

Do stable carbon and nitrogen isotope values of Nitella flexilis differ between softwater and hardwater lakes?

Eugeniusz Pronin, Krzysztof Banaś, Rafal Chmara, Rafal Ronowski, Marek Merdalski, Anne-Lise Santoni, Olivier Mathieu

▶ To cite this version:

Eugeniusz Pronin, Krzysztof Banaś, Rafal Chmara, Rafal Ronowski, Marek Merdalski, et al.. Do stable carbon and nitrogen isotope values of Nitella flexilis differ between softwater and hardwater lakes?. Aquatic Sciences - Research Across Boundaries, 2023, 85 (3), pp.79. 10.1007/s00027-023-00976-6. hal-04156972

HAL Id: hal-04156972 https://u-bourgogne.hal.science/hal-04156972

Submitted on 22 Feb 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Do stable carbon and nitrogen isotope values of *Nitella flexilis* differ between softwater and hardwater lakes?

Eugeniusz Pronin¹ · Krzysztof Banaś¹ · Rafał Chmara¹ · Rafał Ronowski¹ · Marek Merdalski¹ · Anne-Lise Santoni² · Olivier Mathieu²

Received: 11 February 2023 / Accepted: 7 May 2023 / Published online: 28 May 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

The composition of stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes (δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N) is widely used for tracking the origin of organic matter (OM) present in lacustrine sediments. These isotopes also define the evolution of OM in food web loops. Charophyte species *Nitella flexilis* C. Agardh, 1824 can be found in different aquatic environments where it contributes significantly to sediment formation and influences biota function. Therefore, it is crucial to study more about δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N in different lake types. Here, we present the results of the first comprehensive study of *N. flexilis* δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N, which add to the knowledge of the C and N isotope records of charophytes. We obtained the δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N records of *N. flexilis* OM from hardwater and softwater lakes and checked for differences between these records. We also analyzed the differences in physical and chemical parameters. Finally, we compared the δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N records with physical and chemical parameters to identify the variables that have the highest influence on *N. flexilis* δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values. Our study showed that both δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N did not differ significantly in the two types of lakes, although the lakes had significant differences in several physical and chemical parameters (pH, Ca²⁺, dissolved inorganic carbon, total phosphorus, conductivity). However, we observed that δ^{13} C values were influenced by light conditions (photosynthetic active radiation, depth, dissolved OM), while δ^{15} N values were influenced by the total nitrogen concentration in water.

Keywords δ^{13} C $\cdot \delta^{15}$ N \cdot Macrophytes \cdot Charophytes \cdot Organic matter \cdot Water chemistry

	Eugeniusz Pronin eugeniusz.pronin@ug.edu.pl
	Krzysztof Banaś krzysztof.banas@ug.edu.pl
	Rafał Chmara rafal.chmara@ug.edu.pl
	Rafał Ronowski rafal.ronowski@ug.edu.pl
	Marek Merdalski marek.merdalski@ug.edu.pl
	Anne-Lise Santoni anne-lise.santoni@u-bourgogne.fr
	Olivier Mathieu olivier.mathieu@u-bourgogne.fr
1	Department of Plant Ecology, Faculty of Biology, University of Gdansk, Gdańsk, Poland

² Biogéosciences, UMR 6282 CNRS, Université de Bourgogne, Dijon, France

Introduction

Charophytes (Charales; Charophyta) are macroscopic green algae distributed in various aquatic environments, including freshwater wetlands, brackish ecosystems, running and standing water, and permanent and ephemeral waters (Pukacz et al. 2013; Rodrigo et al. 2016; Torn et al. 2019; Brzozowski and Pełechaty 2020; Romanov et al. 2022). However, these organisms mainly prefer freshwater ecosystems, especially lakes with good lighting (high water transparency) (Pukacz et al. 2013; Brzozowski and Pełechaty 2020). Nitella flexilis C. Agardh, 1824 is an example species with a broad ecological amplitude; however, it forms dense underwater meadows covering the bottom in oligo-mesotrophic softwater lakes characterized by a low but more neutral water pH (Bociag et al. 2011). Nonetheless, this charophyte species can be found as well-developed communities in more hardwater and eutrophic lakes (Urbaniak and Gąbka 2014). After their decay, these macrophytes might significantly contribute to the formation of organic sediments. Literature data indicate that charophytes from the Chara genus participate in the deposition of carbonate-rich sediments as a high amount of calcium carbonate precipitates from their heavily encrusted thallus (Pełechaty et al. 2013). However, Nitella spp. may be slightly encrusted or unencrusted, depending on the calcium concentration in water (Apolinarska et al. 2011; John and Rindi 2015). Thus, N. flexilis occurring in different lake habitats, ranging from softwater to hardwater, could be an excellent study material to analyze the stable carbon and nitrogen isotope signatures (δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N) of their OM and the variables influencing them. In this work, we investigated the δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N records of this charophyte species because knowledge of its relationship with physical and chemical water parameters may help to conduct further paleoecological research, for example, to track the vegetation changes or the evolution of lakes from oligotrophic, softwater lakes to mesotrophic, more hardwater lakes based on sediment deposition by this species.

The concentration of calcium ions is not the only factor that differentiates softwater and hardwater lakes, as their names might suggest (Murphy 2002). Other important physical and chemical parameters, namely conductivity and pH (Murphy 2002), which differentiate aquatic vegetation with stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes, also differ between these lakes. According to researchers, the $\delta^{13}C$ and δ^{15} N values of aquatic plants in different aquatic ecosystems might be related to multiple parameters of water and sediment chemistry, such as pH and nutrient concentration (King et al. 2009; Matuszak et al. 2011; Apolinarska et al. 2016; Pronin et al. 2016, 2019; Chappuis et al. 2017; Zhang et al. 2021; Liu et al. 2022). Moreover, literature reports on the δ^{13} C values of the organic matter (OM) of charophytes are limited (Pentecost et al. 2006; Sensuła et al. 2006; Matuszak et al. 2011; Apolinarska et al. 2016; Pronin et al. 2016; Rodrigo et al. 2016; Chappuis et al. 2017; Morkūnė et al. 2022), and even less in the case of δ^{15} N values (King et al. 2009; Matuszak et al. 2011; Chappuis et al. 2017; Morkūnė et al. 2022).

