

Heterogeneous distribution of sex ratio distorters in natural populations of the isopod Armadillidium vulgare.

Sylvine Durand, Baptiste Lheraud, Isabelle Giraud, Nicolas Bech, Frédéric Grandjean, Thierry Rigaud, Jean Peccoud, Richard Cordaux

▶ To cite this version:

Sylvine Durand, Baptiste Lheraud, Isabelle Giraud, Nicolas Bech, Frédéric Grandjean, et al.. Heterogeneous distribution of sex ratio distorters in natural populations of the isopod Armadillidium vulgare.. Biology Letters, 2023, 19 (1), pp.20220457. 10.1098/rsbl.2022.0457. hal-03959969

HAL Id: hal-03959969 https://u-bourgogne.hal.science/hal-03959969

Submitted on 25 Apr 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Heterogeneous distribution of sex ratio distorters in natural populations of

the isopod *Armadillidium vulgare*

Sylvine Durand¹, Baptiste Lheraud¹, Isabelle Giraud¹, Nicolas Bech¹, Frédéric Grandjean¹, Thierry Rigaud², Jean Peccoud¹ and Richard Cordaux¹ ¹ Laboratoire Ecologie et Biologie des Interactions, Equipe Ecologie Evolution Symbiose, Université de Poitiers, UMR CNRS 7267, Bât. B31, 3 rue Jacques Fort, TSA 51106, 86073 Poitiers Cedex 9, France ² Laboratoire Biogéosciences, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, UMR CNRS 6282, 6 boulevard Gabriel, 21000 Dijon, France * Corresponding author: richard.cordaux@univ-poitiers.fr

Abstract

In the isopod *Armadillidium vulgare*, many females produce progenies with female-biased sex ratios, due to two feminizing sex ratio distorters (SRD): *Wolbachia* endosymbionts and the *f* element. We investigated the distribution and population dynamics of these SRD and mitochondrial DNA variation in 16 populations from Europe and Japan. Confirming and extending results from the 1990's, we found that the SRD are present at variable frequencies in populations, and that the *f* element is overall more frequent than *Wolbachia*. The two SRD never co-occur at high frequency in any population, suggesting an apparent mutual exclusion. We also detected *Wolbachia* or the *f* element in some males, which likely reflects insufficient titer to induce feminization or presence of masculinizing alleles. Our results are consistent with a single integration event of a *Wolbachia* genome in the *A. vulgare* genome at the origin of the *f* element, which contradicts an earlier hypothesis of frequent losses and gains. We identified strong linkage between *Wolbachia* strains and mitochondrial haplotypes, but no association between the *f* element and mitochondrial background. Our results open new perspectives on SRD evolutionary dynamics in *A. vulgare*, the evolution of genetic conflicts and their impact on the variability of sex determination systems.

Keywords

Sex ratio distorter, endosymbiont, *Wolbachia*, *f* element, sex determination

1. Introduction

38	

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

37

Sex ratio distorters (SRD) are selfish genetic elements located on sex chromosomes or transmitted by a single sex, which skew the proportion of males and females in progenies towards the sex that enhances their own vertical transmission [1]. Major SRD types include sex chromosome meiotic drivers [2,3], some B chromosomes [4] and selfish cytoplasmic genetic entities [5,6,7]. Collectively, they are found in a wide range of animal and plant species and they have had a tremendous impact on the ecology and evolution of their host species [8,9]. One of the most emblematic SRD is the bacterial endosymbiont Wolbachia [10,11]. Wolbachia is a cytoplasmic, maternally inherited alphaproteobacterium found in a wide range of arthropods and nematodes. In arthropods, Wolbachia often manipulates host reproduction in favor of infected females, thereby conferring itself a transmission advantage. This is achieved through various strategies, three of which causing sex ratio distortions towards females: male killing, thelytokous parthenogenesis and feminization of genetic males [6,7,10,11]. In the terrestrial isopod Armadillidium vulgare, chromosomal sex determination follows female heterogamety (ZZ males and ZW females) [12-14]. However, many females produce progenies with female-biased sex ratios, due to the presence of two feminizing SRD: Wolbachia endosymbionts and a locus called the f element [6,15,16]. Wolbachia symbionts cause ZZ genetic males to develop as phenotypic females [17]. Three Wolbachia strains have been described in A. vulgare, for which feminization induction has been demonstrated (wVulC and wVulM strains [18,19]) or is strongly suspected (wVuIP strain [20]). The f element is a nuclear insert of a large portion of a feminizing Wolbachia genome in the A. vulgare genome [21]. The f element induces female development, as a W chromosome does, and it shows non-Mendelian inheritance, making it an SRD [21,22]. These SRD may cause turnovers in sex determination mechanisms [6,15,23] and they could explain why sex chromosome systems are so variable in terrestrial isopods [24–27]. Testing this hypothesis requires characterizing the evolutionary dynamics of SRD such as Wolbachia and the f element in natural populations. In A. vulgare, this characterization is quite limited because prior studies were mostly restricted to a narrow geographic area (western France), sometimes focusing solely on Wolbachia [20,28-31]. The only exception is a 1993 study [32], which collated and extended results from the early 1980's [33,34]. The main observations were that Wolbachia and the f element are present at variable frequencies in field populations, and the f element is more frequent than Wolbachia. However, earlier studies were limited by the lack of molecular tests for Wolbachia and/or the f element, preventing any direct assessment of SRD presence. Instead, the authors used a

