43 20/52 20/232 16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	22/114 21/251 0/9 6/27 49/437 3/43 265/4894 8/439 28/161 44/90	8.52 9.78 0.75 4.51 9.76 3.38 100.
Gui 2015 Junu 2015 Juru 2015 Jardinale 2015 Caram 2015 Jardinale 2015 Jardinale 2015 Jardinale 2016 Jardinale 2010 Fardinale 2010 Fardinale 2010 Sardinale 2010 Sardinale 2010 Sardinale 2013 Vuesto Vuesto Juru 2015	1.58 (0.52, 2.73) 2.71 (0.14, 53.48) 2.19 (0.75, 6.35) 0.75 (0.43, 1.29) 2.01 (0.56, 7.28) 1.38 (1.06, 1.80) 1.33 (1.10, 1.60) 2.23 (0.97, 5.16) 0.76 (0.25, 2.36) 0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	45/357 5/43 20/52 20/232 16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	21/251 0/9 6/27 49/437 3/43 265/4894 8/439 28/161 44/90	9.78 0.75 4.51 9.76 3.38 100. 11.8 8.73
10 2013 10 2013 10 2015 2ardinale 2015 2aram 2015 3 0+L Subtotal 11 Subtotal 12 2015 2016 3 2017 3 2018 44 2019 44 2010 44 2010 44 2010 44 2010 44 2010 44 2010 44 2013 44 2013 44 2015 44 2015 44 2015 44 2015 44 2015 44 2015 44 2015 44 2015 44 2015 44 2015 44 2015 44 2015 44 2015 44 2015 44 2015 44 2	2.71 (0.14, 53.48) 2.19 (0.75, 6.35) 0.75 (0.43, 1.29) 2.01 (0.56, 7.28) 1.38 (1.06, 1.80) 1.33 (1.10, 1.60) 2.23 (0.97, 5.16) 0.76 (0.25, 2.36) 0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	40/53/ 5/43 20/52 20/232 16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	8/439 28/161 44/90	9.76 0.75 4.51 9.76 3.38 100. 11.8 8.73
Julin 2013 Junin 2013 Cardinale 2015 Jardinale 2015 Jardinale 2016 Julin 2018 Perez 2008 Jardinale 2010 Jardinale 2010 Jardinale 2013 Julin 2013 Julin 2013 Julin 2013 Julin 2013 Julin 2015 Jardinale 2015 Jardinale 2015 Jardinale 2015 Jardinale 2015 Julin 2015	2.7 (0, 14, 53.46) 2.19 (0, 75, 6.35) 0.75 (0,43, 1.29) 2.01 (0,56, 7.28) 1.38 (1.06, 1.80) 1.33 (1.10, 1.60) 2.23 (0,97, 5.16) 0.76 (0,25, 2.36) 0.60 (0,23, 1.56) 0.50 (0,14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	20/232 20/232 16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	6/27 49/437 3/43 265/4894 8/439 28/161 44/90	0.75 4.51 9.76 3.38 100. 11.8 8.73
Arriancial 2015 Cararm 2015 Cararm 2015 Cararm 2015 Desity versus normal weight Perez 2008 Cardinale 2010 Cardinale 2010 Cararm 2013 Vu 2015 Cararm 201	2.19 (0.75, 0.33) 0.75 (0.43, 1.29) 2.01 (0.56, 7.28) 1.38 (1.06, 1.80) 1.33 (1.10, 1.60) 2.23 (0.97, 5.16) 0.76 (0.25, 2.36) 0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	20/52 20/232 16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	8/439 28/161 44/90	4.51 9.76 3.38 100. 11.8 8.73
ararm 2015 Det. Subtotal (I-squared = 43.0%, p = 0.039) AH Subtotal Desity versus normal weight Perez 2008 Cardinale 2010 arolf 12013 .emieux 2013 Sidental 2010 Yues 2015 Cardinale 2015 Jyres 2015 Jaram 2015 Jyres 2015	0.75 (0.43, 1.29) 2.01 (0.56, 7.28) 1.38 (1.06, 1.80) 1.33 (1.10, 1.60) 2.23 (0.97, 5.16) 0.76 (0.25, 2.36) 0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	20/232 16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	49/437 3/43 265/4894 8/439 28/161 44/90	9.76 3.38 100. 11.8 8.73