Due to the environmental plasticity of *N. flexilis*, it is an excellent material to verify if the differences in the water chemistry of softwater and hardwater lakes have an impact on the δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values of the OM of *N. flexilis*. It is worth emphasizing that, to our knowledge, this is the first study to analyze the δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values of *N. flexilis*. The study also attempted to identify the water parameters that have the highest influence on the isotope values of *N. flexilis*.

Materials and methods

Study sites

The study included 12 hardwater lakes (Ca^{2+} concentration from 21.9 to 47.4 mg/l, Table 1) and 11 softwater lakes $(Ca^{2+} \text{ concentration from } 2.2 \text{ to } 12.4 \text{ mg/l}, \text{ Table } 1)$. The hardwater lakes were investigated in the middle of the growing season of 2008-2010 and softwater lakes in July 2020 (Fig. 1). The classification of softwater lakes followed the recommendation of Murphy (2002). Moreover, to determine the character of the direct catchment, a 100-m belt was created around each lake using ArcGIS 10.7 software (Esri) and Geoportal (https://www.geoportal.gov.pl/) and CORINE Land Cover maps (CLC). This helped identify the main land cover types, which are listed in Table 1. We used ArcGIS software and Geoportal to calculate the total area of each investigated lake, as shown in Table 1. To assess the trophy state of the lakes, we calculated the Carlson Trophy State Index (TSI) based on the available data of total phosphorus (TP) and Secchi disk visibility (Carlson 1977). The TSI is listed in Table 1 as an average of these two components.



Fig. 1 Localization of the investigated lakes

Table 1	haracteristic	s of two ty	pes of inve	stigated la	kes														
Lake	Localiza- tion	Total surface (ha)	Group	δ ¹³ C (%o V-PDB)	δ ¹⁵ N (% ₀ AIR)	Depth (m)	Hq	Conduc- tivity (µS cm ⁻¹)	DIC (mg C/l)	DOC (mg C/l)	Ca ²⁺ (mg Ca/l)	TN (mg N/I)	TP (mg P/l)	PAR (%)	O _{2 (%)}	C/N N ii (V. flex- lis cover %)	TSI ^a Ma cato mei typ	in ch- e
líńsko	53° 26' 40.80" N, 15° 32' E	589.9	Hard- water	-20.05	-7.69	2.8	7.92	211.0	16.25	1.17	35.06	1.10	0.15	22.20	123.8	11.80 5	0	40.2 For	est
Piaszno	54° 5′ 37.94″ N,17° 21′ 36.89″ E	62.7	Hard- water	-21.97	0.61	4.6	7.70	189.5	15.22	1.66	32.95	0.50	0.15	11.78	116.0	12.11	00	40.2 For	est
Dymno	53° 55' 31.75" N, 17° 3' 18.70" E	77.0	Hard- water	-23.28	-3.51	6.0	8.39	175.0	15.53	1.41	43.02	0.66	0.15	9.80	102.5	10.82	00	38.7 For at tu	est/ gricul- ıral
Chocze- wskie	54° 44' 28.18" N, 17° 55' E	169.7	Hard- water	-22.54	1.16	1.5	8.01	166.1	13.15	2.75	26.37	2.13	0.29	19.56	122.3	16.58 5	0	51.4 For	est
Gardlic- zno	53° 53' 50.21" N, 17° 32' 8.08" E	44.5	Hard- water	-30.06	2.89	4.5	7.87	187.9	14.78	2.24	32.71	1.23	0.15	14.58	106.7	8.23 5	0	40.8 For	est
Strupino	54° 2' 18.63" N, 17° 58' E	41.7	Hard- water	-20.99	-1.18	6.3	7.77	157.6	10.63	06.0	27.90	0.74	0.20	1.40	109.3	13.30 1	Ś	40.8 For	est

Table 1 (co	ontinued)																		
Lake	Localiza- tion	Total surface (ha)	Group	δ ¹³ C (% V-PDB)	δ ¹⁵ N (% AIR)	Depth (m)	Hd	Conduc- tivity (µS cm ⁻¹)	DIC (mg C/l)	DOC (mg C/l)	Ca ²⁺ (mg Ca/l)	TN (mg N/l)	TP (mg P/l)	PAR (%)	02(%)	C/N N. J ilis cov (%)	<i>lex-</i> TS er	l ^a Main catch- ment type	.
Kotel	54° 0' 45.19″ N, 18° 0' 46.75″ E	28.1	Hard- water	-20.26	-3.50	1.2	7.92	178.0	13.89	1.00	28.46	0.41	0.24	40.80	122.4	9.20 5	48.	3 Forest	_
Czyste	53° 58' 4.12" N, 17° 57' 29.57" E	33.5	Hard- water	-26.02	-2.48	2.1	8.11	195.0	16.68	1.41	37.30	0.75	0.10	13.40	134.1	12.07 5	42.	3 Forest	L L
Białe	54° 22' 38.03" N, 18° 11' 34.18" E	50.5	Hard- water	-25.62	-0.51	5.2	8.02	131.1	9.37	0.52	21.96	1.15	0.35	8.71	84.4	11.84 100	46.	1 Forest agrid tural	t/ cul- l
Prusionek	53° 58' 25.86" N, 18° 2' 48.15" E	5.98	Hard- water	-22.99	-1.15	1.4	7.90	148.0	11.33	1.93	27.90	1.38	0.35	21.10	138.8	12.46 5	51.	2 Forest	t
Szczuc- zarz	53° 3' 16.68″ N, 116° 1' E	138.2	Hard- water	-23.81	-3.53	3.0	8.00	266.0	20.05	1.32	43.37	0.38	0.21	20.87	115.5	9.93 1	46.	0 Forest	t
Wdzydze	53° 59' 31.66" N, 17° 54' E	954.6	Hard- water	-26.22	-3.29	3.1	7.88	243.0	23.44	7.23	47.37	2.60	0.23	4.80	83.1	9.75 1	46.	4 Forest	_