complex, indirect procedure combining a physiological test and crossings [32]. In addition to being tedious and time-consuming (generation time is one year in this species), this procedure did not allow direct and undisputable assessment of SRD presence. Moreover, it could only be run on females and therefore provided no information on SRD presence in males. Finally, it could not reveal individuals potentially carrying both SRD.

Here, we took advantage of the availability of molecular markers to directly assess SRD presence in males and females from A. vulgare field populations from Europe and Japan. This approach allowed us to circumvent the limitations of previous studies, and to revisit the population dynamics of Wolbachia and the f element in this species and their association mitochondrial lineages.

79

80

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

2. Materials and Methods

81

82

83

84

85

86 87

88 89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

647 A. vulgare individuals from 16 natural populations across Europe and Japan were collected by hand. Individuals were sexed and stored in alcohol or at -20°C prior to DNA extraction. Total genomic DNA was extracted from the head and legs of each individual, as described previously [21]. We used four molecular markers to assess the presence of Wolbachia and the f element in DNA extracts: Jtel [21], wsp [35], recR [36] and ftsZ [37] (Table S1). While Jtel is specific to the f element, wsp and recR are specific to Wolbachia, and ftsZ is present in both the f element and Wolbachia [21]. We assessed the presence or absence of these markers by PCR, as described previously [21]. Different amplification patterns were expected for individuals with Wolbachia only (Jtel-, wsp+, recR+, ftsZ+), the f element only (Jtel+, wsp-, recR-, ftsZ+), both Wolbachia and the f element present (Jtel+, wsp+, recR+, ftsZ+) or both Wolbachia and the f element lacking (Jtel-, wsp-, recR-, ftsZ-). The few individuals exhibiting other amplification patterns were classified as "undetermined status". A quantitative-PCR assay was used to measure Wolbachia titer in some individuals (see supplementary Methods). To characterize Wolbachia strain diversity, wsp PCR products were purified and Sanger sequenced using both forward and reverse primers by GenoScreen (Lille, France). Forward and reverse reads were assembled using Geneious® v.7.1.9 to obtain one consensus sequence per individual. To evaluate mitochondrial diversity, we amplified by PCR a ~700 bp-long portion of the Cytochrome Oxidase I (COI) gene in all individuals [38]. PCR products were purified and Sanger sequenced as described above. Haplotype network analysis was performed using the pegas package [39]. All statistical analyses were performed with R v.3.6.0 [40]. Figures were realized with ggplot2 [41].