Jaram 2015 J-L Subtotal (I-squared = 43.0%, p = 0.039) J-L Subtotal (I-squared = 43.0%, p = 0.039) J-L Subtotal (J-squared = 43.0%, p = 0.039) J-H Subtotal (J-squared = 47.3%, p = 0.041)	2.01 (0.56, 7.28) 1.38 (1.06, 1.80) 1.33 (1.10, 1.60) 2.23 (0.97, 5.16) 0.76 (0.25, 2.36) 0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	16/122 362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	3/43 265/4894 8/439 28/161 44/90	3.38 100. 11.8 8.73
9-H. Subtotal (I-squared = 43.0%, p = 0.039) A-H Subtotal Desity versus normal weight Perez 2008 ardinale 2010 Farolitic 2013 kernian 2013 kutelhaj 2013 Vu 2015 Cardinale 2015 Cardinale 2015 Jrun 2015 Jrun 2015 Jul Subtotal (I-squared = 47.3%, p = 0.041)	1.38 (1.06, 1.80) 1.33 (1.10, 1.60) 2.23 (0.97, 5.16) 0.76 (0.25, 2.36) 0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	362/3851 19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	265/4894 8/439 28/161 44/90	100. 11.8 8.73
AH Subtotal Desity versus normal weight Perez 2008 Cardinale 2010 aranolfi 2013 .emieux 2013 Serrano 2013 Viuelhaj 2013 Viuelhaj 2015 Jardinale 2015 Jyrun 2015 Jaram 2015 Jyrun	1.33 (1.10, 1.60) 2.23 (0.97, 5.16) 0.76 (0.25, 2.36) 0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	8/439 28/161 44/90	11.8 8.73
besity versus normal weight verez 2008 cardinale 2010 aranolfi 2013 emieux 2013 vi 2015 cardinale 2015	2.23 (0.97, 5.16) 0.76 (0.25, 2.36) 0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	8/439 28/161 44/90	11.8 8.73
Perez 2008 Cardinale 2010 aranolfi 2013 .emieux 2013 Serrano 2013 (u 2015 Cardinale 2015 Sardinale 2015 Juna 2015 Juna 2015 Juna 2015	2.23 (0.97, 5.16) 0.76 (0.25, 2.36) 0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	19/477 4/29 8/22 3/45	8/439 28/161 44/90	11.8 8.73
Cardinale 2010 cardinale 2010 cardinale 2013 viewleux 2013 viewleux 2013 viewleux 2013 cardinale 2015 c	0.76 (0.25, 2.36) 0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	4/29 8/22 3/45	28/161 44/90	8.73
arolfi 2013 emieux 2013 serrano 2013 tidehaj 2015 cardinale 2015 cardinale 2015 Jurun 2015 Jurun 2015 Jurun 2015 Jurun 2015	0.60 (0.23, 1.56) 0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	8/22 3/45	44/90	10.4
emieux 2013 Serrano 2013 Viuelhaj 2013 Viu 2015 Sardinale 2015 Vigres 2015 Jaram 2015 Jaram 2015 Jaram 2015 Jaram 2015	0.50 (0.14, 1.83) 7.56 (2.12, 26.91)	3/45		10.4
Serrano 2013 Vitelhaj 2013 (u 2015 zardinale 2015 Vyres 2015 Jrun 2015 Jrun 2015 Jrun 2015 Jrun 2015	7.56 (2.12, 26.91)		14/112	7.37
Vitelhaj 2013 (u 2015 Cardinale 2015 Surves 2015 Caram 2015 Jrun 2015 H Subhala (I.souared = 47.3%, p.= 0.041)		20/25	9/26	7.58
fu 2015 Cardinale 2015 Syres 2015 Cararan 2015 Jrun 2015 Jrun 2015 July Subtral (Isonuared = 47.3%, n = 0.041)	1.43 (0.51, 3.99)	16/35	10/27	9.73
Aurona 2015 Ayres 2015 Caram 2015 Jrun 2015 Jrun 2015	2 19 (1 18 4 07)	25/150	21/251	14 7
Verse 2015 Caram 2015 Jrun 2015 J. Subtotal (I.souvered = 47.3%, p = 0.041)	0.91 (0.43, 1.94)	9/87	49/437	12.0
Viete 2015 Caram 2015 Jul Sublicital (Lisculared = 47.3%, p.= 0.041)	2 10 (0.62 7 16)	0/24	45/457	7.01
Jurun 2015 DH Subtotal (I-squared = 47.3% p. = 0.041)	2.10 (0.02, 7.10)	5/24	2/42	6.00
Jrun 2015	1.67 (0.43, 6.51)	9/81	3/43	6.92
)+L Subtotal (I-squared = 47.3% n = 0.041)	5.90 (0.28, 122.55)	4/18	0/9	1.90
AH Subtotal	1.47 (0.95, 2.28) 1.48 (1.12, 1.97)	126/993	192/1622	100.