	^a Main catch- ment type	7 Forest/ agricul- tural) Forest	8 Forest	Forest	Forest	Forest	Forest
	r TSI	44.7	28.5	38.8	35.3	24.3	34.1	36.4
	I N. fle ilis cover (%)	35 10	48 35	38 25	11 100	04 15	51 2	96 1
	(%) C/N	5 13.8	8 12.	3 11.2	4 13.	1 11.0	7 10.0	1 8.9
	02	69	88.	0 83.	82.	91.) 66.	.09
	g PAR (%)	0.50	10.40	31.20	3.30	34.3(12.5(21.00
	TP (m P/l)	0.07	0.03	0.08	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.04
	TN (mg N/l)	2.92	3.33	2.46	0.55	0.64	3.83	4.97
	Ca ²⁺ (mg Ca/I)	12.38	7.29	5.89	3.25	3.05	3.81	6.01
	DOC (mg C/l)	1.61	0.58	4.48	3.83	0.75	0.56	2.74
	DIC (mg C/l)	11.08	7.78	9.00	9.64	5.70	4.84	6.91
	Conduc- tivity (µS cm ⁻¹)	194.0	56.6	48.6	24.3	29.7	29.6	44.9
	Hq	8.16	8.09	6.80	5.87	5.97	6.74	7.20
	Depth (m)	1.9	2.3	0.6	2.2	5.5	2.5	2.3
	δ ¹⁵ N (% ₀ AIR)	3.18	-0.09	-2.30	-1.67	-0.79	-1.98	-1.01
	δ ¹³ C (% ₀ V-PDB)	-23.10	-14.75	-16.43	-27.09	-22.81	-20.08	-23.95
	Group	Softwa- ter	Softwa- ter	Softwa- ter	Softwa- ter	Softwa- ter	Softwa- ter	Softwa- ter
	Total surface (ha)	54.3	81.1	45.5	28.8	4.0	9.5	5.7
ontinued)	Localiza- tion	54° 8' 49.62″ N, 18° 2' 30.012″ E	54° 11′ 52.46″ N, 17° 31′ E	54° 1' 39.39" N, 51.89" E	53° 52' 5.31″ N, 17° 16' 52.93″ E	53° 48' 49.87" N, 17° 38' 6.39" E	54° 15' 40.13″ N, 17° 35' E	53° 43' 14.83" N, 17° 25' 34.12" F
Table 1 (ct	Lake	Dobrogo- szcz	Jeleń	Kamień	Krasne	Moczadło	Obrowo Małe	Okoń Duży

Table 1 (c	ontinued)																		
Lake	Localiza- tion	Total surface (ha)	Group	δ ¹³ C (% V-PDB)	δ ¹⁵ N (%0 AIR)	Depth (m)	Hd	Conduc- iivity (µS cm ⁻¹)	DIC (mg C/l)	DOC (mg C/l)	Ca ²⁺ (mg Ca/l)	TN (mg N/l)	TP (mg P/l)	PAR (%)	O _{2 (%)}	C/N N il ((1. flex- 7 is over %)	CSI ^a M ca ty	ain tch- ent pe
Piasek	54° 0' 46.71" N, 17° 7' 4.50" E	40.8	Softwa- ter	-26.29	-5.36	7.5	6.83	50.8	8.68	2.20	8.46	0.74	0.07	7.60	79.4	9.52 1	0	11.5 FG	orest
Smolowe	54° 1' 56.96" N, 17° 4' 48.84" E	35.6	Softwa- ter	-28.73	-5.49	2.5	6.27	26.5	5.95	2.73	2.16	0.60	0.03	9.50	85.5	9.66 1		.4.3 FG	orest
Zakrzewie	54° 3' 14.86″ N, 18° 0' 39.54″ E	10.2	Softwa- ter	-18.97	-2.26	1.1	9.12	59.0	7.99	1.01	10.30	2.57	0.02	46.80	102.5	11.72 1.	2	11.7 FG	orest
Łąkie	54° 8' 53.37" N, 17° 36' 13.05" E	21.8	Softwa- ter	-33.13	2.11	4.3	6.52	45.7	7.58	5.71	5.65	4.15	0.04	06.0	48.1	10.39 4	0	3.6 FG	orest/ agricul- tural
^a Tronhv St	ate Index cal	culated the	are as an a	verage of 7	SI TP and	TSI SD													

2 2 anu I I I I 5 averago an unere as Irophy State Index calculated

D Springer

Field study

Through an experienced SCUBA diver, ten individuals were collected at each plant study site for further analyses of δ^{13} C of OM (δ^{13} C_{ORG}) and δ^{15} N of total nitrogen $(\delta^{15}N_{TN})$. Before plant collection, field measurements of pH, oxygen concentration, and conductivity were performed from a boat using a multivariate sonde YSI 650 MDS with 6600 V2 probe in 2020 and a WTW 320/SET1 pH meter with glass METTLER electrode and SENTIX 97T electrode in 2008-2010. Photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) was measured using a Licor LI-250 Light Meter and expressed here as percentage of the light reaching the water surface (based on the obtained values for the water surface and just above-investigated plants), and the depth of the stands was also recorded. In addition, water from the surroundings of the plants was collected in a 0.5-1 plastic bottle for chemical analyses to determine the concentrations of nutrients [total nitrogen (TN) and total phosphorus (TP)], calcium (Ca²⁺), dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), and dissolved organic carbon (DOC).