1	റാ	
1	UΖ	

3. Results

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132133

103

We tested the presence of Wolbachia and the f element in 423 females and 224 males from 16 populations across Europe and Japan (Tables 1, S2). While most males lacked both SRD, 48% of females carried at least one of them. The remaining females presumably carry W chromosomes, although the existence of other feminizing elements cannot be formally excluded. As expected for feminizing elements, the SRD were mostly found in females, the f element being more frequent than Wolbachia overall. Both SRD were found in the same individuals in only 3 females from a single population (Chizé). Wolbachia-infected individuals carried one of the three previously known Wolbachia strains of A. vulgare: wVulC (n=62), wVulM (n=23) or wVulP (n=4). Wolbachia and f element distribution in females was highly heterogenous among populations (Figure 1a). These SRD were found in 10 and 11 out of 16 populations, but they reached frequencies >10% in only 6 and 7 populations, respectively. The two SRD coexisted in 8 populations. A generalized linear model predicting the frequency of the f element as a binomial response by the proportion of individuals carrying Wolbachia (each statistical unit being a population) showed that the prevalence of the two SRD was significantly negatively correlated (9.8% of deviance explained, Chi-squared test, $p < 7.9 \times 10^{-8}$, 14 df) (Figure 1b). Hence, in Floirac, Poitiers, Saint Julien l'Ars and Pisa populations, Wolbachia was frequent (23-94% frequency in females) and the f element was rare (0-8%). By contrast, the f element was frequent (35-96%) and Wolbachia was rare (0-11%) in Prague, Beauvoir, Chizé, Coulombiers and La Crèche populations. In the other populations, both SRD were found at low to moderate frequency (0-19%), including 3 populations devoid of both SRD (Lastovo, Hyogo and Bucharest). Males carrying *Wolbachia* or the *f* element were found in 2 and 4 out of 16 populations, respectively. In all cases, these males occurred in populations in which the corresponding SRD were the most prevalent ones in females: Beauvoir, Chizé, Coulombiers and La Crèche for the f element, and Floirac and Saint Julien l'Ars for Wolbachia. Overall, these males had much lower Wolbachia titer than females from their respective populations (Figure S1, Table S3). The 642 individuals sequenced at the COI gene presented a total of 92 segregating sites defining 23 haplotypes (named I to XXIII; GenBank accession numbers in Table S4), with 1 to 7 haplotypes per population (Table S2, Figure 2). The most frequent and widespread haplotype (I) was found in 188 individuals from 10 populations. The second most frequent and widespread haplotype (V) was found

in 106 individuals from 7 populations. We found 21 out of the 23 haplotypes among individuals lacking both *Wolbachia* and the *f* element (Table 2, Figure 2). Among individuals carrying the *f* element, 6 haplotypes were found, all but one (I, II, III, V and VI) being shared with individuals lacking both *Wolbachia* and the *f* element, and one (IV) being carried by a single individual in the entire dataset. Among *Wolbachia*-infected individuals, all those carrying *w*VulC were associated with either haplotype V or its close relatives (XI and XII). All individuals carrying *w*VulM were associated with haplotype II and those carrying *w*VulP with haplotype VII. Of the 5 haplotypes found in *Wolbachia*-infected individuals, 4 were shared with individuals lacking both *Wolbachia* and the *f* element (II, V, VII and XII), 2 of which were also shared with individuals carrying the *f* element (II and V), and one (XI) was present in a single individual in the entire dataset.

144

145

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

4. Discussion

146

147148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156157

158

159

160

161

162

163164

165

Our results provide direct evidence that the f element is overall more frequent than Wolbachia in the sampled A. vulgare populations. We detected the f element in 11 A. vulgare natural populations from 4 European countries (Czech Republic, France, Germany and The Netherlands) and Japan. Together with its previous detection in Denmark [21], our results indicate that the f element has spread to a wide geographical range. The relative frequencies of the f element and Wolbachia are highly variable among populations and, in general, when one SRD is frequent, the other SRD is rare. Overall, these results are consistent with earlier results from the 1990's [32], although no molecular assay allowing direct testing was available at that time and SRD presence or absence was inferred indirectly. As the *Jtel* marker is located across the site of integration of the *f* element in the *A. vulgare* chromosome [21], f element presence in various populations can be explained by a single event of integration of a Wolbachia genome in the A. vulgare genome. A less parsimonious scenario would require independent insertions at the same chromosomal site, which is highly unlikely. The former scenario contradicts an earlier hypothesis on the evolutionary dynamics of the f element, which suggested that the f element was unstably integrated in the A. vulgare genome, experiencing frequent loss from oocytes and recurrent gain from Wolbachia endosymbionts [22,23,42-44]. Under this scenario, multiple independent f-like elements would be expected to segregate at low frequencies in populations, they should be integrated in different genomic locations and they should all be able to induce feminization [16]. While our results do not formally invalidate the possibility of additional f-like integrations in A. vulgare populations, which the Jtel marker would not detect, it