······································				
Overweight versus normal weight	1 84 (0 74 4 54)	12/364	8/439	9.34
Cardinale 2010	0.72 (0.30, 1.77)	7/53	28/161	9.54
	0.72 (0.30, 1.77)	12/29	10/27	7.00
	0.10 (0.20, 2.22)	12/30	0/26	7.00
	2.00 (0.92, 7.30)	22/30	9/20	1.65
	0.66 (0.35, 1.26)	20/67	44/90	14.6
emieux 2013	1.62 (0.73, 3.57)	15/80	14/112	11.2
Jrun 2015	1.16 (0.04, 31.14)	1/25	0/9	0.94
Ayres 2015	2.26 (0.69, 7.39)	11/28	6/27	6.16
′u 2015	1.17 (0.62, 2.23)	20/207	21/251	14.6
Caram 2015	2.75 (0.66, 11.44)	7/41	3/43	4.48
Cardinale 2015	0.65 (0.33, 1.29)	11/145	49/437	13.6
0+L Subtotal (I-squared = 27.3%, p = 0.184)	1.15 (0.83, 1.58)	144/1086	192/1622	100.
/I-H Subtotal	1.09 (0.84, 1.41)			
IOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis				

FIGURE 2

itudy		Events,	Events,	Weigl
)	OR (95% CI)	Treatment	Control	(D+L)
Inthracyclines				
Sonneterre 2004	2.27 (0.79, 6.51)	12/29	9/38	4.60
umoleau 2007	1.98 (1.00, 3.91)	14/779	21/2294	7.94
Serrano 2013	3.78 (1.44, 9.90)	42/63	9/26	5.21
Cardinale 2015	0.75 (0.43, 1.29)	20/232	49/437	9.76
0+L Subtotal (I-squared = 72.7%, p = 0.012)	1.76 (0.84, 3.70)	88/1103	88/2795	27.50
/I-H Subtotal	1.40 (0.98, 1.99)			
nthracyclines + Trastuzumab				
Suter 2007	1.91 (1.12, 3.28)	40/841	21/826	9.90
Perez 2008	2.06 (0.94, 4.52)	31/841	8/439	6.76
Cardinale 2010	0.74 (0.35, 1.57)	11/82	28/161	7.09
emieux 2013	1.18 (0.56, 2.49)	18/125	14/112	7.14
arolfi 2013	0.65 (0.36, 1.17)	34/89	44/90	9.07
vitelhaj 2013	1.06 (0.42, 2.63)	28/73	10/27	5.60
Gomes 2014	1.12 (0.59, 2.12)	26/123	22/114	8.52
Jrun 2015	> 2.71 (0.14, 53.48)	5/43	0/9	0.75
vyres 2015	2.19 (0.75, 6.35)	20/52	6/27	4.51
'u 2015	1.58 (0.92, 2.73)	45/357	21/251	9.78
Caram 2015	2.01 (0.56, 7.28)	16/122	3/43	3.38
0+L Subtotal (I-squared = 25.2%, p = 0.204)	1.29 (0.99, 1.68)	274/2748	177/2099	72.5
/I-H Subtotal	1.30 (1.05, 1.62)			
)+L Overall (I-squared = 43.0%, p = 0.039)	1.38 (1.06, 1.80)	362/3851	265/4894	100.0
/I-H Overall	1.33 (1.10, 1.60)			
IOTE: Weights are from random effects analysis				

FIGURE 3



FIGURE 4 ONLINE ONLY