Laboratory analyses, including $\delta^{13}C_{ORG}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{TN}$ analysis

The concentration of dissolved forms of inorganic carbon $(CO_2, HCO_3^-, and CO_3^{2^-})$ was assessed in the collected water samples by titration. Ca²⁺ concentration was measured using a complexometric method with EDTA disodium salt in the presence of calconcarboxylic acid sodium salt as an indicator. TN and TP concentrations were determined by photometric methods using a MERCK Spectroquant cuvette on a UV–Vis spectrophotometer. TP was analyzed after mineralizing the water samples using a mixture of nitric and sulfuric acid (2:1). Mineralization was done in a Mars 5 CEM microwave digestion system (USA). After estimating %C and %N concentrations in plant samples (Flash Smart EA, Thermo Scientific USA), the C/N ratios were calculated.

The samples of plants were washed in the field, and epiphytes and other contaminations (sand, sediments, and others) were removed. Then, the samples were dried at 60 °C for 48 h and stored. The plant materials collected from 2008 to 2010 were stored dry in envelopes but dried again before further treatment. Next, dry plant samples were powdered using a mixer mill (MM 400 Retsch Germany) or, if the amount was low, an agate mortar. Afterward, with the use of highly concentrated HCl, *N. flexilis* samples from both lakes were checked for the presence of carbonates. No carbonates were found in the samples from

softwater lakes, while samples from hardwater lakes were decarbonated using the desiccator method with 37% of HCl in a desiccator by creating an acidic mist with which the carbonates reacted. The samples in small glass vials were allowed to stay in the desiccator for 48 h. Then, they were placed under a fume cupboard for 24 h for evaporation, dried in the oven at 40 °C for 24 h, and homogenized again using an agate mortar. Finally, the powder was transferred to tiny capsules and weighed. The δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N analyses of plants OM were performed in 23 samples (triplicated as a laboratory standard procedure-comprehensive analyses of 69 samples) in the GISMO platform in the Biogéosciences Laboratory of the University of Burgundy (Dijon, France). The analyses were done on a Flash Smart EA elemental analyzer (Thermo Scientific, USA) coupled to a Delta V stable isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). The standard USG40 (glutamic acid, $\delta^{13}C = -26.39\%$, $\delta^{15}N = -4.5\%$) and the standard Wheat Flour B2157 (Elemental Microanalysis) certified reference materials were used for calibration and as control. The δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values were expressed in %relative to V-PDB standard for carbon and atmospheric N₂ for nitrogen. The precision of the analysis was validated by external reproducibility of replicate standard analyses (USG40 and B2157) and found to be better than $\pm 0.15\%$ for δ^{13} C and $\pm 0.20\%$ for δ^{15} N (2 σ).

Statistical analysis

All the values of stable isotopes and other analyzed physical and chemical variables were checked for the normality of distribution by applying the Shapiro-Wilk test using the Statistica 13.0 software (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA). As the analyzed variables were not normally distributed, nonparametric analyses were used. The data of all variables were not additionally normalized. The Mann-Whitney U test was applied for comparing the values of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N of N. flexilis and other water parameters in the two types of investigated lakes. In addition, Spearman rank correlations were used to determine the relationships between the investigated variables in all lakes. Moreover, the variables before the principal component analysis (PCA) were standardized as z-score to avoid scale effect. All these analyses were performed using the Statistica 13.0 software and visualized by R.4.0.3 software (R Core Team 2022) using the ggplot2 package (Wickham 2009). For all the statistics, p < 0.05 was used to determine significance. Correlation heat maps were created in the corrplot R package (Wei and Simko 2021). The PCA was performed using the FactoMineR package (Lê et al. 2008), and the obtained results were visualized using the factoextra and ggplot2 packages (Lê et al. 2008; Wickham 2009).

Results

The results showed no statistically significant differences between the calculated δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values of *N. flexilis* collected from the two types of lakes (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the δ^{13} C values in softwater lakes showed more variations (ranging from -33.12% to -14.75%; Table 1, Fig. 2a) compared with the values in hardwater lakes (from -30.06% to -20.05%; Table 1, Fig. 2a). On the other hand, the δ^{15} N values only slightly differed in the investigated groups of lakes (-7.69%) to 2.88%in hardwater and -5.49% to 3.18% in softwater lakes; Table 1, Fig. 2b). Comparing the results of selected investigated variables in the two types of lakes, we observed statistically significant differences (Mann-Whitney U test: p < 0.05) in pH, Ca²⁺ concentration, TP concentration, DIC concentration, and conductivity (Fig. 3a-e). There were also differences in TN concentration, where a wide range of values was detected in the softwater lakes, but in the hardwater lakes, the variability of TN concentrations was narrower (Fig. 2f); however, these differences were statistically insignificant (Mann–Whitney U test: p > 0.05). The above-mentioned differences were also reflected by the PCA results (Fig. 4), where the two groups of investigated lakes were clearly segregated. Among the investigated variables, pH, Ca²⁺, conductivity, DIC, TP, and TN differed the most in the two groups of lakes and correlated with the first axis of PCA (Fig. 4). Moreover, N. flexilis values of δ^{13} C were more closely related to the second PCA axis, which correlated with PAR, depth, and DOC. TN concentration was also found to be a crucial factor affecting N. flexilis values of δ^{15} N (Fig. 4). The first two PCA axes explained 51.5% of the total variance. We found several relationships when comparing the obtained $\delta^{13}C$ and δ^{15} N values of *N. flexilis* with the physical and chemical parameters of water. The values of δ^{13} C were positively related to PAR and negatively correlated with the depth and concentration of DOC. In turn, δ^{15} N values showed a moderate positive relationship with TN and a low negative relationship with Ca^{2+} concentration (Fig. S1 in Supplementary Materials 1).