of wild-caught females lacking both SRD may offer further insight into this issue. 167 168 Using molecular assays allowed us to circumvent two limitations of the previously used physiological 169 test: the impossibility to detect Wolbachia and the f element in males, and the impossibility to detect 170 individuals carrying both SRD. Regarding Wolbachia presence in males, the historic protocol was only 171 applicable to females per design [29,30,32] and subsequent PCR screens for Wolbachia infection 172 have mostly focused on testing females [20,30,31]. In fact, males have seldom been tested and found 173 to carry Wolbachia [45]. Here, we detected Wolbachia in 7 males from 2 populations (Floirac and 174 Saint Julien l'Ars), carrying either wVulC or wVulM strains. The failure of feminization by Wolbachia 175 most certainly reflects insufficient bacterial titer to induce feminization (Figure S1). These field 176 observations hence support the view that titer is an important factor for successful feminization, as 177 low titer is linked to incomplete feminization and intersexual phenotypes [42,46]. 178 We also detected the presence of the f element in 11 males from 4 populations. Historically, the 179 presence of the f element in males has been indirectly inferred from crossings and the resulting sex-180 ratios biases of progenies [22,43,47]. Our results constitute the first direct evidence for the presence 181 of the f element in A. vulgare males. In all 4 populations in which f-carrying males were found, the f 182 element was also frequent in females. Altogether, these observations suggest that the 11 males carrying the f element also carry the masculinizing dominant M allele [16,43,47]. Indeed, the M allele 183 184 is able to restore a male phenotype in individuals carrying the f element [16,43,47]. Because of sex 185 ratio selection, the M allele is thought to have been selected to restore males in response to female-186 biased sex ratios caused by the f element [47]. Thus, the M allele is expected to rise in frequency 187 when the f element is frequent in a population [47], which is consistent with our observations. 188 Unfortunately, no molecular marker of the M allele is currently available, which prevents any direct 189 assessment of its actual presence in these populations. Thus, we cannot exclude that males carrying 190 the f element simply carry non-feminizing variants of this SRD. 191 Our results show that Wolbachia and the f element never co-occur at high frequency in any 192 population. This apparent mutual exclusion can be explained considering that co-occurrence of 193 multiple feminizing factors in a population should favor the most transmitted one [16,48]. Hence, 194 Wolbachia is expected to lead to the loss of nuclear feminizing elements in A. vulgare populations. 195 This situation does not result from an interference between chromosomes and Wolbachia within individuals, but from counter selection of nuclear feminizing alleles in a population that becomes 196 197 increasingly biased towards females. Hence, the rise of Wolbachia would associate with the decline

does not appear to be the most parsimonious hypothesis. Examination of sex ratios from progenies

198 of the f element in a population. Why, under these circumstances, Wolbachia has not invaded all A. 199 vulgare populations is still unclear and may reflect fitness effects or possible resistance genes. 200 As a result, only very few individuals were found to carry both *Wolbachia* and the *f* element. They 201 represent only 3 females, all from the Chizé population (Figure 1a). These were likely born from 202 mothers carrying Wolbachia and fathers carrying the f element, which are frequent at Chizé. The 203 apparent absence of carriers of both SRD in other populations where these SRD are present could 204 simply be explained by the paucity of males carrying the *f* element. 205 Mapping SRD distribution onto mitochondrial genealogy showed excellent congruence between 206 Wolbachia strains and mitochondrial haplotypes (wVulC-V, wVulM-II and wVulP-VII). Such strong 207 association has previously been noted in A. vulgare-Wolbachia interactions at a smaller geographic scale [30,31] and, more generally, in many arthropod-Wolbachia interactions [49]. This result 208 209 corroborates the rarity of non-maternal transmission of Wolbachia in A. vulgare. By contrast, the f 210 element was found in 6 different mitochondrial backgrounds (I-VI) scattered across the 211 mitochondrial phylogeny, indicating no particular association between the f element and 212 mitochondria. This result confirms and extends earlier data focused on western France and in which f213 element presence in females was indirectly inferred based on sex ratios of their progenies [30]. This 214 observation can be explained by the occasional paternal transmission of the f element, which breaks 215 its association with mitochondrial background [16,22,30].

Data accessibility All data are provided in the electronic supplementary material. Acknowledgments We thank Drs. Nicolas Cerveau, Rémi Elliautout, Misel Jelic, Shigenori Karazawa, Giuseppe Montesanto and Eveline Verhulst for providing samples. **Funding statement** This work was funded by Agence Nationale de la Recherche Grants ANR-15-CE32-0006 (CytoSexDet) to RC and TR and ANR-20-CE02-0004 (SymChroSex) to JP, and intramural funds from the CNRS and the University of Poitiers.

Figure legends 234 235 Figure 1. (A) Prevalence of Wolbachia and the f element in males (m) and females (f) from 16 236 237 Armadillidium vulgare populations. (B) Relative proportions of Wolbachia and the f element in 16 A. 238 vulgare populations (represented by open circles). 239 240 Figure 2. Haplotype network of 23 mitochondrial variants (I-XXIII) from 16 Armadillidium vulgare 241 populations. Each circle represents one haplotype and circle diameter is proportional to the number 242 of individuals carrying the haplotype. Branch lengths connecting circles are proportional to 243 divergence between haplotypes. Sex ratio distorter frequencies are color-coded for each haplotype. 244

Table 1. Prevalence of Wolbachia and f element sex ratio distorters in 16 populations of Armadillidium vulgare.

Population	Sampling	Sample	Sex	Number of	No f element,	Only <i>f</i>	Only <i>Wolbachia</i>				Both wVulM	Undetermined
(Country)	year	size	sex	individuals	no <i>Wolbachia</i>	element	wVulC	<i>w</i> VulM	<i>w</i> VulP	Undetermined	and f element	status
Lastovo	2017	54	Males	30	30							
(Croatia)			Females	24	24							
Prague	2018	36	Males	9	9							
(Czech Republic)			Females	27	1	26						
Beauvoir	2017	31	Males	6	5	1						
(France)			Females	25	9	14		1				1
Chizé	2017	52	Males	8	2	6						
(France)			Females	44	3	36		2			3	
Coulombiers	2017	24	Males	4	2	2						
(France)			Females	20	6	13	1					
Floirac	2016	114	Males	38	34		2					2
(France)			Females	76	21	6	40	9				
Gript	2017	45	Males	15	15							
(France)			Females	30	26	2	2					
La Crèche	2017	58	Males	21	19	2						
(France)			Females	37	23	13	1					
Poitiers	2015	23	Males	4	4							
(France)			Females	19	10	1			4	4		
Saint Julien l'Ars	2016	31	Males	14	9		1	3		1		
(France)			Females	17	1		12	3				1
Göttingen	2017	24	Males	7	3							4
(Germany)			Females	17	11	3		2				1
Pisa	2017	28	Males	15	15							
(Italy)			Females	13	10		3					
Hyogo	2018	50	Males	21	18							3

(Japan)			Females	29	26							3	
Tottori	2018	49	Males	21	21								
(Japan)			Females	28	26	2							
Bucharest	2017	17	Males	9	9								
(Romania)			Females	8	8								
Wageningen	2018	11	Males	2	2								
(The Netherlands	s)		Females	9	7	1						1	
Total males				224	197	11	3	3		1		9	
Total females				423	212	117	59	17	4	4	3	7	
Total				647	409	128	62	20	4	5	3	16	

Table 2. Distribution of mitochondrial haplotypes in 642 Armadillidium vulgare individuals from 16 populations.

	Number of	Haplotype	
Sex ratio distorter status	individuals	number	Haplotype list
No f element, no Wolbachia	404	21	I, II, III, V, VI, VII, VIII, IX, X, XII, XII
f element only	128	6	I, II, III, IV, V, VI
Wolbachia (wVulC strain) only	62	3	V, XI, XII
Wolbachia (wVulM strain) only	20	1	
Wolbachia (wVulP strain) only	4	1	VII
Wolbachia (undetermined strain) only	5	2	II, VII
Both wVulM and f element	3	1	
Undetermined status	16	4	I, V, VI, XIX