Discussion

The δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values of aquatic plants range widely among different aquatic ecosystems. The δ^{13} C values of macrophytes range from -50% to 0.4% (Herzschuh et al. 2010), and that of δ^{15} N from -15% to 20% (Douglas et al. 2022). Our study showed that the δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values of *N. flexilis* OM also fall in the above-mentioned ranges. Moreover, the values of δ^{13} C reported by us are in line with the range of values previously reported for charophytes (Pentecost et al. 2006; Apolinarska et al. 2016; Pronin et al. 2016; Rodrigo et al. 2016; Chappuis et al. 2017). Similarly, the values of δ^{15} N determined in this study were in line with those reported for charophytes in the limited available literature (King et al. 2009; Matuszak et al. 2011; Chappuis et al. 2017; Morkūnė et al. 2022).

Stable carbon isotope composition of N. flexilis

Compared with hardwater lakes, the concentration of DIC is limited in softwater lakes, and the water pH is usually lower. Thus, we expected significantly lower δ^{13} C values in the studied softwater lakes. We made this assumption by changing the proportion of C in water according to the variability of pH. In water with pH below 4.5, CO₂ is the only form of inorganic carbon. On the other hand, in water with a neutral pH, HCO₃⁻ starts to be the dominant form (the highest concentration is in pH about 8.5), whereas if pH is higher than 10.5, CO₃²⁻ is the main C form. The forms of C differ in δ^{13} C values; in CO₂, ¹³C is depleted by 8–12‰ compared with HCO₃⁻ (Mook et al. 1974; Shmit and Walker 1980). This assumption was confirmed in the case of Jeleń and Zakrzewie lakes, where water pH was high and the δ^{13} C values were also among the highest (Table 1). On the other

Fig. 2 Comparison of *N. flexilis* in hardwater (*N*=12) and softwater (*N*=11) lakes: **a** δ^{13} C values; **b** δ^{15} N values. Median, box 25–75% and min–max. Mann–Whitney *U* test: *p*>0.05 in both cases







hand, in Lake Kamień, where water pH was almost neutral, the values of δ^{13} C were also high (Table 1). Unfortunately, in this study, we did not investigate the δ^{13} C values of DIC, which would indicate the isotope value of inorganic carbon in water. However, we found significant positive correlations between pH and DIC (Fig. S1 in Supplementary Materials 1). This relationship might partly explain the high variability in the obtained δ^{13} C values of *N. flexilis*, especially in softwater lakes, because *N. flexilis* could use both CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ forms of DIC for photosynthesis (Shmit and Walker 1980; Chmara et al. 2021). Furthermore, a study on a Mediterranean pond near shallow lagoons in Spain, which is richer in Ca²⁺, showed that δ^{13} C values of *Nitella* hyalina ranged from -26% to -20% (Rodrigo et al. 2016). We assumed that the δ^{13} C values of hardwater lakes would not differ much due to more consistent water pH and the fact that the water in these lakes has higher buffer capability compared with that in softwater lakes. Although the range of δ^{13} C values in hardwater lakes was lower than that of softwater lakes, it was still significant (Fig. 2). These results indicate that other variables may also have a more significant influence on the δ^{13} C values of *N. flexilis* OM.

One of these variables might be related to the ecology of *N. flexilis*, as this species forms more complex and dense





underwater meadows in hardwater lakes (Urbaniak and Gabka 2014; Table 1). These meadows perform many functions in lakes, one of which is the accumulation of biomass (Kufel and Kufel 2002; Pełechata et al. 2023) that might release a higher amount of ¹²CO₂ during decomposition. Moreover, such dense meadows of charophytes stimulate more CO₂ release from the interstitial water, which might have higher ¹²CO₂ than the ambient water. These assumptions align with the significant differences observed by Pronin et al. (2016) between two morphologically different Chara species. The authors suggested that differences between the δ^{13} C values of OM of those two species were due to the use of different proportions of C sources for photosynthesis: CO₂ and partly HCO₃⁻ by Chara globularis Thuillier 1799 and mostly HCO_3^- by *Chara tomentosa* L. This might also partly explain the high variability in the δ^{13} C values of the two groups of lakes investigated here. However, other researchers who investigated charophytes as a whole group (including Nitella and Chara genera together) found extensive variability in δ^{13} C values in the OM of charophytes (from -40% to -10%; Chappuis et al. 2017), which could not be explained only by the use of different isotopic signals of C source for photosynthesis. Similarly, our findings, especially those observed for softwater lakes, are outside the range of differences of δ^{13} C values between CO₂ and HCO₃⁻; thus, this might only partly explain this variability.

The above statement is in line with the results of Liu et al. (2022), who suggested that the differences in δ^{13} C

values between CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ only partly explain the observed differences between the two macrophytes (Potamogeton sp. and Cladophora sp.) investigated in their study. The rest of the high variability in δ^{13} C values (average 14-16%, which was close to 10% in hardwater lakes and 18% in softwater lakes reported by us) between these two species was probably caused by biosynthesis fractionation (Liu et al. 2022). Our results might also support this statement due to the relationships of δ^{13} C with the lake's light conditions (PAR, depth, and DOC concentration; Figs. 4 and S1). Light availability increases the efficiency of photosynthesis (Van Den Berg et al. 1998), and plants preferentially uptake ¹²C (O'Leary et al. 1992). Thus, we can assume that under more intensive photosynthesis, plants might use more ${}^{13}C$ due to rapidly decreasing ${}^{12}C$, and as a result, δ^{13} C of their OM increases.