253 References

- 1. Beukeboom LW, Perrin N. 2014 *The evolution of sex determination*. Oxford: Oxford University
- 256 Press.
- 2. Jaenike J. 2001 Sex chromosome meiotic drive. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **32**, 25–49.
- 258 (doi:10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.32.081501.113958)
- 3. Helleu Q, Gérard PR, Montchamp-Moreau C. 2014 Sex chromosome drive. *Cold Spring Harb.*
- 260 *Perspect. Biol.* **7**, a017616. (doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a017616)
- 4. Camacho JPM, Schmid M, Cabrero J. 2011 B chromosomes and sex in animals. Sex. Dev. Genet.
- Mol. Biol. Evol. Endocrinol. Embryol. Pathol. Sex Determ. Differ. **5**, 155–166.
- 263 (doi:10.1159/000324930)
- 5. Chase CD. 2007 Cytoplasmic male sterility: a window to the world of plant mitochondrial-nuclear
- 265 interactions. *Trends Genet.* **23**, 81–90. (doi:10.1016/j.tig.2006.12.004)
- 266 6. Cordaux R, Bouchon D, Greve P. 2011 The impact of endosymbionts on the evolution of host sex-
- determination mechanisms. *Trends Genet* **27**, 332–41.
- 7. Hurst GDD, Frost CL. 2015 Reproductive parasitism: maternally inherited symbionts in a biparental
- world. Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Biol. 7, a017699. (doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a017699)
- 8. Burt A, Trivers R. 2006 Genes in conflict. Cambridge, Massachusetts: The Belknap Press of Harvard
- 271 University Press.
- 9. Werren JH. 2011 Selfish genetic elements, genetic conflict, and evolutionary innovation. *Proc.*
- 273 Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 108 Suppl 2, 10863–10870. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1102343108)
- 274 10. Werren JH, Baldo L, Clark ME. 2008 Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate biology.
- 275 *Nat Rev Microbiol* **6**, 741–51. (doi:10.1038/nrmicro1969)
- 276 11. Kaur R, Shropshire JD, Cross KL, Leigh B, Mansueto AJ, Stewart V, Bordenstein SR,
- 277 Bordenstein SR. 2021 Living in the endosymbiotic world of Wolbachia: A centennial review. *Cell*
- 278 *Host Microbe* **29**, 879–893. (doi:10.1016/j.chom.2021.03.006)
- 279 12. Juchault P, Legrand JJ. 1972 Croisement de néo-mâles experimentaux chez Armadillidium
- vulgare Latr. (Crustace, Isopode, Oniscoide). Mise en evidence d'une hétérogamétie femelle. CR
- 281 *Acad Sci Paris* **274**, 1387–1389.
- 282 13. Chebbi MA, Becking T, Moumen B, Giraud I, Gilbert C, Peccoud J, Cordaux R. 2019 The
- 283 Genome of Armadillidium vulgare (Crustacea, Isopoda) Provides Insights into Sex Chromosome
- Evolution in the Context of Cytoplasmic Sex Determination. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **36**, 727–741.
- 285 (doi:10.1093/molbev/msz010)
- 286 14. Cordaux R, Chebbi MA, Giraud I, Pleydell DRJ, Peccoud J. 2021 Characterization of a Sex-
- 287 Determining Region and Its Genomic Context via Statistical Estimates of Haplotype Frequencies in
- Daughters and Sons Sequenced in Pools. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **13**, evab121.
- 289 (doi:10.1093/gbe/evab121)