Furthermore, a study on Lake Constance in Germany showed high negative relationships between the δ^{13} C values of *Chara* spp. and depth (Matuszak et al. 2011). Our results on the δ^{13} C values of *N. flexilis* also support this observation. However, this relationship was only noticeable and not very high (Fig. S1 in Supplementary Materials 1). This might be due to the fact that diverse lake ecosystems were included in our study. On the other hand, Liu et al. (2022) did not find such relationships when they investigated two plant species along a depth gradient in three Tibetan Plateau lakes in China. Thus, we believe that all types of related isotopic studies concerning macrophytes, including charophytes, are essential to better understand the complexity of δ^{13} C in plant OM.

Stable nitrogen isotope composition of N. flexilis

Similar to δ^{13} C values, our results on the δ^{15} N values of *N. flexilis* were in line with those reported in the literature. King et al. (2009) showed that the δ^{15} N values of *Nitella* sp. varied from -9.94% to 6.50%, which are higher than that reported by us (-7.69%) to 3.18%). Similar values were also reported by Chappuis et al. (2017) for charophytes; however, the range was shifted to ¹⁵N-enriched values (from about -2.5% to 9.9%). Thus, our results do not differ much from those reported for various lakes, ranging from coastal lakes to mountain oligotrophic lakes (Chappuis et al. 2017) and nutrient-limited upland lakes (King et al. 2009). Our findings confirmed the high variability of δ^{15} N in macrophytes, especially charophytes, previously reported in several studies (King et al. 2009; Matuszak et al. 2011; Chappuis et al. 2017; Morkūnė et al. 2022). The high variability of δ^{15} N macrophytes and charophytes might be related to their use of different N sources for tissue development (Chappuis et al. 2017). According to studies, macrophytes might use different forms of N dissolved in water, namely NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺, and N_{ORG}, for the development of their structures (Schuurkes et al. 1986; Peipoch et al. 2012, 2014; Pastor et al. 2014).

Furthermore, the fractionation of δ^{15} N during the development of plant tissues tends to favor ¹⁴N (Evans 2001). However, this might be less important when the plant N demand exceeds plant N availability (Pennock et al. 1996; Waser et al. 1998; Jones et al. 2004). Unfortunately, in our study, we obtained only complete data on TN for both types of investigated lakes. Therefore, we can assume that the recorded δ^{15} N variability of *N*. *flexilis* was related to a different form of dissolved N in water. This hypothesis might be supported by the moderate positive correlations found between δ^{15} N and TN (Fig. S1 in Supplementary Materials 1). However, this might also be related to the impact of N load from the catchment of investigated lakes. Chappuis et al. (2017) observed this relationship in their study on 81 aquatic ecosystems across Catalonia (northeastern Spain). The lakes investigated in our study were mainly located in the forest-dominated catchment (Table 1); thus, we can conclude that the variability of N input was relatively low. Our comparison showed that the difference in TN concentration between hardwater and softwater lakes was statistically insignificant; however, higher concentrations were recorded in softwater lakes (Fig. 3f). This might be related to the potential C or P limitation of these lakes due to significantly lower TP and DIC concentrations compared with hardwater lakes (Fig. 3c and d). Therefore, the slight variability in δ^{15} N between hardwater and softwater lakes observed in this study might be due to N demand and availability than other factors, as evidenced by King et al. (2009). On the other hand, the pH variability (Fig. 3a) in softwater lakes may favor the occurrence of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- forms in water, which can be available for uptake by *N. flexilis*.

δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N of plant OM and C/N ratio as a potentially helpful marker in different types of studies

In many types of studies, the authors support their research using stable isotope methods. In the past two decades, $\delta^{13}C$ and δ^{15} N values of plant OM have more often been used in source mixing models, for example, to estimate the proportional contribution of OM in lacustrine sediments (Guo et al. 2020; Duan et al. 2022; Wu et al. 2022; Douglas et al. 2022). Recently, some authors studied macrophytes, including charophytes, to track food sources in the food web loop in aquatic environments (Morkūnė et al. 2022). The C/N results presented by us are in line with those reported in the literature for some charophyte species (Rodrigo et al. 2016). As Meyers (1994) suggested, parameters such as the C/N ratio of plants and sediments are a good indicator of the origin of material that forms sediments and are also included as an additional proxy in source mixing models with isotopic data (Duan et al. 2022). Thus, to the best of our knowledge, the first complete information on the δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values and C/N ratio of N. *flexilis* collected from different lake types, presented in this paper, might be helpful to validate better the isotopic-oriented models used for the above-mentioned purposes. Furthermore, our results indicated that it could be difficult to track vegetation changes and shifts in lake trophic conditions on the basis of N. flexilis δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values and C/N ratio in the OM deposited in the sediments of the studied lakes. This is due to the nonstatistically significant differences in δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values and C/N ratios between the two investigated groups of lakes and the relatively higher variability of the obtained results, especially in softwater lakes.

Conclusion

Although the two studied groups of lakes (softwater and hardwater) showed differences in Ca²⁺, pH, conductivity, DIC, and TP, the δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values of *N. flexilis* OM were not statistically different. We found some relationships indicating the influence of light conditions on the δ^{13} C values of the investigated green macroalgae and a moderate relationship between the δ^{15} N values and TN concentration. Our results suggest that an attempt to identify the factors differentiating δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N in plant OM should be cautiously approached. The findings presented by us suggest the need for more in-depth studies, especially experimental ones

with thoughtful factor gradient settings, to determine the factors that significantly shape the δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N values of submerged plants. The information from such studies might be helpful, for example, to identify the source of OM in lacustrine sediments and to better interpret food webs with charophytes as primary producers.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-023-00976-6.

Acknowledgements This study was financed by the Polish National Science Centre, under project no. 2019/32/C/NZ8/00147, and the UGrants-start program 533-D000-GS21-22, according to application no. 1220/34/2022. The authors thank the GISMO platform and its staff (Biogéosciences, UMR 6282 CNRS, Université de Bourgogne). Prof. Józef Szmeja is acknowledged for his valuable comments, and Emilia Rekowska for helping in N. flexilis collection from hardwater lakes. Małgorzata Pronin is acknowledged for her support and valuable comments on manuscript preparation. We would like to thank the Translmed Publishing Group (TPG), a proofreading and copyediting company, for helping in proofreading and copyediting of this manuscript. The authors also thank the Regional Director of Environmental Protection in Gdańsk for allowing them to collect N. flexilis from the investigated lakes and natural reserves such as Jezioro Smołowe, Jezioro Kamień, Jezioro Krasne, and Jezioro Moczadło (decision no. RDOŚ-Gd-WZG.6400.92.2020.AB.2 and no. RDOŚ-Gd-WOC.6205.24.2020. MaK.2). Two anonymous peer reviewers are kindly acknowledged for their comments and suggestions, which helped improve the manuscript.

Author contributions EP: conceptualization, supervision, methodology, project administration, funding acquisition, formal analysis, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing, visualization. KB: methodology, writing—review and editing. RC: methodology, writing—review and editing. MM: methodology, writing—review and editing. RR: methodology, writing—review and editing. ALS: methodology, writing—review and editing. OM: methodology, writing—review and editing

Data availability Most data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article. The rest of the included data are available from the authors on reasonable request.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Apolinarska K, Pełechaty M, Pukacz A (2011) CaCO₃ sedimentation by modern charophytes (Characeae): can calcified remains and carbonate δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O record the ecological state of lakes?—a review. Stud Limnol Telmatologica 5:55–66
- Apolinarska K, Pełechaty M, Pronin E (2016) Discrepancies between the stable isotope compositions of water, macrophyte carbonates and organics, and mollusc shells in the littoral zone of a charophyte-dominated lake (Lake Lednica, Poland). Hydrobiologia 768:1–17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-015-2524-6
- Bociąg K, Rekowska E, Banaś K (2011) The disappearance of stonewort populations in lobelia lakes of the Kashubian Lakeland (NW Poland). Oceanol Hydrobiol Stud 40:30–36. https://doi.org/10. 2478/s13545-011-0014-7
- Brzozowski M, Pełechaty M (2020) Broad morphological and reproductive variability of the endangered macroalga *Lychnothamnus barbatus* in the depth gradient. Aquat Bot. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.aquabot.2020.103239
- Carlson RE (1977) A trophic state index for lakes. Limnol Oceanogr 22:361–369. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1977.22.2.0361
- Chappuis E, Seriñá V, Martí E et al. (2017) Decrypting stable-isotope (δ¹³C and δ¹⁵N) variability in aquatic plants. Freshw Biol 62:1807–1818. https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12996
- Chmara R, Pronin E, Szmeja J (2021) Functional macrophyte trait variation as a response to the source of inorganic carbon acquisition. PeerJ 9:e12584. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.12584
- Douglas PMJ, Stratigopoulos E, Park S, Keenan B (2022) Spatial differentiation of sediment organic matter isotopic composition and inferred sources in a temperate forest lake catchment. Chem Geol 603:120887. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2022.120887
- Duan L, Zhang H, Chang F et al. (2022) Isotopic constraints on sources of organic matter in surface sediments from two north–south oriented lakes of the Yunnan Plateau, Southwest China. J Soils Sediments. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-022-03191-2
- Evans RD (2001) Physiological mechanisms influencing plant nitrogen isotope composition. Trends Plant Sci 6:121–126. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S1360-1385(01)01889-1
- Gasiorowski M, Sienkiewicz E (2013) The sources of carbon and nitrogen in mountain lakes and the role of human activity in their modification determined by tracking stable isotope composition. Water Air Soil Pollut. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-013-1498-0
- Guo Q, Wang C, Wei R et al. (2020) Qualitative and quantitative analysis of source for organic carbon and nitrogen in sediments of rivers and lakes based on stable isotopes. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 195:110436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110436
- Herzschuh U, Mischke S, Meyer H et al. (2010) Using variations in the stable carbon isotope composition of macrophyte remains to quantify nutrient dynamics in lakes. J Paleolimnol 43:739–750. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10933-009-9365-0
- John DM, Rindi F (2015) Filamentous (Nonconjugating) and Plantlike Green Algae. Elsevier Inc, Amsterdam
- Jones RI, King L, Dent MM et al. (2004) Nitrogen stable isotope ratios in surface sediments, epilithon and macrophytes from upland lakes with differing nutrient status. Freshw Biol 49:382–391. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2427.2004.01194.x
- King L, Maberly SC, De Ville MM et al. (2009) Nitrogen stable isotope ratios of lake macrophytes in relation to growth form and nutrientlimitation. Fundam Appl Limnol 175:307–315. https://doi.org/10. 1127/1863-9135/2009/0175-0307
- Kufel L, Kufel I (2002) Chara beds acting as nutrient sinks in shallow lakes—a review. Aquat Bot 72:249–260. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0304-3770(01)00204-2