- 290 15. Rigaud T, Juchault P, Mocquard JP. 1997 The evolution of sex determination in isopods crustaceans. *Bioessays* **19**, 409–416.
- 292 16. Cordaux R, Gilbert C. 2017 Evolutionary Significance of Wolbachia-to-Animal Horizontal Gene
 293 Transfer: Female Sex Determination and the f Element in the Isopod Armadillidium vulgare. *Genes*
- 294 **8**, 186. (doi:10.3390/genes8070186)
- Martin G, Juchault P, Legrand JJ. 1973 Mise en évidence d'un micro-organisme
 intracytoplasmique symbiote de l'Oniscoide *Armadillidium vulgare* L. dont la présence
- 297 accompagne l'intersexualité ou la féminisation totale des mâles génétiques de la lignée thélygène.
- 298 Comptes Rendus Académie Sci. Paris **276**, 2313–2316.
- 18. Rigaud T, Souty Grosset C, Raimond R, Mocquard JP, Juchault P. 1991 Feminizing
 endocytobiosis in the terrestrial crustacean *Armadillidium vulgare* Latr. (Isopoda): Recent
 acquisitions. *Endocytobiosis Cell Res* 7, 259–273.
- 302 19. Cordaux R, Michel-Salzat A, Frelon-Raimond M, Rigaud T, Bouchon D. 2004 Evidence for a 303 new feminizing Wolbachia strain in the isopod Armadillidium vulgare: evolutionary implications. 304 *Heredity* **93**, 78–84. (doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6800482)
- Verne S, Johnson M, Bouchon D, Grandjean F. 2007 Evidence for recombination between
 feminizing Wolbachia in the isopod genus Armadillidium. *Gene* 397, 58–66.
 (doi:10.1016/j.gene.2007.04.006)
- Leclercq Sb, Thézé J, Chebbi MA, Giraud I, Moumen B, Ernenwein L, Greve P, Gilbert C,
 Cordaux R. 2016 Birth of a W sex chromosome by horizontal transfer of *Wolbachia* bacterial
 symbiont genome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 113, 15036–15041.
 (doi:10.1073/pnas.1608979113)
- 22. Legrand JJ, Juchault P. 1984 Nouvelles données sur le déterminisme génétique et épigénétique de la monogénie chez le crustacés isopodes terrestres *Armadillidium vulgare* Latr.
- 314 Génét Sél Evol **16**, 57–84.
- Juchault P, Mocquard JP. 1993 Transfer of a parasitic sex factor to the nuclear genome of the
 host: A hypothesis on the evolution of sex-determining mechanisms in the terrestrial isopod
 Armadillidium vulgare Latr. J Evol Biol 6, 511–528.
- Juchault P, Rigaud T. 1995 Evidence for female heterogamety in two terrestrial crustaceans and the problem of sex chromosome evolution in isopods. *Heredity* **75**, 466–471.
- 320 25. Becking T, Giraud I, Raimond M, Moumen B, Chandler C, Cordaux R, Gilbert C. 2017 Diversity
 321 and evolution of sex determination systems in terrestrial isopods. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 1–14.
 322 (doi:10.1038/s41598-017-01195-4)
- 323 26. Becking T, Chebbi MA, Giraud I, Moumen B, Laverré T, Caubet Y, Peccoud J, Gilbert C,
 324 Cordaux R. 2019 Sex chromosomes control vertical transmission of feminizing Wolbachia
 325 symbionts in an isopod. *PLOS Biol.* 17, e3000438. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.3000438)
- Russell A, Borrelli S, Fontana R, Laricchiuta J, Pascar J, Becking T, Giraud I, Cordaux R,
 Chandler CH. 2021 Evolutionary transition to XY sex chromosomes associated with Y-linked duplication of a male hormone gene in a terrestrial isopod. *Heredity* 127, 266–277.
- 329 (doi:10.1038/s41437-021-00457-2)