- Lê S, Josse J, Husson F (2008) FactoMineR: an R package for multivariate analysis. J Stat Softw 25:1–18. https://doi.org/10.18637/ jss.v025.i01
- Liu H, Liu J, Hu J et al. (2022) Systematical δ^{13} C investigations of TOC in aquatic plants, DIC and dissolved CO₂ in lake water from three Tibetan Plateau lakes. Ecol Indic 140:109060. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ecolind.2022.109060
- Matuszak A, Voigt CC, Storch I et al. (2011) Depth-specific and spatiotemporal variation of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N in charophytes of Lake constance: implications for food web studies. Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 25:2089–2094. https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.5094
- Meyers PA (1994) Preservation of elemental and isotopic source identification of sedimentary organic matter. Chem Geol 114:289–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2541(94)90059-0
- Mook WG, Bommerson JC, Staverman WH (1974) Carbon isotope fractionation between dissolved bicarbonate and gaseous carbon dioxide. Earth Planet Sci Lett 22:169–176. https://doi.org/10. 1016/0012-821X(74)90078-8
- Morkūnė R, Bučas M, Kataržytė M et al. (2022) Food sources for benthic grazers in trophic networks of macrophyte habitats in a transitional Baltic ecosystem. Water. https://doi.org/10.3390/ w14101565
- Murphy KJ (2002) Plant communities and plant diversity in softwater lakes of northern Europe. Aquat Bot 73:287–324. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0304-3770(02)00028-1
- O'Leary MH, Madhaven S, Paneth P (1992) Physical and chemical basis of carbon isotope fractionation in plants. Plant Cell Environ 15:1099–1104. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1992.tb016 60.x
- Pastor A, Riera JL, Peipoch M et al. (2014) Temporal variability of nitrogen stable isotopes in primary uptake compartments in four streams differing in human impacts. Environ Sci Technol 48:6612–6619. https://doi.org/10.1021/es405493k
- Peipoch M, Martí E, Gacia E (2012) Variability in σ15N natural abundance of basal resources in fluvial ecosystems: a meta-analysis. Freshw Sci 31:1003–1015. https://doi.org/10.1899/11-157.1
- Peipoch M, Gacia E, Blesa A et al. (2014) Contrasts among macrophyte riparian species in their use of stream water nitrate and ammonium: insights from 15N natural abundance. Aquat Sci 76:203–215. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-013-0330-7
- Pełechata A, Kufel L, Pukacz A et al. (2023) Climate features or the composition of submerged vegetation? which factor has a greater impact on the phytoplankton structure in temperate lakes? Ecol Indic 146:109840. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109840
- Pełechaty M, Pukacz A, Apolinarska K et al. (2013) The significance of Chara vegetation in the precipitation of lacustrine calcium carbonate. Sedimentology 60:1017–1035. https://doi.org/10.1111/ sed.12020
- Pennock JR, Velinsky DJ, Ludlam JM et al. (1996) Isotopic fractionation of ammonium and nitrate during uptake by Skeletonema costatum: Implications for δ^{15} N dynamics under bloom conditions. Limnol Oceanogr 41:451–459. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1996. 41.3.0451
- Pentecost A, Andrews JE, Dennis PF et al. (2006) Charophyte growth in small temperate water bodies: Extreme isotopic disequilibrium and implications for the palaeoecology of shallow marl lakes. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 240:389–404. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.palaeo.2006.02.008
- Pronin E, Pełechaty M, Apolinarska K et al. (2016) Sharp differences in the δ^{13} C values of organic matter and carbonate encrustations but not in ambient water DIC between two morphologically distinct charophytes. Hydrobiologia. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10750-016-2698-6

- Pronin E, Panettieri M, Torn K, Rumpel C (2019) Stable carbon isotopic composition of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) as a driving factor of aquatic plants organic matter build-up related to salinity. Ecol Indic 99:230–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoli nd.2018.12.036
- Pukacz A, Pełechaty M, Pełechata A (2013) The relation between charophytes and habitat differentiation in temperate lowland lakes. Pol J Ecol 61:105–118
- R Core Team (2022) A language and environment for statistical computing. R Found Stat Comput Vienna, Austria. http://wwwR-project.org/
- Rodrigo MA, García A, Chivas AR (2016) Carbon stable isotope composition of charophyte organic matter in a small and shallow Spanish water body as a baseline for future trophic studies. J Limnol 75:226–235. https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2015.1268
- Romanov RE, Nikulin AY, Nikulin VY, Gontcharov AA (2022) New species *Chara oryzae* and a new section Corillionia of *Chara* (Charales, Charophyceae) from European Mediterranean rice fields. Eur J Phycol 57:328–342. https://doi.org/10.1080/09670 262.2021.1987527
- Schuurkes JAAR, Kok CJ, Den Hartog C (1986) Ammonium and nitrate uptake by aquatic plants from poorly buffered and acidified waters. Aquat Bot 24:131–146. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3770(86)90093-8
- Sensula B, Böttger T, Pazdur A et al. (2006) Carbon and oxygen isotope composition of organic matter and carbonates in recent lacustrine sediments. Geochronometria 25:77–94
- Shmit FA, Walker NA (1980) Photosynthesis by aquatic plants: effects of unstirred layers in relation to assimilation of CO_2 and HCO_3 . and to carbon isotopic discrimination. New Phytol 86:245–259. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.1980.tb00785.x
- Torn K, Peterson A, Herkül K, Suursaar Ü (2019) Effects of climate change on the occurrence of charophytes and angiosperms in a brackish environment. Webbia 00:1–11. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 00837792.2019.1607499
- Urbaniak J, Gąbka M (2014) Polish Charophytes an illustrated guide to identification. Uniwersytet Przyrodniczy we Wrocławiu, Wrocław
- Van Den Berg MS, Scheffer M, Coops H, Simons J (1998) The role of characean algae in the management of eutrophic shallow lakes. J Phycol 34:750–756. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1529-8817.1998. 340750.x
- Waser NAD, Harrison PJ, Nielsen B et al. (1998) Nitrogen isotope fractionation during the uptake and assimilation of nitrate, nitrite, ammonium, and urea by a marine diatom. Limnol Oceanogr 43:215–224. https://doi.org/10.4319/lo.1998.43.2.0215
- Wei T, Simko V (2021) R package "corrplot": Visualization of a Correlation Matrix. (Version 0.92) https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot Wickham H (2009) ggplot2. Springer New York, New York, NY
- Wu J, Yang H, Yu W et al. (2022) Effect of ecosystem degradation on the source of particulate organic matter in a Karst lake: a case study of the Caohai. Water. https://doi.org/10.3390/w14121867
- Zhang P, Kong X, Bakker ES et al. (2021) Temperature affects carbon and nitrogen stable isotopic signatures of aquatic plants. Aquat Sci 83:39. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-021-00794-8

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.