- 330 28. Juchault P, Legrand JJ, Mocquard JP. 1980 Contribution à l'étude qualitative et quantitative
- des facteurs contrôlant le sexe dans les populations du crustacé isopode terrestre Armadillidum
- vulgare Latreille. I. La population de Niort (Deux Sèvres). Arch Zool Exp Gen 121, 3–27.
- 333 29. Grandjean F, Rigaud T, Raimond R, Juchault P, Souty-Grosset C. 1993 Mitochondrial DNA
- polymorphism and feminizing sex factor dynamics in a natural population of *Armadillidium*
- 335 *vulgare* (Crustacea, Isopoda). *Genetica* **92**, 55–60.
- 336 30. Rigaud T, Bouchon D, Souty-Grosset C, Raimond R. 1999 Mitochondrial DNA polymorphism,
- sex ratio distorters and population genetics in the isopod *Armadillidium vulgare*. *Genetics* **152**,
- 338 1669–1677.
- 339 31. Verne S, Johnson M, Bouchon D, Grandjean F. 2012 Effects of parasitic sex-ratio distorters on
- host genetic structure in the Armadillidium vulgare-Wolbachia association. J. Evol. Biol. 25, 264–
- 341 76. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2011.02413.x)
- 342 32. Juchault P, Rigaud T, Mocquard JP. 1993 EVOLUTION OF SEX DETERMINATION AND SEX-
- 343 RATIO VARIABILITY IN WILD POPULATIONS OF ARMADILLIDIUM-VULGARE (LATR) (CRUSTACEA,
- ISOPODA) A CASE-STUDY IN CONFLICT-RESOLUTION. Acta Oecologica-Int. J. Ecol. 14, 547–562.
- 345 33. Juchault P, Legrand JJ. 1981 Contribution à l'étude qualitative et quantitative des facteurs
- 346 contrôlant le sexe dans les populations du Crustacé Isopode terrestre *Armadillidium vulgare* Latr.
- 347 II Populations hébergeant le facteur féminisant F (bactérie intracytoplasmique). Arch Zool Exp
- 348 *Gén* **122**, 65–74.
- 349 34. Juchault P, Legrand JJ. 1981 Contribution à l'étude qualitative et quantitative des facteurs
- 350 contrôlant le sexe dans les populations du Crustacé isopode terrestre Armadillidium vulgare
- Latreille. III. Populations n'hébergeant pas le facteur féminisant F (bacteroïde intracytoplasmique).
- 352 *Arch Zool Exp Gén* **122**, 117–131.
- 35. Braig HR, Zhou WG, Dobson SL, O'Neill SL. 1998 Cloning and characterization of a gene
- encoding the major surface protein of the bacterial endosymbiont Wolbachia pipientis. J.
- 355 *Bacteriol.* **180**, 2373–2378.
- 356 36. Badawi M, Giraud I, Vavre F, Grève P, Cordaux R. 2014 Signs of Neutralization in a Redundant
- 357 Gene Involved in Homologous Recombination in Wolbachia Endosymbionts. Genome Biol. Evol. 6,
- 358 2654–2664. (doi:10.1093/gbe/evu207)
- 359 37. Werren JH, Zhang W, Guo LR. 1995 Evolution and phylogeny of Wolbachia: reproductive
- 360 parasites of arthropods. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **261**, 55–63. (doi:10.1098/rspb.1995.0117)
- 38. Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh W, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek R. 1994 DNA primers for amplification of
- 362 mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Mol. Mar.*
- 363 *Biol. Biotechnol.* **3**, 294–299.
- 364 39. Paradis E. 2010 pegas: an R package for population genetics with an integrated–modular
- approach. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 419–420.
- 366 40. R Development Core Team. 2013 *R: A language and environment for statistical computing.*
- 367 See http://www.R-project.org/.
- 368 41. Wickham H et al. 2020 ggplot2: Create Elegant Data Visualisations Using the Grammar of
- 369 Graphics.

- 370 42. Juchault P, Legrand JJ. 1989 Sex determination and monogeny in terrestrial isopods
- 371 Armadillidium vulgare (Latreille, 1804) and Armadillidium nasatum bundde-lund, 1885. Monit.
- 372 *Zool Ital NS Monogr* **4**, 359–375.
- 373 43. Juchault P, Rigaud T, Mocquard J-P. 1992 Evolution of sex-determining mechanisms in a wild
- 374 population of Armadillidium vulgare Latr. (Crustacea, Isopod): competition between two
- feminizing parasitic sex factors. *Heredity* **69**, 382–390.
- 376 44. Rigaud T, Mocquard J-P, Juchault P. 1992 The spread of parasitic sex factors in populations of
- 377 Armadillidium vulgare Latr. (Crustacea, Oniscidae): effects on sex ratio. Génét Sél Evol 24, 3–18.
- 378 45. Dittmer J, Lesobre J, Moumen B, Bouchon D. 2016 Host origin and tissue microhabitat
- shaping the microbiota of the terrestrial isopod Armadillidium vulgare. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 92,
- 380 fiw063. (doi:10.1093/femsec/fiw063)
- 381 46. Legrand JJ, Juchault P. 1986 Rôle des bactéries symbiotiques dans l'intersexualité, la
- monogénie et la spéciation chez les crustacés oniscoïdes. *Boll Zool* **53**, 161–172.
- 383 47. Rigaud T, Juchault P. 1993 Conflict between feminizing sex ratio distorters and an autosomal
- masculinizing gene in the terrestrial isopod Armadillidium vulgare Latr. *Genetics* **133**, 247–252.
- 385 48. Taylor DR. 1990 Evolutionary consequences of cytoplasmic sex ratio distorters. *Evol. Ecol.* **4**,
- 386 235–248.

- 387 49. Galtier N, Nabholz B, Glémin S, Hurst GDD. 2009 Mitochondrial DNA as a marker of molecular
- diversity: a reappraisal. *Mol. Ecol.* **18**, 4541–4550. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04380.x)

Figure 1




Figure 2

